

# Elections Judicial Council

## Meeting Minutes

### April 26, 2018

---

CALL TO ORDER: Rachel Langenfeld, Elections Director, called the meeting to order at 11:33 a.m.

ROLL CALL Members Present: Cabral, Gwaltney, Jakel, La, Langenfeld

Members Absent:

Officers Present: Collins, Hunt, Wiley

Officers Absent:

\*Indicates that the member was in attendance prior to the start of Unfinished Business, but left before the scheduled ending of the meeting. [According to the by-laws, a member of the board who does not remain until the scheduled ending for the meeting (3:45 p.m.) is considered not to be in attendance.]

\*\*Indicates that the member was in attendance for a portion of the meeting, but not in attendance prior to the announcement of Unfinished Business. [According to the by-laws, a member of the board who is not in attendance prior to the announcement of Unfinished Business is considered not to be in attendance.]

ROLL CALL	Members:	Officers:
	Cabral -y	Collins-y
	Gwaltney -y	Hunt - y
	Jakel-y	Wiley- y
	La-y	
	Langenfeld-y	

APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Jakel-m/La-s) The agenda was approved as presented. There were no objections.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES None

PUBLIC SPEAKERS  
Colin Eacobellis

Thanked the EJC for correcting unjust action illegal meetings. Hoping other wrongs will be corrected from the earlier hearings. Shared information from the US Constitution, 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment regarding double jeopardy and further quoted from the ASI Bylaws in regards to the duties of the Elections Director position. He shared concerns relating to the violation of the EJC holding closed meetings in violation of the Gloria Romero Act which regulates student government body. He suggested that the actions of the EJC were a punishment to students running for positions on campus. He further pinpointed concerns relating to the multiple violations apportioned to him and Moubayed running as an ASI President and Vice President team. Eacobellis shared that he felt the actions against the team goes against the CSUF idea of empowering students to reach higher as they pursue their academic education. He urged the Council to reconsider the multiple infractions for the same offense. He shared the process utilized to communicate with students via emails through the campus Titan Link program and shared that access to these emails is public information available to anyone with access. Students can choose to receive and/or access the email/information provided. He further shared concerns of a

possible bias with the EJC in regards to two other candidates. He shared that he was notified at the end of the hearings that candidates Borjas and Aldazabal posted pictures which were taken in the TSU Board Room, in violation of ASI Bylaws regarding use of ASI facilities during campaigning. He further quoted a statement from Dean Hunt regarding the number of votes. For team to lose vote, based on disqualification when two other candidates took advantage of access to facilities. He asked the Council to consider correcting invalid actions from the elections process.

Jared Hill

Thanked the committee for their time and service to the student body to ensure a fair and judicial elections process is held. Hill shared that he serves as chair of TSCBOT and is speaking on behalf of Eacobellis and Moubayed. He shared that Eacobellis and Moubayed contacted him about sending emails to club officer emails prior to proceeding with the process. He reviewed the request and shared with them that it would not be a violation of ASI Bylaws. He shared that club officer emails are listed publically to the student body in Titan Link and anyone can utilize them as a non-commercial mailing list. He mentioned that the EJC's ruling that the emails were in violation is a violation of the candidate's 1<sup>st</sup> amendments rights of free speech. He shared a definition of SPAM email and shared that the emails sent by the candidates cannot be considered as such since the emails utilized were available for any member of the student body to utilize.

TIME CERTAIN

None

REPORTS

Langenfled reported that the meeting was being held at the direction of the ASI Board of Directors. She provided an overview of the development and purpose of the Elections Judicial Council and stated that the council was formed to review, hear evidence and make decisions on complaints filed during the ASI Elections process. Langenfled shared that the question whether the complaint review meetings should be held in public was considered during the recent complaint review meetings. The council felt that since complaints were not considered violations until after review and since the events involved individual students/candidates that it was appropriate to hold the hearings as closed (similar to conduct hearings). After review and recommendation this public meeting was called.

Langenfled shared the following:

- Complainant and accused would both have the opportunity to present their side of the story and for EJC to ask follow up questions of either of the parties.
- Each complaint submission would be given an individual hearing and considered on its own.
- During the spring 2018 election 60 complaint forms were submitted, the last two were actually not complaints of violation, accordingly no hearings or decisions were issued. The last two were inquiries about ballot/vote tabulation. Questions were answered by Langenfled and Wiley.
- The complaint forms started at #5 because the online form is the same each year and complaints #1 through #4 were from the prior year (spring 2017).
- That leaves us with 58 complaints to cover.
- During today's meeting we'll be voting on each item with two votes, one will be to review and ratify the EJC's previous decision from the original meetings and the second will be a separate vote to confirm complaints as violations.

- During the consideration to confirm a complaint as a violation any party to the specific complaint will have the opportunity to provide new evidence relevant and not already covered previously. Each side will have one minute to share.
- The complaints will be reviewed in numerical order.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

**Complaint #5**

Summary of Complaint #5:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by mentioning current leadership positions during campaigning
- No evidence submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate team did appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complaint was submitted beyond 24 hours of when the alleged infraction occurred
- Also noted that the students were in the current positions
- Question asked of why they went to meeting, answer was that it was for purposes of elections and that was told to the organization
- The complaint was dismissed without consideration because of being beyond 24 hours

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #5. (Jakel -m/Gwaltney -s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #5, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #5. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #5 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #5. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #5 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #6**

Summary of Complaint #6:

- This complaint was a board of directors candidate accusing another board of directors candidate of violating the bylaws by getting an official endorsement from a current student leader
- The evidence shows a screen shot from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate did appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the evidence appeared on a personal social media account
- Also noted that the accused said there was no claim of endorsement and they did not ask for the post
- The deliberation focused on their being no claim of endorsement and the post appearing on a personal social media account
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #6. (Jakel -m/La -s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #6, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #6. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #6 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #6. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #6 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #7

Summary of Complaint #7:

- This complaint was a board of directors candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by storing campaign materials in ASI offices
- No evidence submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate team did appear
- During the hearing, the accused candidate team stated they were around the building to get posters approved by SLL and saw the complainant in the halls
- Question asked for clarification on where they had parked and entered the building, candidate team stated it was through loading dock and service elevator
- The deliberation focused on their being no evidence or proof submitted to substantiate the claim
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #7. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #7, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #7. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #7 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #7. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #7 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #8

Summary of Complaint #8:

- This complaint was a president/vice president candidate team accusing another president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by spending funds or using resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows a screen shot from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate team did appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the evidence provided was not from the event described in the complaint
- Also noted that the accused candidate team said there were two events, one on campus that was provided by ASI for winning the canned food drive and a second event off campus held by the two organization involved
- Question asked for clarification that there were two events, one in the TSU and one off campus, and that the photo was from the off campus event not funded or sponsored by ASI, the accused candidate team stated that was correct
- The deliberations focused on the location of the event shown in the photo not being in the TSU and that it was not a part of the event described in the complaint
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #8. (Gwaltney -m/La -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #8, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #8. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint # as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #8. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #8 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #9

Summary of Complaint #9:

- This complaint was a president/vice president candidate team accusing another president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by wearing an ASI Elections tshirt while campaigning
- The evidence shows a screen shot from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate team did appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the tshirt was currently part of the candidate's uniform and that no claim of endorsement was made
- The deliberation focused on that the shirt was provided to all student employees to promote the election and that there was no claim of endorsement or rationally perceived connection seen
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #9. (Jakel -m/La -s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. Gwaltney given candidate mentions shirt was given to all employees. Logo matches ASI business cards and documentation .

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #9, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #9. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #9 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel -m/Cabral -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #9. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #9 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #10

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a board of directors candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by using ASI resources to gain access to student email accounts and sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 958am

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate team did appear.
- During the hearing, it was noted that the accused candidate team explained why they believed the email was not unsolicited spam because the students emails were available on TitanLink for anyone to access

- Also noted that the accused candidate team showed the EJC how it was that they obtained the email address and sent the email message
- Also noted that the accused candidates confirmed that the email address was obtained through TitanLink without the recipients consent
- Questions asked how many emails were sent and how many times and if both candidates were aware of the emails being sent, the accused candidates indicated that possibly over 800 email addresses were used and that the email was sent multiple times and that they were both aware
- The deliberations focused on the definition of unsolicited spam email and the four exceptions that would allow for such emailing
- It was noted that displaying an email address on TitanLink is not required and that having an email address displayed on TitanLink was not considered opting in to receive these kind of campaign focused emails
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two strikes were assigned to the candidate team
- Following the decision, it was discussed that the Elections Director would follow up with the candidate team to notify them that their campaigning privileges were revoked

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #10. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

Gwaltney clarified that the ECJ considered that the complaint originally addressed misuse of authority. The EJC found through hearings that neither candidate, Eacobellis or Moubayed utilized their current positions in ASI, rather the council considered the information as outlined by the Elections Director, the use of the 800 emails collected, the ASI Bylaws definition of Spam, and the use of the wording/heavy campaign related content in the emails.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #10, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #10. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #10 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #10.

Eacobellis reiterated that student emails were available through Titan Link and students had the option to open or not. He referred to Hill's presentation, and shared that the team asked Hill and ASI President Dadabhoy before sending the emails. Both ASI student leaders confirmed it would be no violation to send the emails, so there was no RFI sent in prior to sending the emails.

Langenfeld opened the floor to questions and discussion. There were no questions or discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #10 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #11**

## Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a board of directors candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 11:45am

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear, the accused candidate team did appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the accused candidates had previously confirmed that the email addresses were obtained through TitanLink without the recipients consent and that the email was sent to possibly 800 or more individuals
- Also noted that as previously described, the emails were not stolen, but were accessed on TitanLink
- Questions asked if candidate team had asked SLL about the use of TitanLink for this purpose, candidate team indicated they had not and thought because they were accessible it was ok
- The deliberations focused on that this was the same type of violation as the previous complaint
- Also focused on that each individual complaint was being heard and considered independently, as originally decided as part of the process
- Focused on that each individual received an email to their inbox so even though it was the same message text, it was considered similar to multiple counts of the same offense
- Focused on what this meant, that this would unfortunately count as two more strikes and would disqualify the team
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two more strikes were assigned to the candidate team
- Following the decision, it was discussed that the Elections Director would follow up with the candidate team to notify them of the disqualification

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #11. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

Gwaltney clarified that the EJC considered that emails were sent at different times to different students and there were concerns from students that their information could have been stolen, and evidence via screen shot of the email was provided.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #11, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #11. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #11 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #11.

Eacobellis asked for clarification regarding the ruling if all infractions are considered under the same Bylaw violation. Ultimately all infractions are under the same



violation and would fall under double jeopardy. Langenfeld clarified that there are multiple counts for the same infraction.

Langenfeld opened the floor to questions and discussion.

Jakel shared that looking at the screen shots shows communication sent at various times, not all at the same time/date.

There was no further discussion. Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #11 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #12

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a board of directors candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 9:57am

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did appear, the accused candidate team did not appear
- During the hearing, the complainant described that they knew the email had been received by multiple people at different times
- Questions asked if the complainant was involved in clubs in leadership roles, responded with yes they were involved in multiple clubs
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation as the previous
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that the evidence shows that the complainant also received the email
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two more strikes were assigned to the candidate team

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #12. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #12, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint # . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #12 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #12. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #12 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #13

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 1145am

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that the evidence shows that the complainant also recieved the email
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two more strikes were assigned to the candidate team

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #13. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #13, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #13. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #13 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #13. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #13 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #14

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that no evidence was provided that shows that the complainant also recieved the email
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #14. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #14, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #14. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #14 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #14. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. Jakel shared that there was no screen shot or information provided to prove that they were the person who received the email and this was the reason the complaint was not considered a violation.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #14 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #15

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 1144am

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that the evidence shows that the complainant also received the email
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two more strikes were assigned to the candidate team

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #15. (Jakel-m/La-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #15, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint # . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #15 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #15. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #15 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #16

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The email was allegedly received on March 13 at 1145am
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that no evidence was provided that shows that the complainant also recieved the email
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #16. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #16, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #16. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #16 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #16. Jakel, similar to Complaint 14, there was no evidence provided and the council considered each complaint individually.

Langenfeld opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #16 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #17

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The email was allegedly received on March 13 at 957am
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually

- Focused on that no evidence was provided that shows that the complainant also recieved the email
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #17. (La-m/Gwaltney-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #17, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #17. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #17 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel -m/La -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #17. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #17 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #18

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 958am

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that the evidence shows that the complainant also recieved the email
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two more strikes were assigned to the candidate team

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #18. (Cabral-m/Gwaltney-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #18, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #18. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #18 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #18. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #18 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #19

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of an email with no recipient email address

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidate team appeared
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that the evidence does not show that the complainant also received the email
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #19. (Gwaltney-m/Cabral-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #19, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #19. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #19 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #19. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. Gwaltney shared that similar information was provided on previous complaints, the evidence is not unique to this complaint. Profile picture was same as previous complaint email, and the actual email address was not shown.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #19 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #20

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate accusing a president/vice president candidate team of violating the bylaws by sending unsolicited spam email
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the email received by the complainant
- The evidence indicated the email was received March 13 at 1212pm

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did appear, the accused candidate team did not appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the email was received at 1212pm

- Questions asked if the complainant was involved in any clubs in leadership roles, responded with yes they were involved in multiple clubs over past years
- The deliberations focused on that this was again the same type of violation
- Focused on that each complaint would be considered individually
- Focused on that the evidence shows that the complainant also received the email
- The complaint was confirmed as a violation of the bylaws and two more strikes were assigned to the candidate team

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #20. (Cabral-m/La-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #20, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #20. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #20 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #20. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #20 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 5-0-0 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #21

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was an Elections Judicial Council member accusing a non-candidate of violating the bylaws by impeding the proceedings of the EJC and the election

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did appear, the accused non-candidate did not appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complaint was against a non-candidate that is accused of trying to sit in on an EJC meeting
- Questions asked why the complainant thought the non-candidate had done this, responded with they thought to impact the voting
- After the hearing, the complainant recused themselves from deliberation and voting
- The deliberations focused on that there was not evidence that clearly substantiated the claims
- Focused on that as a non-candidate the issue and the accused were outside of the scope of EJC action
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #21. (Cabral-m/La-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

Member Nick Jakel left room during this item. All other members were present during the discussion and deliberation. There was no impact on the election and the EJC felt it was not appropriate to assign a violation.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote to ratify the previous decision. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #21, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #21. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #21 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #21. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #21 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #22

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was an Elections Judicial Council member accusing a non-candidate and a board of directors candidate of violating the bylaws by impeding the proceedings of the EJC and the election

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did appear, the accused non-candidate and candidate did not appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complaint was against a non-candidate and a candidate that were accused of trying to put the non-candidate on the EJC
- Questions asked if the complainant had been contacted by either of the two parties and why the complainant thought they had done this, responded with they had not been contacted and they thought it was intended to impact the voting of the EJC
- After the hearing, the complainant recused themselves from deliberation and voting
- The deliberations focused on that there was not evidence that clearly substantiated the claims
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #22. (La-m/Gwaltney-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La shared for clarification that ASI President Dadabhoy tried to call La and Gwaltney out from the EJC hearing.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote to ratify the decision. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #22, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #22. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #22 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)



Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #22. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #22 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #23

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of bias and abuse of power

- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused non-voting EJC member appeared

- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation

- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them

- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting

- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC

- The complaint was dismissed

Hunt moved from the Board table to the public seating area.

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #23. (Gwaltney -m/La -s)

Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

Gwaltney noted that Dean Hunt removed herself from the EJC table and shared that all members have a relationship with many of the individuals participating in this process. She further shared that all EJC members strive to remain neutral throughout.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote to ratify the previous decision. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #23, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #23. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #23 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #23. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #23 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #24**

## Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of bias and abuse of power and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused non-voting EJC member nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the parts of the complaint were the same as the previous complaint
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #24. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #24, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #24. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #24 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #24. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #24 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #25**

## Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of bias
- No evidence was submitted

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused non-voting EJC member appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on there being no evidence submitted

- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the parts of the complaint being the same as a previous complaint
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #25. (La-m/Jakel -s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #25, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #25. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #25 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #25. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #25 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #26

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of bias
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused non-voting EJC member appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no evidence submitted
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations again focused on the complaint being the same as a previous complaint
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #26. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #26, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #26. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #26 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #26. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #26 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #27

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a board of directors candidate accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant, the accused non-voting EJC member, and the non-candidate student all appeared
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complainant felt it was bias for the accused non-voting EJC member to have posted photos with the candidates
- Questions asked if complainant was a member of any clubs, if they had seen the photos themselves, and if they wrote the complaint themselves, responded that they were a member of a club and that a fellow club member had shown them the photos and that they had worked with someone else on writing the complaint
- Questions also asked where the non-candidate student posted on social media, responded that they were posting own thoughts on personal social media
- Questions also asked how non-voting EJC member knew candidates, responded that they knew all of the candidates and runs the NASPA NUFP program
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint
- The deliberations again focused on the complaint being similar to previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #27. (La -m/Jakel -s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

Gwaltney shared that the complaint included words such as harrasment, and shared the council felt that if anything of such nature occurred, the issue should be addressed with the Dean of Students office.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #27, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #27. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #27 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #27. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #27 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #28

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalites for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endoresement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that parts of this complaint were the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #28. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #28, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #28. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #28 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #28. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #28 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #29

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no evidence submitted
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that parts of this complaint were the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #29. (Gwaltney-m/La -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

Gwaltney shared that due to parts of the complaint being outside of the scope of the EJC, encouraged the complainant to pursue action through the Dean of Students office.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #29, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #29. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #29 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #29. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #29 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #30

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The deliberations focused on the posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on this complaint being the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #30. (La-m/Cabral-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #30, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #30. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #30 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #30. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #30 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

Langenfeld moved the meeting into a 10 minute recess at 1:02pm

Langenfeld resumed the meeting at 1:13pm

### Complaint #31

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of endorsing a president/vice president candidate team and having a conflict of interest and accusing a non-candidate student of harassment and posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused non-voting EJC member nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the complaint being the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #31. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #31, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #31. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #31 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #31. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #31 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #32**

## Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- No evidence was submitted

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no evidence submitted
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that this complaint was the same as previous complaints



- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #32. (Cabral-m/ ZLa-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #32, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #32. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #32 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #32. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #32 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #33

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that this complaint was the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #33. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #33, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #33. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #33 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #33. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #33 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #34

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused non-voting EJC member nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the parts of the complaint were the same as the previous complaint
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #34. (Cabral-m/La-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #34, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #34. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #34 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #34. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #34 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #35

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a TSC board of trustees candidate claiming that it is unfair to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature
- The evidence shows a screen shot of the ASI Bylaws, page 44

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #35. (Gwaltney-m/La-s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #35, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #35. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #35 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #35. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #35 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #36

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature,

and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team

- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account
- Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision
- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
  - The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
  - The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
  - The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
  - The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
  - The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint
  - The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
  - The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #36. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #36, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #36. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #36 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #36. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #36 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #37

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them

- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that this complaint was the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #37. (La-m/Gwaltney-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #37, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #37. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #37 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (La-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #37. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #37 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. **The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #38

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a board of directors candidate of making a threat against them
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant appeared, the accused candidate did not appear
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complainant described situations of possible harrassment from the past and during the election season
- Questions asked why the complainant was submitting the complaint and how they were being contacted, they responded that they were scared of the accused candidate and what they might do and that they have been contacted by multiple people connected to the elections and campaigning
- The deliberation focused on there being no evidence or proof submitted to substantiate the claim and that the issue was outside of the specific scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #38. (Gwaltney-m/Cabral-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. Jakel shared that the complainant should follow-up with the Dean of Students office.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #38, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #38. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #38 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #38. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #38 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #39

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student claiming that it is unfair to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant did not appear
- The deliberations focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that this complaint was similar to previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #39. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #39, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #39. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #39 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #39. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #39 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #40

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
  - The evidence shows screen shots from social media accounts
- Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision
- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared
  - The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
  - The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
  - The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
  - The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
  - The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that this complaint was the same as previous complaints
  - The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
  - The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #40. (Cabral-m/La-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #40, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #40 . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #40 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Gwaltney-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #40. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #40 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #41

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a non-voting Elections Judicial Council member of conflict of interest, claiming that it is unethical to issue individual penalties for multiple individual violations of the same nature, and accusing a non-candidate student of posting false accusations about a president/vice president candidate team
- No evidence was submitted

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant, nor the accused non-voting EJC member, nor the non-candidate student appeared

- The non-voting EJC member recused themselves from deliberation
- The deliberations focused on their being no claim of endorsement and that all posts had multiple students appearing in them
- The deliberations also focused on the other posts being on a personal social media account of a non-candidate student
- The deliberations also focused on the EJC member being non-voting
- The deliberations also focused on the previous decisions to consider each complaint and that this complaint was the same as previous complaints
- The deliberations also focused on that there was no complaint against a candidate or candidate team and so the complaint and issue was outside of the scope of the EJC
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #41. (La-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #41, with a 5-0-0 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #41. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #41 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/La-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #41. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #41 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-5-0 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #42

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the information covered in another hearing on the same type of complaint where the candidates had said they were copying similar publicity photos from previous year campaigns, that they asked to be let in to the room and were given access by a building manager, and that they did not think the posts substantially impacted the elections
- The deliberations focused on the room being secured to protect the property within, but that anyone could access the room when it was opened and that the bylaws do not cover taking photos in ASI facilities
- The deliberations also focused on the posts being on social media that the complainant had to have sought out in order to see
- The complaint was dismissed



Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #42. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present at this meeting, he abstained.

Gwalntey shared that the council heard from the candidate who mentioned that he did not communicate his current role/position in ASI to gain access to the room. The other candidate does not currently hold an ASI office, and neither candidate knew the building manager to gain access to the room.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #42, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #42 . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #42 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral -m/Jakel-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #42.

Joshua Borjas shared two things, in the photo there were no symbols/logos representing ASI. The candidates used the same photo shoot pictures to announce their intention to run. No complaints filed when the photos were used for the initial campaign.

Langenfled opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #42 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion passes with a 4-0-1 roll call vote. The complaint is found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #43

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #43. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and abstains from the vote.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #43, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #43. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #43 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #43. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #43 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #44

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #44. (Jakel-m/Gwaltney-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present at the meeting and abstained from the vote.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #44, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #44. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #44 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #44. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #44 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #45

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

#### Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant and the candidate team both appeared
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complainant described using the room for photos portrays power or positions that the candidates did not have
- It was also noted that the accused candidate team said they were given access by a building manager, that similar photos were posted weeks earlier at the start of campaigning with no complaint, and that the photos were similar to photos posted in previous year campaigns
- Questions asked were if the complainant had proof of how the posts impacted the election, how they had found the pictures, and if the complaint had been given to them to submit, they responded they did not have proof, that the pictures were shared with them, and that the complain was given to them to submit
- Questions asked were if the accused candidates had anything identifying on when asking to use the room, how they got access to the room, and what day the photos were taken on, they responded they did not have anything identifying on, that a building manager had given them access, and that they photos were taken on a weekend early in the campaign season
- The deliberations focused on the room being secured to protect the property within, but that anyone could access the room when it was opened and that the bylaws do not cover taking photos in ASI facilities
- The deliberations also focused on the posts being on social media that the complainant had to have sought out in order to see
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #45. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #45, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #45. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #45 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #45. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #45 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #46**

## Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #46. (Cabral-m/ Jakel-s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #46, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #46. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #46 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Gwaltney-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #46. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #46 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #47**

## Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

## Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #47. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #47, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #47. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #47 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #47. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #47 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. **The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #48

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decision made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #48. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #48, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #48. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #48 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Gwaltney-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #48. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #48 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #49

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes

- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decision made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #49. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #49, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #49. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #49 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #49. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #49 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #50

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes

- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #50. (Cabral-m/Gwaltney-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #50, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #50 . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #50 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #50. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #5 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #51

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #51. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La not present during meeting, abstains.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #51, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #51 . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #51 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral -m/Jakel -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #51. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #51 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #52

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant and the candidate team both appeared
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complainant described that they thought the photos were obtained by using current positions, that the photos make it look like the candidates are holding an ASI position
- It was also noted that the accused candidate team said they were given access by a building manager, that similar photos were posted weeks earlier at the start of campaigning with no complaint, and that the photos were similar to photos posted in previous year campaigns
- Questions asked were if the complainant knew they were uploading evidence that did not match the text of the complaint, if there was proof that there was impact on the election results, and if they would have filed the same complaint if it were different candidates in the pictures, they responded that they did not realize the way the form worked, that they did not have proof, and that they would have submitted the complaint if it was other candidates
- Questions asked were if the accused candidates thought the pictures could be misconstrued and if they were aware of any access rules to the room, they replied they thought the photo just looked nice and that they thought it was ok because they had been given access
- The deliberations focused on the room being secured to protect the property within, but that anyone could access the room when it was opened and that the bylaws do not cover taking photos in ASI facilities
- The deliberations also focused on the posts being on social media that the complainant had to have sought out in order to see
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #52. (Jakel-m/Gwaltney-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La Abstains

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #52, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #52. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #52 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/Jakel-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #52. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.



Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #5 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #53**

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #53. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #53, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #53. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #53 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel -m/Gwaltney -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #53. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #53 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

**Complaint #54**

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made

- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #54. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #54, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #54. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #54 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral -s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #54. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #54 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

## Complaint #55

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #55. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #55, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #55. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #55 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel -m/Cabral -s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #55. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #55 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #56

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #56. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #56, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #56. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #56 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #56. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #56 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #57

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared

- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #57. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #57, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #57. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #57 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #57. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #57 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #58

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #58. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s) Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. The previous decision was ratified for complaint #58, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #58. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #58 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #58. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #58 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #59

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #59. (Jakel -m/Cabral -s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #59, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #59 . A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #59 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #59. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #59 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #60

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #60. (Jakel-m/Cabral -s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. The previous decision was ratified for complaint #60, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.

Langenfled asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #60. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #60 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Cabral-m/Gwaltney-s)

Langenfled opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #60. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfled opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #60 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

#### Complaint #61

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, neither the complainant nor the accused candidates appeared
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decisions made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being the same text as other similar complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfled asked for a motion to ratify complaint #61. (Jakel -m/Cabral -s)  
Langenfled opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfled called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #61, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #61. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #61 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral -s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #61. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #61 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

### Complaint #62

Summary of Complaint:

- This complaint was a non-candidate student accusing a president/vice president team of violating the ASI Bylaws by using authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes
- The evidence shows screen shots from a social media account

Summary of Hearing, Questions, Deliberation and Decision

- At the hearing, the complainant and the candidate team both appeared
- During the hearing, it was noted that the complainant described that the board room was not a public space in the TSU and that the photos came out on the most important day of elections
- It was also noted that the accused candidate team said they were given access by a building manager, that similar photos were posted weeks earlier at the start of campaigning with no complaint, and that the photos were similar to photos posted in previous year campaigns
- Questions asked were why the complainant thought other individuals would not have provided access to the room, if they were provided the complaint to submit, and what proof they had of the impact, the complainant responded that they thought it would be the most uninformed party, that they had looked into the photos on their own, and that they thought the last day of the election was most important
- Questions asked were also if the accused candidates were wearing anything that would identify them, if they thought the pictures substantially impacted the election, and if they thought students could identify the what room they were taken in, the accused candidates responded that they were not wearing anything identifying and that they didn't think the photos impacted the election or that students could identify the room
- The deliberations focused on the previous information shared and the previous decision made
- The deliberation also focused on the complaint being very similar to other complaints
- The complaint was dismissed

Langenfeld asked for a motion to ratify complaint #62. (Jakel-m/Gwaltney-s)  
Langenfeld opened the floor to discussion.

La was not present and he abstained.

Langenfeld called for a roll call vote. **The previous decision was ratified for complaint #62, with a 4-0-1 roll call vote.**

Langenfeld asked for a motion to confirm the violation for complaint #62. A motion was made and seconded to confirm complaint #62 as a violation of ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information. (Jakel-m/Cabral-s)

Langenfeld opened the floor to any new evidence for complaint #62. There was no new evidence. She opened the floor to questions and discussion. There was no discussion.

Langenfeld opened the floor to a roll call vote to confirm complaint #62 as a violation of the ASI Bylaws based on all previous and new information.

**Motion fails with a 0-4-1 roll call vote. The complaint is not found in violation of the bylaws.**

Announcements/Members  
Privilege

Gwaltney thanked Eacobellis and other candidates for attending the meeting and bringing forward information. She thanked Langenfeld for her professionalism and diligence throughout the process.

Jakel agreed and thanked all for their efforts.

Hunt thanked all who sat in the galley and those who spoke for their respectful participation. Great job to Langenfeld.

Adjourn

Langenfeld adjourned the meeting at 2:27 p.m.



Jesse Rodriguez, ASI Board Vice Chair/Secretary



Andrew La, ASI Vice President



Susan Collins, Recording Secretary




# **ASI Elections Complaint #5 2018**


**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#5]  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 20, 2018 11:07:41 AM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 7, 2018 1:18 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Oseguera, Tonantzin <toseguera@Fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#5]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Megan Ott

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

This past Monday at the Alpha Delta Pi chapter meeting, Celine and Colin presented their platform to our members. During the presentation, their current positions of IFC President and CCO were mentioned and Celine shared that we are receiving Raising Cane's as our prize for the canned food drive because of her. After reviewing the bylaws, I understand that current ASI standings are not allowed to be promoted along with elections promotions.

To: All Current Elections Candidates, Spring 2018  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 07 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #5

On Wednesday, March 7, 2018 at 1:18 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

**Complaint Description:**

This past Monday at the Alpha Delta Pi chapter meeting, Celine and Colin presented their platform to our members. During the presentation, their current positions of IFC President and CCO were mentioned and Celine shared that we are receiving Raising Cane's as our prize for the canned food drive because of her. After reviewing the bylaws, I understand that current ASI standings are not allowed to be promoted along with elections promotions.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 7, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIV Section 1. Clause 5. Election complaints must be submitted within 24 hours of the infraction. All formal complaints filed after 24 hours of the infraction will not be considered by the Elections Judicial Board unless the severity of the violation warrants consideration.

Due to the fact that the complaint was submitted Wednesday, March 7, 2018 at 1:18 AM and the alleged infraction occurred on Monday, March 5, 2018, the filing exceeds the 24 hour limitation to submit an ASI Election Complaint and therefore has been dismissed from consideration.

ASI Election Complaint Form #5 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #6 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#6]  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:25:14 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 7, 2018 10:16 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#6]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Laila Dadabhoy

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A Board of Directors Candidate


Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Tristan Torres, candidate for the College of NSM is receiving official endorsements from student leaders. See attached photo that was screenshots yesterday afternoon from Saba Ansari's social media account (March 6, 2018, between 11am-1pm).

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one [REDACTED]



[REDACTED]



9h



COLL  
TUNNAL SCIENCE  
MATHEMATIC

**Vote 4 Tristan for NSM  
Board of Directors!!!**



Send message



To: Laila Dadabhoy  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 07 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #6

On Wednesday, March 7, 2018 at 10:16 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A Board of Directors Candidate

**Complaint Description:**

Tristan Torres, candidate for the College of NSM is receiving official endorsements from student leaders. See attached photo that was screenshots yesterday afternoon from Saba Ansari's social media account (March 6, 2018, between 11am-1pm).

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 8, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 6 Clause 4. Individual officials of an organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students may express their individual views in support or opposition of a candidate (including themselves), candidate team, or coalition. In expressing such views, they may identify themselves as officials of their organization, provided it is clear they are not speaking for the Associated Students, their organization, or the student body as a whole.

Due to the fact that the social media post was on the student's personal social media account, did not use her ASI leadership title in the promotion of the candidate, and did not make any mention of endorsement from ASI, their organization, or the student body, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #6 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Tristan Torres  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 07 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #6

On Wednesday, March 7, 2018 at 10:16 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A Board of Directors Candidate

**Complaint Description:**

Tristan Torres, candidate for the College of NSM is receiving official endorsements from student leaders. See attached photo that was screenshots yesterday afternoon from Saba Ansari's social media account (March 6, 2018, between 11am-1pm).

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 8, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 6 Clause 4. Individual officials of an organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students may express their individual views in support or opposition of a candidate (including themselves), candidate team, or coalition. In expressing such views, they may identify themselves as officials of their organization, provided it is clear they are not speaking for the Associated Students, their organization, or the student body as a whole.

Due to the fact that the social media post was on the student's personal social media account, did not use her ASI leadership title in the promotion of the candidate, and did not make any mention of endorsement from ASI, their organization, or the student body, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #6 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.



# **ASI Elections Complaint #7 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#7]  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:25:29 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 7, 2018 10:25 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#7]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) *	Laila Dadabhoy
Complainant Email *	[REDACTED]
Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)	[REDACTED]
This complaint is against:	A President and/or Vice President Candidate
Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.	Yesterday (March 6), President and VP candidates Kelly and Kaetlyn, used ASI offices (specifically the ASIP room) to store their campaign materials until they could stake (approximately 4:15pm). The other student leader present was Marco Vargas. After reviewing the packet provided, it is my understanding that the use of ASI facilities for campaigning is not allowed.

To: Laila Dadabhoy  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 07 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #7

On Wednesday, March 7, 2018 at 10:25 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

**Complaint Description:**

Yesterday (March 6), President and VP candidates Kelly and Kaetlyn, used ASI offices (specifically the ASIP room) to store their campaign materials until they could stake (approximately 4:15pm). The other student leader present was Marco Vargas. After reviewing the packet provided, it is my understanding that the use of ASI facilities for campaigning is not allowed.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 8, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item k. Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused were storing their campaign materials in an ASI office, the accusation is determined hearsay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #7 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Kelly Zarate and Kaetlyn Hernandez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 07 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #7

On Wednesday, March 7, 2018 at 10:25 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

**Complaint Description:**

Yesterday (March 6), President and VP candidates Kelly and Kaetlyn, used ASI offices (specifically the ASIP room) to store their campaign materials until they could stake (approximately 4:15pm). The other student leader present was Marco Vargas. After reviewing the packet provided, it is my understanding that the use of ASI facilities for campaigning is not allowed.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 8, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item k. Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused were storing their campaign materials in an ASI office, the accusation is determined hearsay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #7 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team.  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:26:20 PM  
**Attachments:** [Damoira C.pdf](#)  
[jennifer m.PNG](#)  
[Kaetlyn Kelly.docx](#)  
[marco v.PNG](#)

---

Attach for #7

-----Original Message-----

From: Kaetlyn Hernandez [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, March 8, 2018 2:46 AM  
To: ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)>  
Subject: Re: Complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team.

Hello Rachel!

I have attached several documents for rebuttal of the complaint. Thank you for your notification!

On Wed, Mar 7, 2018 at 12:45 PM, ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu) <<mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu>>>  
> wrote:

Hello, A complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team. We are reaching out to inform you that the hearing for this complaint will occur at the 9 AM EJC meeting on 3/8/18 in TSU Stearns. At this meeting you have the opportunity to provide a response to the complaint and answer questions from the EJC. You are not required to be present for the hearing, being present is optional and the hearing will be held regardless of if you are in attendance. You also have the ability to provide a written response to the complaint and any supporting documentation you think is appropriate prior to the hearing. Any written response and documentation must be submitted via email before the start of the meeting. The EJC will be hearing both sides, reviewing and considering the complaint, response, and additional documentation submitted, and then will be making a decision within 24 hours. You will be notified of the EJC decision and any resulting required action.

Here is the complaint that was received:

Yesterday (March 6), President and VP candidates Kelly and Kaetlyn, used ASI offices (specifically the ASIP room) to store their campaign materials until they could stake (approximately 4:15pm). The other student leader present was Marco Vargas. After reviewing the packet provided, it is my understanding that the use of ASI facilities for campaigning is not allowed.

Thank you,

Rachel Langenfeld

Elections Director

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Titan Student Union 271

800 N. State College Blvd. Fullerton, CA 92834 <[<<mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu>> aselections@fullerton.edu](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__maps.google.com_-3Fq-3D800-2BN.-2BState-2BCollege-2BBlvd.-2BFullerton-2C-2BCA-2B92834-26entry-3Dgmail-26source-3Dg&d=DwMFaQ&c=GlhIK-Z7Itify6iax27XCf9KYFXDgbS2ET58kP-Ckgw&r=tO2lIPUfkxkMJ4t6U9zZ3p8pcZ4uQ29QbFMzUaZB-UU&m=i9mSyq_7S9ID-N0620-Lf2pi4bcSer53vL7Plx5XuWY&s=3__leSRodNOv_Iujy2egI5zT0bbOh8SXOkTzwc-wAo8&e=> -6828</a></p></div><div data-bbox=)

Hello,

Tuesday, March 6th I was in and out of the office all day. I went into the office before my board report, after the Board meeting, after my director's meeting, and after Street Team. Each time I saw no handbills, promotional items or supplies for any of the candidates running in this years elections.

At around 4PM, Kelly and Kaetlyn asked us to help carry posters from Kelly's car to the Student Life and Leadership office. At this point, Kaetlyn, Arturo Vega, Marco Vargas, Jennifer Mendoza, and myself went down to the loading docks to meet Kelly. I did not help them take the posters to SLL due to the fact that I had to go back to the library to pick up my belongings before Library Administration closed (before 5pm). This was between 4:15 and 4:26PM, I know this because I recall checking the time and leaving because Executive Senate was starting in 15 minutes as well as set up for Marco's ASIP event. At 4:26PM is when I texted Andrew La, for possibly being late since I was barely leaving the library.


After the Street Team meeting at around 7:50 or 8PM, Tania Davila and I took our supplies back up to our office and there was still no elections supplies. Shortly after, Rachel (elections commissioner) passed by and tried to swipe her ID, but Tania opened the door for her. We talked quickly about volunteers for elections debates then Rachel and Tania left. I left at approximately 8:26PM, because that's when I texted my roommate about leaving. As student leaders we try hard to keep our roles and resources separate from who we are supporting. For example, remember little things like not having my water bottle with a candidate's sticker on it out at a meeting or taking pins off my backpack. As Street Team Director, I have made it clear to our members that they should participate in voting and they should attend the debates to hear the platforms of ALL candidates. Also, that Tania and myself will not be talking about candidates, promoting, or supporting any candidates at street team, in our office, or working other ASI events.

Although I may not have been there the entire time I do not question the integrity of Kelly, Kaetlyn, or Marco. I know Kelly and Kaetlyn would not jeopardize their candidacy by leaving their campaign supplies in the office especially when Kelly's car was down at the loading docks. I know Marco would not give them the opportunity or option even if they did want to leave their stuff in our office (although they did not). Kelly and Kaetlyn may be our friends, but they have not and will not have anything stored in the ASIP and Street Team Office TSU 268. We know the rules and abide by them.

Thank you,  
Damoira Chung



**Jennifer Mendoza**



to me

10:47 PM (1 hour ago)



I, Jennifer Mendoza was helping out Kelly Zarate and Kaetlyn Hernandez carry stakes from Kelly's car to the Student Life & Leadership office, to get them stamped. They did not have the approval yet for stamping, so I helped carry the stakes back to Kelly Zarate's car.

Warm Regards,

Pg.16

Jennifer Mendoza



Hello,

In response to the recent complaint form submitted, there has been a misunderstanding of what was actually done in that instance. On March 6<sup>th</sup> at 4 p.m., Kelly Zarate brought her car to campus which held all of our campaign posters attached to stakes. At around 4:10-4:15 p.m., Kaetlyn Hernandez and Kelly Zarate (along with student helpers: Marco Vargas, Jennifer Mendoza, and Arturo Vega) gathered the stakes and carried them to the TSU loading dock elevator to then take to the Student Life and Leadership Office on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor in order to receive stamp approval before their closing at 5 p.m. While waiting for the elevator, there was brief conversation with Laila Dadabhoy and then we entered the elevator as Laila left campus. Kaetlyn, Kelly, Marco, Jennifer, and Arturo took the stakes to SLL's office, spoke to Toubee Yang and Drew Wiley, finished with our business, and then carried the stakes back outside to Kelly Zarate's car. The stakes have been stored in her car ever since. There are several witness statements attached supporting this clarification.

Thank you,

Kaetlyn Hernandez and Kelly Zarate

Written Statement

Hello everyone! I hope you are all doing well; I am currently in class, and unfortunately, I will not be present during this hearing. It has come to my attention that my name was brought up into a peculiar situation. As many of you may know, Kelly and Kaetlyn were accused of allegedly storing campaign materials in the ASI offices. However, I can state that the following accusations are false. As an ASI leader, I hold my professionalism and morals to a high standard; I, like all of you present today, know the dos and don'ts when it comes to election season. During the date of the complaint, March 6th, 2018, I was asked to help Kelly and Kaetlyn bring up their campaign materials to the Student Life and Leadership office to get the approval stamp. Once we found out that we would need to wait for administrative approval, we organized ourselves and brought the materials down to Kelly's car. Not once during the duration of this task did we enter any ASI office. I hope these words will clarify any confusion and settle any accusations. I wish you all a great day and hope to see you all later.

All the best,

Marco A Vargas

X



# **ASI Elections Complaint #8 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#8]  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:25:37 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 9, 2018 9:08 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#8]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Celine Moubayed

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

"Any organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students shall be prohibited from spending any Associated Student funds or using any resources to promote or discourage a vote in favor or against any proposition, candidate, candidate team, or coalition in any election."

It is my understanding that this mixer did receive funding for winning the ASI canned food drive. Confirmation of this information can be found in the Board of Directors minutes. On the candidate's instagram is everyone at the event telling people to vote Kelly and Katelyn.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]



vote2k18 • Follow

vote2k18 Thank you to @csufsigmanu and @adpicsuf for the immense amount of support! Don't forget to #vote2k18 next week!

adpicsuf We love you! ❤️💬

desalemansour Amazing!

csufsigmanu 🙌🙌



96 likes

21 HOURS AGO

Add a comment...



To: Celine Moubayed  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 12 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #8

On Friday, March 9, 2018 at 09:08 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Celine Moubayed

**Complaint Description:**

"Any organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students shall be prohibited from spending any Associated Student funds or using any resources to promote or discourage a vote in favor or against any proposition, candidate, candidate team, or coalition in any election."

It is my understanding that this mixer did receive funding for winning the ASI canned food drive. Confirmation of this information can be found in the Board of Directors minutes. On the candidate's instagram is everyone at the event telling people to vote Kelly and Katelyn.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 12, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 6 Clause 3. Any organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students shall be prohibited from spending any Associated Student funds or using any resources to promote or discourage a vote in favor or against any proposition, candidate, candidate team, or coalition in any election.

Due to the fact that there were two events, one at which ASI funds were used on campus to provide food and another that was hosted by Greek organizations and off campus with private student organization funds, and the believed infraction occurred at the latter event, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #8 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Kelly Zarate and Kaetlyn Hernandez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 12 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #8

On Friday, March 9, 2018 at 09:08 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Celine Moubayed

**Complaint Description:**

"Any organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students shall be prohibited from spending any Associated Student funds or using any resources to promote or discourage a vote in favor or against any proposition, candidate, candidate team, or coalition in any election."

It is my understanding that this mixer did receive funding for winning the ASI canned food drive. Confirmation of this information can be found in the Board of Directors minutes. On the candidate's instagram is everyone at the event telling people to vote Kelly and Katelyn.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 12, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 6 Clause 3. Any organization receiving sponsorship or funding from the Associated Students shall be prohibited from spending any Associated Student funds or using any resources to promote or discourage a vote in favor or against any proposition, candidate, candidate team, or coalition in any election.

Due to the fact that there were two events, one at which ASI funds were used on campus to provide food and another that was hosted by Greek organizations and off campus with private student organization funds, and the believed infraction occurred at the latter event, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #8 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Hearing supporting material  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:28:37 PM  
**Attachments:** [To whom it may concern.pdf](#)

---

After #8

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kaetlyn Hernandez <hernandezkaetlyn@csu.fullerton.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 12, 2018 7:16 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** Hearing supporting material

Hello Rachel,

This is a statement made by the Alpha Delta Pi Vice President regarding our hearing. Thank you.

Warm Regards,  
Kaetlyn Hernandez



To whom it may concern,

On Thursday, March 8<sup>th</sup>, Kaetlyn Hernandez and Kelly Zarate attended a mixer between Sigma Nu and Alpha Delta Pi. The mixer was a two-part event, one in which was funded by ASI where participants ate Raising Canes and the other part, completely and totally apart from ASI, was funded by the Greek organizations where members took part in laser tagging. At the laser tag portion, which was only paid for through Alpha Delta Pi and Sigma Nu funds, Kaetlyn and Kelly handed out their campaign merchandise. This only took place after all ASI portions of the event were completely done and separated from the actual mixer. I hope this provides clarity to the situation and provides support in that Kaetlyn and Kelly respected campaign rules. Please feel free to contact me for any further information or with any questions at [REDACTED]

Best Regards,

Lindsey Medeiros  
Alpha Delta Pi Executive Vice President

On March 9<sup>th</sup> at 1PM, I (Kaetlyn Hernandez) attended the SCICC Meeting in the Legislative Chambers to present my campaign along with Josh, Colin, and Celine. I had work immediately after that meeting. I wore the ASI Elections shirt as it is my uniform for the time being in spirit of Elections and I would not have any time for changing. I had no intention and saw no issue with wearing that shirt as it is neutral and does not sway candidates in any way towards a particular candidate. It is merely promotional and provides students with the information to vote no matter who they choose. I do not believe that there is anyway that my attire “substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election”, shows any sign of “that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign”, and is not “Associated Students property that is not openly accessible to all students to produce copies of any campaign material or promote an individual candidate”.

In the second instance, Alpha Delta Pi hosted a mixer with Sigma Nu on March 9<sup>th</sup> at 8PM. The mixer was split into two separate events, one being an opportunity for free food in replacement of our prize as the ASI Canned Food Drive winners. The second being at Laser Island where members of the two Greek organizations played laser tag, which was paid completely by the two organizations. Kelly and I passed out promotional items and members agreed to announce their support at the laser tag event. The screenshots provided as evidence are both media taken at the Laser Island location and laser tag event only.


# **ASI Elections Complaint #9 2018**


**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#9]  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:25:44 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 9, 2018 9:15 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#9]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Celine Moubayed

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

"Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns."

"Using University or Associated Students property that is not openly accessible to all students to produce copies of any campaign material or promote an individual candidate."

Vice President candidate Katelyn was wearing a shirt with the ASI logo, which was only made available to current ASI student leaders and staff. She wore this shirt when campaigning to SCICC.

Please attach any documents and/or

photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





To: Celine Moubayed  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 12 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #9

On Friday, March 9, 2018 at 09:15 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Celine Moubayed

**Complaint Description:**

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

"Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns."

"Using University or Associated Students property that is not openly accessible to all students to produce copies of any campaign material or promote an individual candidate."

Vice President Candidate Katelyn was wearing a shirt with the ASI logo, which was only made available to current ASI student leaders and staff. She wore this shirt when campaigning to SCICC.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 12, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item k. Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns.

Due to the fact that wearing the t-shirt that promotes elections for all is currently a part of the candidate's uniform at their place of employment, that the candidate did not make any claim to endorsement from ASI or ASI Elections, and that the act in question does not substantially affect the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws

ASI Election Complaint Form #9 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Kelly Zarate and Kaetlyn Hernandez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 12 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #9

On Friday, March 9, 2018 at 09:15 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Celine Moubayed

**Complaint Description:**

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

"Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns."

"Using University or Associated Students property that is not openly accessible to all students to produce copies of any campaign material or promote an individual candidate."

Vice President Candidate Katelyn was wearing a shirt with the ASI logo, which was only made available to current ASI student leaders and staff. She wore this shirt when campaigning to SCICC.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 12, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item k. Using California State University, Fullerton, or Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes. The use of authority includes, but is not limited to, any action which would reasonably suggest that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign. The use of facilities includes, but is not limited to, posting campaign materials, the use of facilities for storage of campaign materials, and their use for meetings related to campaigns.

Due to the fact that wearing the t-shirt that promotes elections for all is currently a part of the candidate's uniform at their place of employment, that the candidate did not make any claim to endorsement from ASI or ASI Elections, and that the act in question does not substantially affect the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #9 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.



On March 9<sup>th</sup> at 1PM, I (Kaetlyn Hernandez) attended the SCICC Meeting in the Legislative Chambers to present my campaign along with Josh, Colin, and Celine. I had work immediately after that meeting. I wore the ASI Elections shirt as it is my uniform for the time being in spirit of Elections and I would not have any time for changing. I had no intention and saw no issue with wearing that shirt as it is neutral and does not sway candidates in any way towards a particular candidate. It is merely promotional and provides students with the information to vote no matter who they choose. I do not believe that there is anyway that my attire “substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election”, shows any sign of “that the Associated Students, or any unit thereof is taking a stance on or participating in a campaign”, and is not “Associated Students property that is not openly accessible to all students to produce copies of any campaign material or promote an individual candidate”.

In the second instance, Alpha Delta Pi hosted a mixer with Sigma Nu on March 9<sup>th</sup> at 8PM. The mixer was split into two separate events, one being an opportunity for free food in replacement of our prize as the ASI Canned Food Drive winners. The second being at Laser Island where members of the two Greek organizations played laser tag, which was paid completely by the two organizations. Kelly and I passed out promotional items and members agreed to announce their support at the laser tag event. The screenshots provided as evidence are both media taken at the Laser Island location and laser tag event only.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #10 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#10]  
**Date:** Monday, March 19, 2018 3:42:22 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 1:27 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#10]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Michael Gandara

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I received an email from Collin Eacobellis, a candidate for ASI Vice President running with Celine Moubayed for President. This was sent to my csuf email. Other students have also received this email encouraging a vote for Celine and Colin.

I would like to know how Colin and/or Celine could obtain this information. I know Celine is the Chief Communications Officer and though I do not want to speculate, I am concerned that one of them used their positions to obtain our campus email address.

I believe this falls under Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1 which states:

Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Thank you for your time.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[screenshot\\_20180313103850.jpg](#) 229.84 KB · JPG



We'll CC You! Inbox



Colin Eacobellis

to bcc: me

9:58 AM [View details](#)



Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



To: Michael Gandara  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #10

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 01:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Michael Gandara

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email from Collin Eacobellis, a candidate for ASI Vice President running with Celine Moubayed for President. This was sent to my csuf email. Other students have also received this email encouraging a vote for Celine and Colin.

I would like to know how Colin and/or Celine could obtain this information. I know Celine is the Chief Communications Officer and though I do not want to speculate, I am concerned that one of them used their positions to obtain our campus email address.

I believe this falls under Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1 which states:

Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Thank you for your time.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the

email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #10 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team resulting in revocation of publicity, posting, and campaigning privileges for the candidate team and an official notice of this confirmed violation being posted electronically on the election ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will need to cease any campaigning activities and begin removing any physical and/or electronic campaign postings.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #10

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 01:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Michael Gandara

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email from Collin Eacobellis, a candidate for ASI Vice President running with Celine Moubayed for President. This was sent to my csuf email. Other students have also received this email encouraging a vote for Celine and Colin.

I would like to know how Colin and/or Celine could obtain this information. I know Celine is the Chief Communications Officer and though I do not want to speculate, I am concerned that one of them used their positions to obtain our campus email address.

I believe this falls under Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1 which states:

Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Thank you for your time.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the

email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #10 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team resulting in revocation of publicity, posting, and campaigning privileges for the candidate team and an official notice of this confirmed violation being posted electronically on the election ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will need to cease any campaigning activities and begin removing any physical and/or electronic campaign postings.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.



**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team.  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:30:11 PM  
**Attachments:** [ASI Judicial Hearing 3-14-18.docx](#)

---

After #10

-----Original Message-----

From: Colin Eacobellis <ced[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 12:51 AM  
To: ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
Cc: [REDACTED] Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
Subject: Re: Complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team.

Hello ASI Election Judicial Council,

Attached to this email is evidence regarding the hearings that are occurring tomorrow at 9:00am and 4:00pm. I can also bring in my laptop to demonstrate the step-by-step process on how these emails were obtained and distributed out.

Thank you and I will see you tomorrow

Thank you

On Tue, Mar 13, 2018 at 6:39 PM, ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu <mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu>> > wrote:

Hello, A complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team. We are reaching out to inform you that the hearing for this complaint will occur at the 4 PM EJC meeting on 3/14/18 in TSU Stearns. At this meeting, you have the opportunity to provide a response to the complaint and answer questions from the EJC. You are not required to be present for the hearing, being present is optional and the hearing will be held regardless of if you are in attendance. You also have the ability to provide a written response to the complaint and any supporting documentation you think is appropriate prior to the hearing. Any written response and documentation must be submitted via email before the start of the meeting. The EJC will be hearing both sides, reviewing and considering the complaint, response, and additional documentation submitted and then will be making a decision within 24 hours. You will be notified of the EJC decision and any resulting required action.

Here is the complaint that was received:

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \*

Elizabeth Sanchez

This complaint is against:

A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Hi there, today I received an email from VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. I have never in my life provided my email to Colin and I am aware that I am among 100s of students who received the same email. Colin should be disqualified if he obtained our emails through ASI. I would want to have the opportunity to address this, for I want to know how he obtained all of our emails. Please let me know how to go about this. Thank you.

#19

Thank you,

Rachel Langenfeld

Elections Director

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Titan Student Union 271

800 N. State College Blvd. Fullerton, CA 92834 <[<<mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu>> aselections@fullerton.edu](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__maps.google.com_-3Fq-3D800-2BN.-2BState-2BCollege-2BBlvd.-2BFullerton-2C-2BCA-2B92834-26entry-3Dgmail-26source-3Dg&d=DwMFaQ&c=GlhIK-Z7Itify6iax27XCf9KYFXDgbS2ET58kP-Ckgw&r=tO2lIPUfkxkMJ4t6U9zZ3p8pcZ4uQ29QbFMzUaZB-UU&m=O2vZ9FA200MOZ6EldbD_HdcS0WlmKBgyDHO-vDkNgEg&s=zY3_Io6UPL0GdwLnvFBPwYWsKuvaSCP5u4dCToZY8XU&e=> -6828</a>></p></div><div data-bbox=)

Dear Elections, Judicial Committee,


I have received the complaints regarding an email sent for my campaign and would like to provide information about how this occurred.

After reviewing Article XIII, Section 4, Clause 1-C of the ASI Bylaws I determined that emailing student groups was allowed due to the text stating **“This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.”**

Through Titanlink, I contacted entire groups (student organizations) in which members are required to be apart of in order to be a registered student organization. All students can access this information through Titanlink as roster information is required to be posted by Student Life and Leadership.

Thank you for taking the time to review this information and I look forward to having the chance to speak with you in the morning.

Please see documentation below;



# **ASI Elections Complaint #11 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#11]  
**Date:** Monday, March 19, 2018 3:42:28 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 1:58 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#11]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Maria Linares

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I received an email and I believe my information was stolen. I do not know how they were able to access my email address. I am not in any classes with Colin or Celeste and I have never interacted with Colin at all. I don't have any type of relationship with them. I am reporting this because it doesn't sound right that they have access to all of these emails and can easily get the votes this way. I didn't receive emails from any of the other candidates who are running for President and VP. If I wasn't an involved student, I might have just clicked on the link and voted for them. It could be confusing and misleading for students.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]



We'll CC You! Inbox



Colin Eacobellis

to bcc: me

[Hide details](#)



From: Colin Eacobellis



Bcc:



Date: Mar 13, 2018, 11:45 AM


[View security details](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



To: Maria Linares  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #11

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 01:58 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Maria Linares

Complaint Description:

I received an email and I believe my information was stolen. I do not know how they were able to access my email address. I am not in any classes with Colin or Celeste and I have never interacted with Colin at all. I don't have any type of relationship with them. I am reporting this because it doesn't sound right that they have access to all of these emails and can easily get the votes this way. I didn't receive emails from any of the other candidates who are running for President and VP. If I wasn't an involved student, I might have just clicked on the link and voted for them. It could be confusing and misleading for students.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication "spam" to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). "Spam" shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author's campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates' personal student email accounts, that the recipient's email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of "spam," as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #11 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in four strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.



To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #11

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 01:58 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Maria Linares

Complaint Description:

I received an email and I believe my information was stolen. I do not know how they were able to access my email address. I am not in any classes with Colin or Celeste and I have never interacted with Colin at all. I don't have any type of relationship with them. I am reporting this because it doesn't sound right that they have access to all of these emails and can easily get the votes this way. I didn't receive emails from any of the other candidates who are running for President and VP. If I wasn't an involved student, I might have just clicked on the link and voted for them. It could be confusing and misleading for students.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication "spam" to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). "Spam" shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author's campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates' personal student email accounts, that the recipient's email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of "spam," as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #11 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in four strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #12 2018**

**From:** [Edwards, Dave](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#12]  
**Date:** Friday, March 16, 2018 9:27:38 AM


---


Dr. Dave Edwards  
ASI Executive Director  
CSU Fullerton  
657-278-7739

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 3:19 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#12]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Shawn Grinnell

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I received an email from the Vice Presidential candidate Collin Eacobellis this morning stating his campaign goals and urging me to vote for him and his running mate, Celine Moubayed who is running for President. I do not know who this person is, I am not in any of his classes, nor am I involved in any clubs or extracurricular activities with him. I don't know if they got my contact information through a position one of them holds, or somehow else, but it ultimately made me uncomfortable for this was emailed directly to me, meaning they must have access to my private information, and were using it to promote their campaign. I feel as though this should not be allowed, and it may even be illegal.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[asi\\_elections\\_complaint.docx](#) 1.55 MB · DOCX

We'll CC You! Inbox



Colin Eacobellis  
to bcc: me  
09:57 [View details](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!





[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

We strive to:

1. Enhance communications, so students can become more informed of on-campus opportunities. This will be done through keeping students in the loop with being CC'd on emails and having an open forum policy for positive and negative feedback.
2. Work with the Vice Chair Treasurer to increase funding for Inter-Club Councils and to formulate a budget that does not consist of raising your tuition.



We strive to:

1. Enhance communications, so students can become more informed of on-campus opportunities. This will be done through keeping students in the loop with being CC'd on emails and having an open forum policy for positive and negative feedback.
2. Work with the Vice Chair Treasurer to increase funding for Inter-Club Councils and to formulate a budget that does not consist of raising your tuition.
3. Increase general student engagement at ASI events through collaboration of all different aspects of CSUF gaining the maximum attendance possible.

Your consideration for Celine and Colin is greatly appreciated and we hope that we can serve as your next ASI President and Vice President throughout this upcoming school year.

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

Thank you,

Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis

To: Shawn Grinnell  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #12

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 03:19 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Shawn Grinnell

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email from the Vice Presidential candidate Collin Eacobellis this morning stating his campaign goals and urging me to vote for him and his running mate, Celine Moubayed who is running for President. I do not know who this person is, I am not in any of his classes, nor am I involved in any clubs or extracurricular activities with him. I don't know if they got my contact information through a position one of them holds, or somehow else, but it ultimately made me uncomfortable for this was emailed directly to me, meaning they must have access to my private information, and were using it to promote their campaign. I feel as though this should not be allowed, and it may even be illegal.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #12 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in six strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.



As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #12

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 03:19 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Shawn Grinnell

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email from the Vice Presidential candidate Collin Eacobellis this morning stating his campaign goals and urging me to vote for him and his running mate, Celine Moubayed who is running for President. I do not know who this person is, I am not in any of his classes, nor am I involved in any clubs or extracurricular activities with him. I don't know if they got my contact information through a position one of them holds, or somehow else, but it ultimately made me uncomfortable for this was emailed directly to me, meaning they must have access to my private information, and were using it to promote their campaign. I feel as though this should not be allowed, and it may even be illegal.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #12 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in six strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #13 2018**

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 3:39 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#13]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Nicole Chavez

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Celine & Colin sent an email to various students telling them to put them as their number one choice. How did they get these emails?

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[20a7abfc313d427e978ee29a31e08d09.jpeg.jpg](#)

135.22 KB • JPG



Colin Eacobellis

to bcc: me

[Hide details](#)

From: Colin Eacobellis

Bcc:

Date: Mar 13, 2018, 11:45 AM

[View security details](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!

To: Nicole Chavez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #13

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 03:39 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Nicole Chavez

**Complaint Description:**

Celine & Colin sent an email to various students telling them to put them as their number one choice. How did they get these emails?

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #13 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in eight strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.



To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #13

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 03:39 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Nicole Chavez

**Complaint Description:**

Celine & Colin sent an email to various students telling them to put them as their number one choice. How did they get these emails?

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #13 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in eight strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as a chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: EJC 4PM on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:38:19 PM

---

#13

---

**From:** ASI Elections  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 14, 2018 2:35 PM  
**To:** Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** Fwd: EJC 4PM on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250

Here are statements from two students that filed a complaint.

Thank you,  
Rachel Langenfeld  
Elections Director  
Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.  
Titan Student Union 271  
[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)

---

**From:** Nicole Chavez [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 14, 2018 2:28:27 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections  
**Subject:** Re: EJC 4PM on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250

Hello I wanted to say that unfortunately I have midterms during the time of the hearing. However I wanted to state that no normal student without asi position has access to these emails and even if he did it would be against the rules to use his position for that advantage as other teams do not have that advantage. Furthermore many students have gotten these emails and sent formal complaints showing how many have received them. Therefore this should be enough to disqualify the team.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 14, 2018, at 11:55 AM, ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)> wrote:

Hello, the Elections Judicial Council meeting at 4pm on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250. This is on the second floor of the TSU.

Thank you,

**Rachel Langenfeld**  
**Elections Director**  
Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.  
Titan Student Union 271

800 N. State College Blvd. Fullerton, CA 92834-6828  
[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)

# **ASI Elections Complaint #14 2018**

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 3:54 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#14]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Melisa Valdovinos

Complainant Email \*

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Celine and Colin sent unauthorized emails to students about their campaign. They violated the consent if those students by doing that. They violated privacy regulations by sending students they do not know, information about their campaign. Celine is Campus Communication Officer, because of her position, it concerns me that there is a possibility that she used her position in ASI, to retrieve this information. And that this is to be the case, this is illegal and it violated students privacy.

To: Melisa Valdovinos  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #14

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 03:54 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Melisa Valdovinos

**Complaint Description:**

Celine and Colin sent unauthorized emails to students about their campaign. They violated the consent if those students by doing that. They violated privacy regulations by sending students they do not know, information about their campaign. Celine is Campus Communication Officer, because of her position, it concerns me that there is a possibility that she used her position in ASI, to retrieve this information. And that this is to be the case, this is illegal and it violated students privacy.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent unauthorized emails to students about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #14 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #14

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 03:54 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Melisa Valdovinos

**Complaint Description:**

Celine and Colin sent unauthorized emails to students about their campaign. They violated the consent if those students by doing that. They violated privacy regulations by sending students they do not know, information about their campaign. Celine is Campus Communication Officer, because of her position, it concerns me that there is a possibility that she used her position in ASI, to retrieve this information. And that this is to be the case, this is illegal and it violated students privacy.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent unauthorized emails to students about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #14 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.



# **ASI Elections Complaint #15 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 4:27 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#15]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Barrios Melissa

Complainant Email \*

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis sent me an email today through my fullerton.edu email about voting for them specifically during the ASI Elections. It has come to my attention that Celine is the Campus Communications Officer for ASI and there is a possibility that she took advantage of her position by getting access to student email addresses without their consent for her own benefit. This is an absolute violation of my privacy and they should be disqualified from elections.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.






Colin Eacobellis

Hide details

Bcc:



Date: March 13, 2018, 11:44 AM

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



To: Barrios Melissa  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #15

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 04:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Barrios Melissa

**Complaint Description:**

Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis sent me an email today through my fullerton.edu email about voting for them specifically during the ASI Elections. It has come to my attention that Celine is the Campus Communications Officer for ASI and there is a possibility that she took advantage of her position by getting access to student email addresses without their consent for her own benefit. This is an absolute violation of my privacy and they should be disqualified from elections.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #15 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in ten strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #15

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 04:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Barrios Melissa

**Complaint Description:**

Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis sent me an email today through my fullerton.edu email about voting for them specifically during the ASI Elections. It has come to my attention that Celine is the Campus Communications Officer for ASI and there is a possibility that she took advantage of her position by getting access to student email addresses without their consent for her own benefit. This is an absolute violation of my privacy and they should be disqualified from elections.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #15 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in ten strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #16 2018**



---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 5:44 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#16]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Anissa Garcia

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

To whom it may concern: Today on March 13, at 11:45 am I received an email reminder to vote during the election that opened this morning. I am not one to usually vote, but I appreciated the reminder. Yet as I scrolled even further I saw that the email came from non other than candidate Colin Eocobellis himself and I was extremely taken aback. I have no idea how how accessed my email ? Let alone email blasted I'm sure other CSUF students and literally reminded them of the online voting, opening but also plugged in his candidacy in the email as well? I have never have this student in any classes and never allowed for this email to be accessed in that manner especially by another student. Not to mention that ethically this is wrong because this gives advantage of these students over those who are running who did not take advantage of students and their personal school emails this way. I work for a program on campus and understand the rules of student confidentiality and I understand the need to protect this at all costs. I misled have have issues with someone stalking me and knowing someone can access my information like that ? Makes me feel extremely upset. Regardless of how this email was accessed to send self promotion within unwilling students emails the day of the voting opening, is beyond unethical, and unprofessional and it made me feel unsafe and unwelcome. In the case that this is allowed why are student emails even private ? What stops all clubs and orgs, and programs and resource centers and all the candidates for every position to send me this self promotion ? This is an abuse of power and I am extremely concerned that ASI Even let this happen. Not to mention how unfair it is to the other candidates who did things the RIGHT way.

And there's is no need to excuse this by saying this was simply a reminder to vote because the Dean is Students also sent out an email, one I felt more safe receiving. I expect things to be dealt with efficiently. I am beyond disappointed.

To: Anissa Garcia  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #16

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 05:44 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Anissa Garcia

**Complaint Description:**

To whom it may concern: Today on March 13, at 11:45 am I received an email reminder to vote during the election that opened this morning. I am not one to usually vote, but I appreciated the reminder. Yet as I scrolled even further I saw that the email came from non other than candidate Colin Eocobellis himself and I was extremely taken aback. I have no idea how how accessed my email ? Let alone email blasted I'm sure other CSUF students and literally reminded them of the online voting, opening but also plugged in his candidacy in the email as well? I have never have this student in any classes and never allowed for this email to be accessed in that manner especially by another student. Not to mention that ethically this is wrong because this gives advantage of these students over those who are running who did not take advantage of students and their personal school emails this way. I work for a program on campus and understand the rules of student confidentiality and I understand the need to protect this at all costs. I misled have have issues with someone stalking me and knowing someone can access my information like that ? Makes me feel extremely upset. Regardless of how this email was accessed to send self promotion within unwilling students emails the day of the voting opening, is beyond unethical, and unprofessional and it made me feel unsafe and unwelcome. In the case that this is allowed why are student emails even private ? What stops all clubs and orgs, and programs and resource centers and all the candidates for every position to send me this self promotion ? This is an abuse of power and I am extremely concerned that ASI Even let this happen. Not to mention how unfair it is to the other candidates who did things the RIGHT way. And there's is no need to excuse this by saying this was simply a reminder to vote because the Dean is Students also sent out an email, one I felt more safe receiving. I expect things to be dealt with efficiently. I am beyond disappointed.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication "spam" to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). "Spam" shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author's campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent the complainant an email about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #16 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #16

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 05:44 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Anissa Garcia

**Complaint Description:**

To whom it may concern: Today on March 13, at 11:45 am I received an email reminder to vote during the election that opened this morning. I am not one to usually vote, but I appreciated the reminder. Yet as I scrolled even further I saw that the email came from non other than candidate Colin Eacobellis himself and I was extremely taken aback. I have no idea how how accessed my email ? Let alone email blasted I'm sure other CSUF students and literally reminded them of the online voting, opening but also plugged in his candidacy in the email as well? I have never have this student in any classes and never allowed for this email to be accessed in that manner especially by another student. Not to mention that ethically this is wrong because this gives advantage of these students over those who are running who did not take advantage of students and their personal school emails this way. I work for a program on campus and understand the rules of student confidentiality and I understand the need to protect this at all costs. I misled have have issues with someone stalking me and knowing someone can access my information like that ? Makes me feel extremely upset. Regardless of how this email was accessed to send self promotion within unwilling students emails the day of the voting opening, is beyond unethical, and unprofessional and it made me feel unsafe and unwelcome. In the case that this is allowed why are student emails even private ? What stops all clubs and orgs, and programs and resource centers and all the candidates for every position to send me this self promotion ? This is an abuse of power and I am extremely concerned that ASI Even let this happen. Not to mention how unfair it is to the other candidates who did things the RIGHT way. And there's is no need to excuse this by saying this was simply a reminder to vote because the Dean is Students also sent out an email, one I felt more safe receiving. I expect things to be dealt with efficiently. I am beyond disappointed.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication "spam" to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). "Spam" shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author's campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent the complainant an email about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #16 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #17 2018**

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 6:02 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#17]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Robles Robles

Complainant Email \*

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)

This complaint is against:

A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Hello, at 9:57 a.m. I recieved an email from Collin to vote for him and Celine for president and vice president for ASI elections. I do not appreciate this spam email especailly since I do not know either candidate and how they got my email.



To: Robles Robles  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #17

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 06:02 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Robles Robles

**Complaint Description:**

Hello, at 9:57 a.m. I recieved an email from Collin to vote for him and Celine for president and vice president for ASI elections. I do not appreciate this spam email especailly since I do not know either candidate and how they got my email.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent the complainant an email about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #17 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #17

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 06:02 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Robles Robles

**Complaint Description:**

Hello, at 9:57 a.m. I recieved an email from Collin to vote for him and Celine for president and vice president for ASI elections. I do not appreciate this spam email especailly since I do not know either candidate and how they got my email.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent the complainant an email about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #17 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #18 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 6:27 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#18]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Rudy Aguilar

Complainant Email \*

[REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)

[REDACTED]

This complaint is against:

A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I received an email from Collin and Celline and I think it's inappropriate for them to be emailing us directly. I know Celine works in ASI, so my suspicion is that is how she and Collin had access to my email, which I never personally gave to them. As well, this puts other candidates at an unfair disadvantage.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]



We'll CC You! Trash



Colin Eacobellis

to bcc: me

[Hide details](#)

From

Colin Eacobellis

Bcc

Date

Mar 13, 2018, 9:58 AM

Security



Standard encryption (TLS) [Learn more](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!

To: Rudy Aguilar  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #18

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 06:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Rudy Aguilar

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email from Collin and Celine and I think it's inappropriate for them to be emailing us directly. I know Celine works in ASI, so my suspicion is that is how she and Collin had access to my email, which I never personally gave to them. As well, this puts other candidates at an unfair disadvantage.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication "spam" to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). "Spam" shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author's campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates' personal student email accounts, that the recipient's email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of "spam," as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #18 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in twelve strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #18

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 06:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Rudy Aguilar

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email from Collin and Celline and I think it's inappropriate for them to be emailing us directly. I know Celine works in ASI, so my suspicion is that is how she and Collin had access to my email, which I never personally gave to them. As well, this puts other candidates at an unfair disadvantage.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication "spam" to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). "Spam" shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author's campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates' personal student email accounts, that the recipient's email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of "spam," as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #18 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in twelve strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.



As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #19 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 13, 2018 6:30 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#19]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Elizabeth Sanchez

Complainant Email \*



Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)



This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Hi there, today I received an email from VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. I have never in my life provided my email to Colin and I am aware that I am among 100s of students who received the same email. Colin should be disqualified if he obtained our emails through ASI. I would want to have the opportunity to address this, for I want to know how he obtained all of our emails. Please let me know how to go about this. Thank you.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[screenshot\\_20180313182744.jpg](#) 473.17 KB · JPG

Inbox



# We'll CC You!

**Colin Eacobellis**

to

6 hours ago [Details](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



To: Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #19

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 06:30 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Elizabeth Sanchez

**Complaint Description:**

Hi there, today I received an email from VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. I have never in my life provided my email to Colin and I am aware that I am among 100s of students who received the same email. Colin should be disqualified if he obtained our emails through ASI. I would want to have the opportunity to address this, for I want to know how he obtained all of our emails. Please let me know how to go about this. Thank you.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent the complainant an email about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #19 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #19

On Tuesday, March 13, 2018 at 06:30 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Elizabeth Sanchez

**Complaint Description:**

Hi there, today I received an email from VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. I have never in my life provided my email to Colin and I am aware that I am among 100s of students who received the same email. Colin should be disqualified if he obtained our emails through ASI. I would want to have the opportunity to address this, for I want to know how he obtained all of our emails. Please let me know how to go about this. Thank you.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the candidates accused sent the complainant an email about their campaign, the accusation is determined heresay.

ASI Election Complaint Form #19 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on the candidates.

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: EJC 4PM on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:32:50 PM

---

After #19

-----Original Message-----

From: Elizabeth Sanchez [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 2:24 PM  
To: ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
Subject: Re: EJC 4PM on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250

Greetings,

I am unable to make the meeting today because I have class at that time. I truly wanted to be there because as someone who invests much time in student politics, I feel what Colin did was deviant and strays away from the fairness and integrity of the election process. With that being said, I highly encourage that Colin should be removed to set an example that no person with privileges can use such privileges to manipulate the election process. Colin's privilege is that his running partner is the ASI communications executive officer and she has access to the emails of thousands of students. Colin was given that access and they both abused their power. I beg the committee to please set the example that those in power will not be provided any tolerance even if they are remorseful. I imagine these 2 students feel tremendously guilty, however, I can only imagine the anxiety the other 2 teams are experiencing while also knowing first hand that students at large feel used and are well aware of this ASI corruption. So as I plead that they be removed, I also urge that a special example needs to be set. Colin and Celine should be disqualified, however, they should be provided CAPS resources and positive guidance so they can learn from their mistakes and grow from them and feel encouraged to run again in the future. Please take my statement serious.

On Wednesday, March 14, 2018, ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu <<mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu>>> wrote:

Hello, the Elections Judicial Council meeting at 4pm on 3/14/18 in Kyle O'Brian TSU 250. This is on the second floor of the TSU.

Thank you,

Rachel Langenfeld

Elections Director

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Titan Student Union 271

800 N. State College Blvd. Fullerton, CA 92834 <[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_maps.google.com\\_-3Fq-3D800-2BN.-2BState-2BCollege-2BBlvd.-2BFullerton-2C-2BCA-2B92834-26entry-3Dgmail-26source-3Dg&d=DwMFaQ&c=GlhIK-Z7Itify6iax27XCf9KYFXDgbS2ET58kP-Ckgw&r=tO2lIPUfkxkMJ4t6U9zZ3p8pcZ4uQ29QbFMzUaZB-UU&m=onQ9Y5nO61k\\_W2PrC8lrzMvcOPY6BE6aScWB1141dHE&s=\\_HyOMPJqLzs6qWih2sGCyDMJ-17cb9HHBa0M4A0OkQ8&e=>](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__maps.google.com_-3Fq-3D800-2BN.-2BState-2BCollege-2BBlvd.-2BFullerton-2C-2BCA-2B92834-26entry-3Dgmail-26source-3Dg&d=DwMFaQ&c=GlhIK-Z7Itify6iax27XCf9KYFXDgbS2ET58kP-Ckgw&r=tO2lIPUfkxkMJ4t6U9zZ3p8pcZ4uQ29QbFMzUaZB-UU&m=onQ9Y5nO61k_W2PrC8lrzMvcOPY6BE6aScWB1141dHE&s=_HyOMPJqLzs6qWih2sGCyDMJ-17cb9HHBa0M4A0OkQ8&e=>)-6828

[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)

--

Keep it real,

Liz Sanchez (Pro-noun: They or Them)

ASI Director, Humanities & Social Sciences M.A. Candidate - Sociology Direct



# **ASI Elections Complaint #20 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 14, 2018 12:13 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#20]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Joanna solis

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I received an email at 12:12 PM on March 13th from Colin Eacobellis regarding elections and encouraging me to vote in elections specifically for him and Celine. They included their goals in this email as well. I was confused how they managed to obtain my email. I do not have class with either of them, so they did not have access to a class roster. I am also not in any clubs with them were they might have an contact list available for us. I am confused how they found my contact information. This does not make me comfortable. I do not know if they had access to my information through a current position that one of them holds but I would expect my information to be kept safe. I work on campus and interact with student information all the time but I am contracted to keep that information safe and only for office use. So it would be unethical for me to use that information for my personal gain which seems to be the case in this situation. I'm all about a fair election. I feel like this is not an okay way to get student votes and they probably gained a great deal of votes by taking advantage of access to student information. I feel like something needs to be done to offset this advantage beyond a warning that in the end does nothing to counteract their increase in votes obtained by a not okay method.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple

documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.

---



We'll CC You!  inbox

Colin Eacobellis [redacted] to bob: me 12:12 PM (11 hours ago)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

We strive to:

1. Enhance communications, so students can become more informed of on-campus opportunities. This will be done through keeping students in the loop with being CC'd on emails and having an open forum policy for positive and negative feedback.
2. Work with the Vice Chair Treasurer to increase funding for Inter-Club Councils and to formulate a budget that does not consist of raising your tuition.
3. Increase general student engagement at ASI events through collaboration of all different aspects of CSUF gaining the maximum attendance possible.

Your consideration for Celine and Colin is greatly appreciated and we hope that we can serve as your next ASI President and Vice President throughout this upcoming school year.

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

Thank you,

Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis

To: Joanna Solis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #20

On Tuesday, March 14, 2018 at 12:13 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Joanna Solis

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email at 12:12 PM on March 13th from Colin Eacobellis regarding elections and encouraging me to vote in elections specifically for him and Celine. They included their goals in this email as well. I was confused how they managed to obtain my email. I do not have class with either of them, so they did not have access to a class roster. I am also not in any clubs with them were they might have an contact list available for us. I am confused how they found my contact information. This does not make me comfortable. I do not know if they had access to my information through a current position that one of them holds but I would expect my information to be kept safe. I work on campus and interact with student information all the time but I am contracted to keep that information safe and only for office use. So it would be unethical for me to use that information for my personal gain which seems to be the case in this situation. I'm all about a fair election. I feel like this is not an okay way to get student votes and they probably gained a great deal of votes by taking advantage of access to student information. I feel like something needs to be done to offset this advantage beyond a warning that in the end does nothing to counteract their increase in votes obtained by a not okay method.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #20 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in fourteen strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.

To: Celine Moubayed and Colin Eacobellis  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 14 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #20

On Tuesday, March 14, 2018 at 12:13 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Joanna Solis

**Complaint Description:**

I received an email at 12:12 PM on March 13th from Colin Eacobellis regarding elections and encouraging me to vote in elections specifically for him and Celine. They included their goals in this email as well. I was confused how they managed to obtain my email. I do not have class with either of them, so they did not have access to a class roster. I am also not in any clubs with them were they might have an contact list available for us. I am confused how they found my contact information. This does not make me comfortable. I do not know if they had access to my information through a current position that one of them holds but I would expect my information to be kept safe. I work on campus and interact with student information all the time but I am contracted to keep that information safe and only for office use. So it would be unethical for me to use that information for my personal gain which seems to be the case in this situation. I'm all about a fair election. I feel like this is not an okay way to get student votes and they probably gained a great deal of votes by taking advantage of access to student information. I feel like something needs to be done to offset this advantage beyond a warning that in the end does nothing to counteract their increase in votes obtained by a not okay method.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 14, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c. Using any means of unsolicited electronic communication “spam” to campaign (electronic mail, phone, message, text, social media). “Spam” shall be defined to include any unsolicited electronic communication that does not meet at least one of the following criteria: the recipient initiated contact regarding campaign matters through the same communication medium with the author; the recipient gave their contact information for the same communication medium to the author’s campaign; the communication is sent through a social network and the recipient has the author as a connection/friend/etc. on the same social network; the recipient has had non-campaign related contact with the author through the same communication medium within the past three months; the communication is sent through a listserv/group message mechanism run by some organization and the author has permission from the leadership of that organization or is a member in good standing of that organization and the communication clearly indicates the group/listserv through which it is sent. This criteria shall not be valid for group messages sent to an entire class, academic program, or other groups/listservs which students are required to be or automatically are members of.

Due to the fact that the accused candidate team confirmed in the complaint hearing that the email was in fact sent from one of the accused candidates’ personal student email accounts, that the recipient’s email address was not provided to the accused candidate team for the purpose of election or campaign related communication, that the student is not required to be involved in a club and publicly display their email address on TitanLink, and that the email message has been reported as unsolicited and has been found to be within the definition of “spam,” as described above, and that the email message does not meet any of the above criteria to exclude it from this definition, the act has been determined in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #20 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in fourteen strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 1 of the ASI Bylaws, when a decision has been made by the Elections Judicial Council concerning a formal complaint, any party identified in the complaint or receiving consequences as a result of the complaint may appeal the decision to the ASI Board of Directors.

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 2 of the ASI Bylaws, once notified of the appeal, the Board of Directors will conduct a formal hearing at the next Board of Directors meeting. All parties identified in the elections complaint will be required to attend the hearing, including a member of the Elections Judicial Council to explain to the Board of Directors how the council arrived at their decision. An individual shall be exempt from appearing before the ASI Board of Directors if they can show a valid health excuse, out of town commitment, death in the family, employment obligations, exam/paper due twenty-four hours following the case, observance of a religious holy day or ceremony which prevents attendance, an academic commitment during the meeting time, jury duty or mandatory appearance for legal/administrative proceedings during the meeting time, a force majeure which prevents attendance (this shall be defined as an chance occurrence or unavoidable accident that is not the result of negligence or misfeasance by the individual).

As per Article XIV Section 3 Clause 3 of the ASI Bylaws, once the Board of Directors has reached a verdict on the appeal through a formal vote, there shall be no further course of action for all parties involved in the hearing.

If you wish to appeal this decision, please notify Nick Jakel, Chair of the ASI Board of Directors, by sending an email to [asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu). That notification will initiate the appeal process.



# **ASI Elections Complaint #21 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#21]  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:30:08 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 9:31 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#21]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Nicholas Jakel

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I cannot stand idly by while unethical actions continue to occur from ASI Leaders.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

After many minutes of intense verbal exchanges from Hill to the rest of the Elections Judicial Council Members, he left the room. Some of those exchanges were where he vehemently claimed he was "impartial from all campaigns" and "had been trained for three years on elections." The first statement is false (see evidence proving he was not impartial). Before he left, he said the phrases: "You know who I am" "you'll hear from me about this [referring to him being forced out of the closed meeting]" and "I'm disappointed in ASI and Elections."

This violates Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i of the ASI Bylaws which says: "Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or otherpersons related to the election."

This violation is a Class A Violation which carries a three strike penalty and an automatic

disqualification of the candidate team that Jared is associated with which is Celine and Colin.

The attached file has real time situation updates via text to Dave Edwards, ASI Executive Director (sorry they're out of order please use the timestamps on the right to verify the time of the incident). An apology email from Jared about the situation – confirming that he was present at the reported incident and acted "inappropriate". Screenshots of his endorsement of Celine and Colin via a retweet of their tweet and twitter cover photo. Also present at this incident were: Drew Wiley, Hallie Hunt, Rachel Langenfeld, Andrew La, and Katherine Gwaltney.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[complaint\\_jared\\_hill\\_3.15.18.pdf](#) 655.01 KB · PDF



Dave



Sorry to hear that. But it's the right thing

4:14 PM

He is completely out of line and basically threatened everyone

4:19 PM

On his way out

4:19 PM

Verbally threatened

4:19 PM

What??

4:19 PM

Ya he said stuff like you know who I am and you'll hear from me about this I'm disappointed in ASI and elections

4:34 PM



iMessage





Dave



Laila might have been swapping out Andrew too and keeping Katherine on

4:04 PM

Jared is listening to no one

4:07 PM

Do I need to come down there? Are you all telling him that he shouldn't be there?

4:08 PM

He might be leaving but he's mad

4:13 PM

Sorry to hear that. But it's the right thing

4:14 PM

He is completely out of line and basically

4:19 PM





Dave



Yesterday 4:03 PM

Jared has forced his way into the Elections Board and forced Katherine out

4:03 PM

That's not acceptable. The council stays the same. I can come down if Drew doesn't fix it

4:04 PM

Drew's working on it rn

4:04 PM

If jared doesn't leave by 4:10/15 I'll send for you

4:04 PM

Let me know. I'll text Jared.

4:04 PM

Laila might have been swapping out Andrew too and keeping

4:04 PM



iMessage



# Sincere Apologies



TSC Board of Trustees Chair

Wed 3/14/2018 5:56 PM

To: Hunt, Hallie; ASI Elections; ASI President; ASI Vice President; ASI Board Chair; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer; Wiley, Drew  
Cc: Edwards, Dave; Allen, Keya ✉

Reply all |

Dear Friends/Colleagues/Fellow Titans,

I want to start by saying thank you for all that you do for Cal State Fullerton and for representing your respected fields on the EJC. Your work is incredibly challenging and I appreciate the steps you take to ensure a fair and democratic process is taken towards an equitable campaign season.

Today my passion for ASI and love for close friends got the best of me. I am incredibly sorry for my actions and know that they were extremely inappropriate. I have not found myself in a situation where I felt so ethically challenged and yet so powerless than with this unique incident and wish I would have handled it better. Many of you are close friends of mine and know how much I care about my friends and today that led me to actions I wish I could take back.

While I may disagree with decisions that come out of any committee, I know that there is a way to go about achieving compromise, results or action that is diplomatic and level headed. I have worked with all of you in one capacity in another and I hope that my hot headed actions today do not serve as a reflection of my character and passion for this organization and all the incredible students and staff involved with it.

I am sincerely apologetic towards making you feel uncomfortable and hope that we can finish these last 65 days out stronger than ever. My actions from here on out will serve as a positive example for young student leaders and I look forward to having the chance to work with you all in these next couple of weeks.

Sincerely,

**Jared Hill**

*Chair, Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees*

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Office 657-278-3085 | C 916-316-8505

800 North State College Blvd, Fullerton, CA 92834

[www.asi.fullerton.edu](http://www.asi.fullerton.edu)

Jared Hill Retweeted

**Vote Celine and Colin** @votecc2018 · Mar 13

Pop, lock, and drop in your votes for Celine and Colin for ASI Pres. and VP link is in our bio 🗳️



13



26







Tweets 6,775 Following 458 Followers 630 Likes 13.2K

Following

Jared Hill

Follows you

Tweets Tweets & replies Media

Jared Hill

Who to follow · Refresh · View all

Followed by Dr. Berenecea Eanes and

To: Nicholas Jakel  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 16 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #21

On Tuesday, March 15, 2018 at 09:31 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Nicholas Jakel

Complaint Description:

I cannot stand idly by while unethical actions continue to occur from ASI Leaders.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

After many minutes of intense verbal exchanges from Hill to the rest of the Elections Judicial Council Members, he left the room. Some of those exchanges were where he vehemently claimed he was "impartial from all campaigns" and "had been trained for three years on elections." The first statement is false (see evidence proving he was not impartial). Before he left, he said the phrases: "You know who I am" "you'll hear from me about this [referring to him being forced out of the closed meeting]" and "I'm disappointed in ASI and Elections."

This violates Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i of the ASI Bylaws which says:

"Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a

Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or otherpersons related to the election."

This violation is a Class A Violation which carries a three strike penalty and an automatic disqualification of the candidate team that Jared is associated with which is Celine and Colin.

The attached file has real time situation updates via text to Dave Edwards, ASI Executive Director (sorry they're out of order please use the timestamps on the right to verify the time of the incident). An apology email from Jared about the situation - confirming that he was present at the reported incident and acted "inappropriate". Screenshots of his endorsement of Celine and Colin via a retweet of their tweet and twitter cover photo. Also present at this incident were: Drew Wiley, Hallie Hunt, Rachel Langenfeld, Andrew La, and Katherine Gwaltney.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 15, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item h Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item i Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or other persons related to the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item m Obstructing justice including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council or its agents and obstructing the functioning of the Elections Judicial Council.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that the complainant consider filing with either the ASI Board of Directors or the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #21 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on any candidates.

To: Jared Hill  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 16 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #21

On Tuesday, March 15, 2018 at 09:31 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Nicholas Jakel

Complaint Description:

I cannot stand idly by while unethical actions continue to occur from ASI Leaders.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

After many minutes of intense verbal exchanges from Hill to the rest of the Elections Judicial Council Members, he left the room. Some of those exchanges were where he vehemently claimed he was "impartial from all campaigns" and "had been trained for three years on elections." The first statement is false (see evidence proving he was not impartial). Before he left, he said the phrases: "You know who I am" "you'll hear from me about this [referring to him being forced out of the closed meeting]" and "I'm disappointed in ASI and Elections."

This violates Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i of the ASI Bylaws which says:

"Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a

Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or otherpersons related to the election."

This violation is a Class A Violation which carries a three strike penalty and an automatic disqualification of the candidate team that Jared is associated with which is Celine and Colin.

The attached file has real time situation updates via text to Dave Edwards, ASI Executive Director (sorry they're out of order please use the timestamps on the right to verify the time of the incident). An apology email from Jared about the situation - confirming that he was present at the reported incident and acted "inappropriate". Screenshots of his endorsement of Celine and Colin via a retweet of their tweet and twitter cover photo. Also present at this incident were: Drew Wiley, Hallie Hunt, Rachel Langenfeld, Andrew La, and Katherine Gwaltney.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 15, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item h Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item i Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or other persons related to the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item m Obstructing justice including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council or its agents and obstructing the functioning of the Elections Judicial Council.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that the complainant consider filing with either the ASI Board of Directors or the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #21 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on any candidates.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #22 2018**

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASI Election Complaint Form [#22]  
**Date:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:30:12 PM

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 9:57 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave <daveedwards@fullerton.edu>; Allen, Keya <keyaallen@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#22]

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Nicholas Jakel

Complainant Email \* 

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

This complaint is against Jared Hill, TSC BOT Chair, and Laila Dadabhoy, ASI President and CEO and MCBE Board of Directors Candidate.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

While, and before, this was happening, Laila Dadabhoy, made attempts to remove Andrew La, ASI Vice President, from the room by letting him know a student was looking for him to ask him a Spring Concert question. Andrew said that Laila said it was a guy and she didn't know his name. However, other people in the Elections Judicial Council (Drew and Katherine I believe) room received texts saying that the student's name was Lauren Diaz, a girl not a guy like Laila said to Andrew. I believe that the spring concert question was false and was rouse to attempt to get Andrew into a meeting with a student (and out of the room). This was to make space for Jared to sit on the Elections Judicial Council while 8 of Celine and Colin's complaints were being heard.

While this was happening, Jared was working to prevent Katherine Gwaltney from sitting in the meeting on behalf of TSCBOT and Laila was working with Jared to keep him in the room and have Katherine stay in the room to represent the Executive Officers in the Elections Judicial Council.

In my opinion, this action violates many bylaws:

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item d

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item h

"Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i

"Severely obstructing justice to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the judicial processes including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council."

Laila Dadabhoy and Jared Hill are both aligned with Celine and Colin's campaign as seen by the attached evidence.

Jared acknowledges that inappropriate actions occurred yesterday in his apology email.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



PDF

[laila\\_and\\_jared\\_complaint\\_evidence.pdf](#) 2.44 MB ·



**VOTE NOW -  
vote.fullerton.edu**

**VOTE CELINE & COLIN FOR ASI  
PRESIDENT & VICE PRESIDENT**



**WHAT ARE OUR GOALS?**

Enhance communication, so students are informed of on-campus opportunities

Work with the Vice Chair Treasurer to increase funding for Inter-Club Councils

Increase general student engagement at ASI events & activities

**ASI will be ballin' with  
Celine & Colin**

< Laila Dadabhoy



shout out because the three of us put a lot of work into outreach

3:19 PM

But of courswe

3:21 PM

L God bless

3:21 PM

I mean I mentioned the whole board. We are part of it

3:21 PM

L Yeah but the three of us put in more effort than I think most of the board realizes

3:22 PM

Wednesday, March 14, 2018

L Hey a student was looking for you are you on campus?

3:51 PM

I'm going to be in meetings. Who's the student? You can send them my [asvicepresident@fullerton.edu](mailto:asvicepresident@fullerton.edu) email so we can schedule a time!

3:53 PM

L Okay

3:53 PM



# Sincere Apologies



TSC Board of Trustees Chair

Wed 3/14/2018 5:56 PM

To: Hunt, Hallie; ASI Elections; ASI President; ASI Vice President; ASI Board Chair; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer; Wiley, Drew  
Cc: Edwards, Dave; Allen, Keya ✉

Reply all |

Dear Friends/Colleagues/Fellow Titans,

I want to start by saying thank you for all that you do for Cal State Fullerton and for representing your respected fields on the EJC. Your work is incredibly challenging and I appreciate the steps you take to ensure a fair and democratic process is taken towards an equitable campaign season.

Today my passion for ASI and love for close friends got the best of me. I am incredibly sorry for my actions and know that they were extremely inappropriate. I have not found myself in a situation where I felt so ethically challenged and yet so powerless than with this unique incident and wish I would have handled it better. Many of you are close friends of mine and know how much I care about my friends and today that led me to actions I wish I could take back.

While I may disagree with decisions that come out of any committee, I know that there is a way to go about achieving compromise, results or action that is diplomatic and level headed. I have worked with all of you in one capacity in another and I hope that my hot headed actions today do not serve as a reflection of my character and passion for this organization and all the incredible students and staff involved with it.

I am sincerely apologetic towards making you feel uncomfortable and hope that we can finish these last 65 days out stronger than ever. My actions from here on out will serve as a positive example for young student leaders and I look forward to having the chance to work with you all in these next couple of weeks.

Sincerely,

**Jared Hill**

*Chair, Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees*

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Office 657-278-3085 | C 916-316-8505

800 North State College Blvd, Fullerton, CA 92834

[www.asi.fullerton.edu](http://www.asi.fullerton.edu)

Jared Hill Retweeted

**Vote Celine and Colin** @votecc2018 · Mar 13

Pop, lock, and drop in your votes for Celine and Colin for ASI Pres. and VP [link](#) is in our bio 🗳️



13



26





Tweets 6,775 Following 458 Followers 630 Likes 13.2K

Following

Jared Hill

Follows you

Tweets Tweets & replies Media

Jared Hill · 13h

Who to follow · Refresh · View all

Followed by Dr. Berenecea Eanes and

To: Nicholas Jakel  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 16 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #22

On Tuesday, March 15, 2018 at 09:57 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Nicholas Jakel

Complaint Description:

This complaint is against Jared Hill, TSC BOT Chair, and Laila Dadabhoy, ASI President and CEO and MCBE Board of Directors Candidate.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

While, and before, this was happening, Laila Dadabhoy, made attempts to remove Andrew La, ASI Vice President, from the room by letting him know a student was looking for him to ask him a Spring Concert question. Andrew said that Laila said it was a guy and she didn't know his name. However, other people in the Elections Judicial Council (Drew and Katherine I believe) room received texts saying that the student's name was Lauren Diaz, a girl not a guy like Laila said to Andrew. I believe that the spring concert question was false and was rouse to attempt to get Andrew into a meeting with a student (and out of the room). This was to make space for Jared to sit on the Elections Judicial Council while 8 of Celine and Colin's complaints were being heard.

While this was happening, Jared was working to prevent Katherine Gwaltney from sitting in the meeting on behalf of TSCBOT and Laila was working with Jared to keep him in the room and have Katherine stay in the room to represent the Executive Officers in the Elections Judicial Council.

In my opinion, this action violates many bylaws:

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item d

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item h

"Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i

"Severely obstructing justice to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the judicial processes including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council."

Laila Dadabhoy and Jared Hill are both aligned with Celine and Colin's campaign as seen by the attached evidence.

Jared acknowledges that inappropriate actions occurred yesterday in his apology email. Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 15, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item h Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item i Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or other persons related to the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item m Obstructing justice including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council or its agents and obstructing the functioning of the Elections Judicial Council.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that the complainant consider filing with either the ASI Board of Directors or the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #22 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on any candidates.

To: Laila Dadabhoy, Jared Hill  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 16 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #22

On Tuesday, March 15, 2018 at 09:57 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Nicholas Jakel

Complaint Description:

This complaint is against Jared Hill, TSC BOT Chair, and Laila Dadabhoy, ASI President and CEO and MCBE Board of Directors Candidate.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

While, and before, this was happening, Laila Dadabhoy, made attempts to remove Andrew La, ASI Vice President, from the room by letting him know a student was looking for him to ask him a Spring Concert question. Andrew said that Laila said it was a guy and she didn't know his name. However, other people in the Elections Judicial Council (Drew and Katherine I believe) room received texts saying that the student's name was Lauren Diaz, a girl not a guy like Laila said to Andrew. I believe that the spring concert question was false and was rouse to attempt to get Andrew into a meeting with a student (and out of the room). This was to make space for Jared to sit on the Elections Judicial Council while 8 of Celine and Colin's complaints were being heard.

While this was happening, Jared was working to prevent Katherine Gwaltney from sitting in the meeting on behalf of TSCBOT and Laila was working with Jared to keep him in the room and have Katherine stay in the room to represent the Executive Officers in the Elections Judicial Council.

In my opinion, this action violates many bylaws:

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item d

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item h

"Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i

"Severely obstructing justice to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the judicial processes including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council."



Laila Dadabhoy and Jared Hill are both aligned with Celine and Colin's campaign as seen by the attached evidence.

Jared acknowledges that inappropriate actions occurred yesterday in his apology email. Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 15, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item h Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item i Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or other persons related to the election.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item m Obstructing justice including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council or its agents and obstructing the functioning of the Elections Judicial Council.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that the complainant consider filing with either the ASI Board of Directors or the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #22 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed on any candidates.

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: A complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:42:02 PM

---

#21

---

**From:** TSC Board of Trustees Chair  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 2:51 PM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** Re: A complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team

Hello,

Unfortunately, I have to give an orientation session for Cal State DC during this time.

Nick, I appreciate your diligence in maintaining a fair election.

Some points I would hope would be considered in my absence:

-I apologized for my actions and understand the goals and integrity of your committee. That integrity was upheld during all rulings given that I was not in the room when you began to process complaints.

-This email was sent to someone who is outside the committee and they now have received very personal details about myself as well screenshots of my private social media accounts.

-This complaint was filed from a member of the EJC. It is my belief that a person affected by an alleged infraction would not be eligible to make a sound and unbiased decision on a judicial ruling.

In addition, please note that my original intention was to represent Celine due to her physical inability to be present. When that option was not available, I text Drew, Katherine, and Laila stating that I would be serving as the TSC representative. Upon the committee deciding that it would not be in the best interest of the organization for me to present I left the room so the meeting could take place. Following this, I apologized for my actions to the committee and gave a verbal apology to Dean Hunt.

These actions were my own. I hope that my email to the committee was able to convey my apologies and note that this was me being hot headed and not something I was asked to do. If you would like to discuss this verbally, I would be more than happy to work with Rachel on seeing if there are any other EJC committee hearings where this could take place.

Again, I apologize for my actions and that I am unable to be in attendance this afternoon.

Sincerely,

Jared

On Mar 15, 2018, at 2:19 PM, ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)> wrote:

Hi Jared,

As you can see below, you were one of the parties the complaint has been filed against and so we felt it was fair and appropriate to inform you and allow you to be present at the hearing to provide response, if you wish to do so.

Thank you,

Rachel Langenfeld

Elections Director

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Titan Student Union 271

[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)

---

From: TSC Board of Trustees Chair <[tscchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:tscchair@fullerton.edu)>

Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 2:06 PM

Subject: Re: A complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team

To: ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)>

Hi Rachel,

Thank you for sending this information. The complaint is filed against "other" so I was hoping you could clarify whose presence is requested at the meeting?

Best,

Jared

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 15, 2018, at 1:02 PM, ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)> wrote:

Hello, A complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team. We are reaching out to inform you that the hearing for this complaint will occur at the **4 PM** EJC meeting on **3/15/18** in TSU Stearns. At this meeting, you have the opportunity to provide a response to the complaint and answer questions from the EJC. You are not required to be present for the hearing, being present is optional and the hearing will be held regardless of if you are in attendance. You also have the ability to provide a written response to the complaint and any supporting

documentation you think is appropriate prior to the hearing. Any written response and documentation must be submitted via email before the start of the meeting. The EJC will be hearing both sides, reviewing and considering the complaint, response, and additional documentation submitted and then will be making a decision within 24 hours. You will be notified of the EJC decision and any resulting required action.

Here is the complaint that was received:

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form)*	NicholasJakel
This complaint is against:	Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

This complaint is against Jared Hill, TSC BOT Chair, and Laila Dadabhoy, ASI President and CEO and MCBE Board of Directors Candidate.

Between 4:00pm and 4:15pm, yesterday March 15th, Jared Hill, TSCBOT Chair, notified Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director, that he relieved Katherine Gwaltney of her role on the Elections Judicial Council and was inserting himself into the remainder of the meetings.

While, and before, this was happening, Laila Dadabhoy, made attempts to remove Andrew La, ASI Vice President, from the room by letting him know a student was looking for him to ask him a Spring Concert question. Andrew said that Laila said it was a guy and she didn't know his name. However, other people in the Elections Judicial Council (Drew and Katherine I believe) room received texts saying that the student's name was Lauren Diaz, a girl not a guy like Laila said to Andrew. I believe that the spring concert question was false and was rouse to attempt to get Andrew into a meeting with a student (and out of the room). This was to make space for Jared to sit on the Elections Judicial Council while 8 of Celine and Colin's complaints were being heard.

While this was happening, Jared was working to prevent Katherine Gwaltney from sitting in the meeting on behalf of TSCBOT and Laila was working with Jared to keep him in the room and have Katherine stay in the room to represent the Executive Officers in the Elections Judicial

Council.

In my opinion, this action violates many bylaws:

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item d

"Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item h

"Bribing, conspiring with, claiming an endorsement of, or otherwise corruptly influencing an Elections Campaign Team, elections polling assistant, or any other person involved with the operation of the election."

Article XIII, Section 3, Clause 1, Item i

"Severely obstructing justice to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the judicial processes including, but not limited to, obstructing an investigation by the Elections Judicial Council."

Laila Dadabhoy and Jared Hill are both aligned with Celine and Colin's campaign as seen by the attached evidence.

Jared acknowledges that inappropriate actions occurred yesterday in his apology email.

---

#22

Thank you,

**Rachel Langenfeld**  
**Elections Director**

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.  
Titan Student Union 271  
800 N. State College Blvd. Fullerton, CA 92834-6828  
[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)

<laila\_and\_jared\_complaint\_evidence.pdf>

# **ASI Elections Complaint #23 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#23]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 11:26:44 AM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Devon Senn

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

The pictures i have provide show Hallie Hunt having a conflict of interest regarding colin's case considering she knows Josh&Ana very well and DQ'd celine & colin over a bylaw that was poorly misinterpreted. Hallie Hunt is abusing her power and doing whatever she can to help Josh&Ana. So far this election race has been unfair and biased.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



deanhallieh



Liked by [redacted] and 17 others  
deanhallieh @csufnufp at #naspa18!

MARCH 4





To: Devon Senn  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #23

On 3/15/2018 11:25:02 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Devon Senn

**Complaint Description:**

The pictures i have provide show Hallie Hunt having a conflict of interest regarding colin's case considering she knows Josh&Ana very well and DQ'd celine & colin over a bylaw that was poorly misinterpreted. Hallie Hunt is abusing her power and doing whatever she can to help Josh&Ana. So far this election race has been unfair and biased.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #23 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #24 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#24]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 11:38:38 AM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Justice Rodrigues

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest in relation to her very close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure the students of CSUF's votes are heard.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should be met with significant consequences. Liable should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. I hope an investigation is put forth to remedy this problem.

This is my 5th and final year here at CSUF, and I have never been more ashamed of the my university than I am right now. I hope these matters are not taken lightly.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
349.83 KB · DOCX



Photo



deanhallieh



Liked by [redacted] and 17 others  
deanhallieh @csufnufp at #naspa18!

MARCH 4



Pg. 148



To: Justice Rodrigues  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #24

On 3/15/2018 11:36:28 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Justice Rodrigues

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest in relation to her very close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure the students of CSUF's votes are heard. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should be met with significant consequences. Liable should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. I hope an investigation is put forth to remedy this problem. This is my 5th and final year here at CSUF, and I have never been more ashamed of the my university than I am right now. I hope these matters are not taken lightly.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #24 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas, Ana Aldazabal  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #24

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Justice Rodrigues

Complaint Description:

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest in relation to her very close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure the students of CSUF's votes are heard. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should be met with significant consequences. Liable should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. I hope an investigation is put forth to remedy this problem. This is my 5th and final year here at CSUF, and I have never been more ashamed of the my university than I am right now. I hope these matters are not taken lightly.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #24 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #25 2018**

---

**From:** ASI Election Complaint <no-reply@wufoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 11:37 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections; Wiley, Drew; Hunt, Hallie; ASI Board Chair; ASI Vice President; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer; ASI Elections Team  
**Cc:** Edwards, Dave; Allen, Keya  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#25]

**Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \*** Brian Buddine

**Complainant Email \*** [REDACTED]

**Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)** [REDACTED]

**This complaint is against:** A President and/or Vice President Candidate

**Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.** Hallie Hunt is having a conflict of interest with Josh and Ana and is trying to disqualify Colin and Celine over a bylaw that was mid interpreted. This is very unfair and Hallie is seen having good relations with Josh and Ana so this is clearly biased towards their campaign.



To: Brian Buddine  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #25

On 3/15/2018 11:37:22 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Brian Buddine

**Complaint Description:**

Hallie Hunt is having a conflict of interest with Josh and Ana and is trying to disqualify Colin and Celine over a bylaw that was mid interpreted. This is very unfair and Hallie is seen having good relations with Josh and Ana so this is clearly biased towards their campaign.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #25 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #26 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#26]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 11:41:42 AM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Christian Rhodes

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I'm reporting Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding colin's case considering Hallie Hunt knows Josh&Ana very well and DQ'd celine & colin over a bylaw that was poorly misinterpreted. I've read over the bylaws that they supposedly violated and no part of the emails sent out by their campaign can be considered spam. Each email was sent out with intent to reach out to the individual clubs to encourage them to vote and what Celine and Colin can do for the clubs. This is corruption. Hallie Hunt should not have been allowed a vote on this because of her close connection with Josh and Ana.

To: Christian Rhodes  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #26

On 3/15/2018 11:39:04 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Christian Rhodes

**Complaint Description:**

I'm reporting Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding colin's case considering Hallie Hunt knows Josh&Ana very well and DQ'd celine & colin over a bylaw that was poorly misinterpreted. I've read over the bylaws that they supposedly violated and no part of the emails sent out by their campaign can be considered spam. Each email was sent out with intent to reach out to the individual clubs to encourage them to vote and what Celine and Colin can do for the clubs. This is corruption. Hallie Hunt should not have been allowed a vote on this because of her close connection with Josh and Ana.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #26 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #27 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#27]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 12:36:02 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* John Good

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team


Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
1.88 MB · DOCX

To: John Good  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #27

On 3/15/2018 12:33:27 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: John Good

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #27 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #27

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: John Good

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.



Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #27 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #28 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#28]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 12:43:27 PM

---


Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Dominic Duran

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: Other

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
1.88 MB · DOCX

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.



Post



deanhallieh



Liked by joshua\_ryan35, vaniitzaa and 32 others



Photo



deanhallieh



Liked by [redacted] and 17 others

deanhallieh @csufnufp at #naspa18!

MARCH 4



deanhallieh  
California State University, Fullerton



36 likes

deanhallieh I visited the @dreamcoop\_csuf table on Titan Walk and made phone calls in support of CSUF's dreamers and a #cleandreamact. They're giving out ☕ and 🍪 to anyone who makes a call. #csuf #titansreachhigher @tdrc\_csuf

View 1 comment

FEBRUARY 8



deanhallie








Photo



liz\_

California State University, Fullerton



24 likes

liz\_e As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tally all who is problematic



## Photo



24 IMAGES

liz [REDACTED] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic and will destroy our future. Vice president candidate Colin Eacobellis is self centered and takes up his MAN SPACE. Now this isn't a quote but this is the only way I can describe his personality after watching him speak and engaging in a conversation with him yesterday: .

\*I'm Colin. I'm the only Colin that matters. No one loves Cal State Fullerton more than me. I am Cal State Fullerton. I invented elephants. I am the best elephant inventor ever. Trust me. I'm the best Colin ever.\* .

So this guy comes off as a typical mansplainer. He and his running mate were not in sync. She'll say something really great, and then he goes on a bonehead tangent after her. I imagine this is truly just a business agreement due to Colin's large connect in Greek life which is a sad reality in college elections. If they are actually friends in real life, I imagine their hangout session meetings taking place at keggers where "COLIN RULES, BRUH!". In my conversation with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has



## Photo



with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has done with social justice on campus and statewide actions and is currently doing now with lobby corp. To simply put it, Colin comes off as that stereotype frat boy. For transparency, he has quite a few of his fellow Greek life members running in elections and if they all win... I just don't want to imagine that world. A social justice committee will not arise and all productions will remain their status quo. We'll be saluting mozzarella Mondays and saying grace on taco Tuesdays.

x

Please don't vote for Colin, bruh.

[View all 14 comments](#)

**liz\_** [REDACTED] Hearing Is At 9 Am In TSU Stearns. Email went out. Hopefully you can make it!

**liz\_** [REDACTED] Never said I'm immature. I'm a bad ass critical person lol depending on who you ask too though


6 DAYS AGO



Photo



liz\_



I I'm Lol >

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0



11 likes

liz\_ Someone ask them if they support hate speech.

1 WEEK AGO



Photo



Hide details

From: Colin Eacobellis



Bcc:



Date: Mar 13, 2018, 11:45 AM

View security details

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



30 likes

liz\_ [REDACTED] DONT VOTE FOR COLIN. Hey y'all, is Chad Bro abusing his systematic power? A lot of students got this email today and people are responding asking how he was able to obtain all of these emails. We hope it had nothing to do with his running partner who is in charge of ASI communications. Don't vote for Colin.

View all 5 comments

TODAY AGO



Photo



liz\_ [redacted]  
California State University, Fullerton



### ASI Elections

to me, Hunt, Wiley, ASI, ASI, ASI,...  
2:01 PM [View details](#)



Hello,

ASI Election Complaint Form #11 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in four strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.



46 likes

liz\_ [redacted] COLIN AND CELINE HAVE LEFT THE BUILDING. Now usually I encourage alternative solutions, however, in this case, these 2 students abused their power and this had a negative impact on not only other candidates but thousands of students. The solution needs to be in the favor of the larger society and that is CSUF students at large. We need to be protected from those who abuse their power. I hear current ASI leaders advocated for this decision. I'm glad to hear that some of you are thinking through a social action lens and not through a network of privileged favors.

8 HOURS AGO

To: Dominic Duran  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #28

On 3/15/2018 12:41:40 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Dominic Duran

Complaint Description:

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #28 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #28

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Dominic Duran

Complaint Description:

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #28 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.




# **ASI Elections Complaint #29 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#29]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 12:47:48 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Logan Gomez

Complainant Email \* 

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

---

To: Logan Gomez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #29

On 3/15/2018 12:47:07 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Logan Gomez

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #29 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #29

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Logan Gomez

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #29 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #30 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#30]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 12:58:58 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Devon Senn

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. This is a childish act to improve her chances of winning. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. Students at CSUF should feel protect and i doubt Colin feels this way. His reputation and everything he has worked so hard for is being tarnished.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
131.61 KB · JPG



24 HRS

**liz\_** As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic and will destroy our future. Vice president candidate Colin Eacobellis is self centered and takes up his MAN SPACE. Now this isn't a quote but this is the only way I can describe his personality after watching him speak and engaging in a conversation with him yesterday: .

\*I'm Colin. I'm the only Colin that matters. No one loves Cal State Fullerton more than me. I am Cal State Fullerton. I invented elephants. I am the best elephant inventor ever. Trust me. I'm the best Colin ever.\* .

So this guy comes off as a typical mansplainer. He and his running mate were not in sync. She'll say something really great, and then he goes on a bonehead tangent after her. I imagine this is truly just a business agreement due to Colin's large connect in Greek life which is a sad reality in college elections. If they are actually friends in real life, I imagine their hangout session meetings taking place at keggers where "COLIN RULES, BRUH!". In my conversation with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has





To: Devon Senn  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #30

On 3/15/2018 12:56:28 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Devon Senn

**Complaint Description:**

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. This is a childish act to improve her chances of winning. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. Students at CSUF should feel protect and i doubt Colin feels this way. His reputation and everything he has worked so hard for is being tarnished.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #30 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Liz Sanchez,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #30

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Devon Senn

Complaint Description:

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. This is a childish act to improve her chances of winning. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. Students at CSUF should feel protect and i doubt Colin feels this way. His reputation and everything he has worked so hard for is being tarnished.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #30 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #31 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#31]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 1:11:29 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Jason Aiyavoo

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I am reporting Hallie Hunt for endorsing Josh and Ana as it is blatantly apparent from various post on social media. I believe this has led to the unfair disqualification of Celine and Collin who are running for the same position. There needs to be an investigation into this and also it seems like certain points of view are not protected at all in this institution. The fact that Collin is being harrassed and attacked by the members of SQE and other organizations is unacceptable. Liz Sanchez has been posting multiple times on social media using slander, and libel. I can not see how anyone can ignore this as this seems to be taken too lightly. The administration needs to solve this problem immediatly for the student body and not personal agendas. It is incredibly frustrating that a man of good morals, values and characters is being portrayed as an "typical mansplainer" and "stereotype frat boy" when he is obviously not any of these.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]

192.28 KB · JPG



with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has done with social justice on campus and statewide actions and is currently doing now with lobby corp. To simply put it, Colin comes off as that stereotype frat boy. For transparency, he has quite a few of his fellow Greek life members running in elections and if they all win... I just don't want to imagine that world. A social justice committee will not arise and all productions will remain their status quo. We'll be saluting mozzarella Mondays and saying grace on taco Tuesdays.

Please don't vote for Colin, bruh.

[View all 14 comments](#)

**liz\_** @katie Hearing Is At 9 Am In TSU Stearns. Email went out. Hopefully you can make it!

**liz\_** Never said I'm immature. I'm a bad ass critical person lol depending on who you ask too though

6 DAYS AGO



To: Jason Aiyavoo  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #31

On 3/15/2018 1:08:36 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Jason Aiyavoo

**Complaint Description:**

I am reporting Hallie Hunt for endorsing Josh and Ana as it is blatantly apparent from various post on social media. I believe this has led to the unfair disqualification of Celine and Collin who are running for the same position. There needs to be an investigation into this and also it seems like certain points of view are not protected at all in this institution. The fact that Collin is being harrassed and attacked by the members of SQE and other organizations is unacceptable. Liz Sanchez has been posting multiple times on social media using slander, and libel. I can not see how anyone can ignore this as this seems to be taken too lightly. The administration needs to solve this problem immediately for the student body and not personal agendas. It is incredibly frustrating that a man of good morals, values and characters is being portrayed as an “typical mansplainer” and “stereotype frat boy” when he is obviously not any of these.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the compliant is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #31 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #31

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Jason Aiyavoo

**Complaint Description:**

I am reporting Hallie Hunt for endorsing Josh and Ana as it is blatantly apparent from various post on social media. I believe this has led to the unfair disqualification of Celine and Collin who are running for the same position. There needs to be an investigation into this and also it seems like certain points of view are not protected at all in this institution. The fact that Collin is being harrassed and attacked by the members of SQE and other organizations is unacceptable. Liz Sanchez has been posting multiple times on social media using slander, and libel. I can not see how anyone can ignore this as this seems to be taken too lightly. The administration needs to solve this problem immediately for the student body and not personal agendas. It is incredibly frustrating that a man of good morals, values and characters is being portrayed as an “typical mansplainer” and “stereotype frat boy” when he is obviously not any of these.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the compliant is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the

campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #31 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.





# **ASI Elections Complaint #32 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#32]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 1:21:45 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Saran Baskar

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

To: Saran Baskar  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #32

On 3/15/2018 1:21:16 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Saran Baskar

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #32 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #32

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Saran Baskar

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #32 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #33 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#33]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 1:36:40 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Tyler Kammer

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.





Post



deanhallieh



Liked by joshua\_ryan35, vanitzaa and 32 others



Photo



deanhallieh



Liked by [redacted] and 17 others  
deanhallieh @csufnufp at #naspa18!

MARCH 4



deanhallieh  
California State University, Fullerton



36 likes

deanhallieh I visited the @dreamcoop\_csuf table on Titan Walk and made phone calls in support of CSUF's dreamers and a #cleandreamact. They're giving out ☕ and 🍪 to anyone who makes a call. #csuf #titansreachhigher @tdrc\_csuf

View 1 comment

FEBRUARY 8



deanhallie






Photo



liz\_

California State University, Fullerton



24 likes

liz\_ [redacted] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic



## Photo



24 IMAGES

liz\_ [REDACTED] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic and will destroy our future. Vice president candidate Colin Eacobellis is self centered and takes up his MAN SPACE. Now this isn't a quote but this is the only way I can describe his personality after watching him speak and engaging in a conversation with him yesterday: .

\*I'm Colin. I'm the only Colin that matters. No one loves Cal State Fullerton more than me. I am Cal State Fullerton. I invented elephants. I am the best elephant inventor ever. Trust me. I'm the best Colin ever.\* .

So this guy comes off as a typical mansplainer. He and his running mate were not in sync. She'll say something really great, and then he goes on a bonehead tangent after her. I imagine this is truly just a business agreement due to Colin's large connect in Greek life which is a sad reality in college elections. If they are actually friends in real life, I imagine their hangout session meetings taking place at keggers where "COLIN RULES, BRUH!". In my conversation with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has



## Photo



with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has done with social justice on campus and statewide actions and is currently doing now with lobby corp. To simply put it, Colin comes off as that stereotype frat boy. For transparency, he has quite a few of his fellow Greek life members running in elections and if they all win... I just don't want to imagine that world. A social justice committee will not arise and all productions will remain their status quo. We'll be saluting mozzarella Mondays and saying grace on taco Tuesdays.

Please don't vote for Colin, bruh.

[View all 14 comments](#)

**liz\_** [REDACTED] Hearing Is At 9 Am In TSU Stearns. Email went out. Hopefully you can make it!

**liz\_** [REDACTED] Never said I'm immature. I'm a bad ass critical person lol depending on who you ask too though


6 DAYS AGO



Photo



[Redacted name]



I I'm Lol >

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0



11 likes

liz\_e [Redacted] Someone ask them if they support hate speech.

1 WEEK AGO





Photo



Hide details

From: Colin Eacobellis



Bcc:



Date: Mar 13, 2018, 11:45 AM

View security details

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



30 likes

liz [REDACTED] DONT VOTE FOR COLIN. Hey y'all, is Chad Bro abusing his systematic power? A lot of students got this email today and people are responding asking how he was able to obtain all of these emails. We hope it had nothing to do with his running partner who is in charge of ASI communications. Don't vote for Colin.

View all 5 comments

TODAY AGO



Photo



liz\_ [redacted]  
California State University, Fullerton



### ASI Elections

to me, Hunt, Wiley, ASI, ASI, ASI,...  
2:01 PM [View details](#)



Hello,

ASI Election Complaint Form #11 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in four strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.



46 likes

liz\_e [redacted] COLIN AND CELINE HAVE LEFT THE BUILDING. Now usually I encourage alternative solutions, however, in this case, these 2 students abused their power and this had a negative impact on not only other candidates but thousands of students. The solution needs to be in the favor of the larger society and that is CSUF students at large. We need to be protected from those who abuse their power. I hear current ASI leaders advocated for this decision. I'm glad to hear that some of you are thinking through a social action lens and not through a network of privileged favors.

8 HOURS AGO

To: Tyler Kammer  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #33

On 3/15/2018 1:33:39 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Tyler Kammer

Complaint Description:

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #33 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #33

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Tyler Kammer

Complaint Description:

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #33 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #34 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#34]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 1:42:02 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Jason Ishigo

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest with her close friendship with Josh and Ana, as shown in the pictures provided. This close friendship has led to the disqualification of Celine and Colin. Celine and Colin have handed out two punishments for one action and an investigation should be taken into action so that ASI is represented by all students of CSUF.

Furthermore, I would like to also report Liz Sanchez for posting false accusations against VP candidate, Colin Eacobellis. The behavior that Liz is portraying, or by any student, should result in significant consequences. Also in the pictures provided, she is portraying slander which should be taken a look at by our administration and governing bodies as well as the Dean of Students to ensure the protection of CSUF students.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
1.19 MB • DOCX

deanhallieh  
California State University, Fullerton

Verizon 11:21 PM 31%



36 likes

I visited the @dreamcoop\_csuf table on Titan Walk and made phone calls in support of CSUF's dreamers and a #cleandreamact. They're giving out ☕ and 🍪 to anyone who makes a call. #csuf #titansreachhigher @tdrc\_csuf

View 1 comment

FEBRUARY 8

Liked by icebus, ryan25, vanitara and 22 others

Verizon 11:21 PM 31%

deanhallieh



Liked by [redacted] and 17 others

deanhallieh @csufnufp at #naspa18!

MARCH 4



24 likes

liz [redacted] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic



with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has done with social justice on campus and statewide actions and is currently doing now with lobby corp. To simply put it, Colin comes off as that stereotype frat boy. For transparency, he has quite a few of his fellow Greek life members running in elections and if they all win... I just don't want to imagine that world. A social justice committee will not arise and all productions will remain their status quo. We'll be saluting mozzarella Mondays and saying grace on taco Tuesdays.


Please don't vote for Colin, bruh.

View all 14 comments

liz\_e [redacted] [redacted] Hearing Is At 9 Am In TSU Stearns. Email went out. Hopefully you can make it!

liz [redacted] Never said I'm immature. I'm a bad ass critical person lol depending on who you ask too though

6 DAYS AGO





24 likes


liz [redacted] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic and will destroy our future. Vice president candidate Colin Eacobellis is self centered and takes up his MAN SPACE. Now this isn't a quote but this is the only way I can describe his personality after watching him speak and engaging in a conversation with him yesterday: .

\*I'm Colin. I'm the only Colin that matters. No one loves Cal State Fullerton more than me. I am Cal State Fullerton. I invented elephants. I am the best elephant inventor ever. Trust me. I'm the best Colin ever.\* .

So this guy comes off as a typical mansplainer. He and his running mate were not in sync. She'll say something really great, and then he goes on a bonehead tangent after her. I imagine this is truly just a business agreement due to Colin's large connect in Greek life which is a sad reality in college elections. If they are actually friends in real life, I imagine their hangout session meetings taking place at keggers where "COLIN RULES, BRUH!". In my conversation with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has



11 likes



11 likes

liz [redacted] Someone ask them if they support hate speech.

1 WEEK AGO



liz [redacted]  
California State University, Fullerton



**ASI Elections**  
to me, Hunt, Wiley, ASI, ASI, ASI,...  
2:01 PM [View details](#)

Hello,

ASI Election Complaint Form #11 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in four strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.



46 likes

liz\_effn\_sanchez COLIN AND CELINE HAVE LEFT THE BUILDING. Now usually I encourage alternative solutions, however, in this case, these 2 students abused their power and this had a negative impact on not only other candidates but thousands of students. The solution needs to be in the favor of the larger society and that is CSUF students at large. We need to be protected from those who abuse their power. I hear current ASI leaders advocated for this decision. I'm glad to hear that some of you are thinking through a social action lens and not through a network of privileged favors.

2 HOURS AGO

[Hide details](#)

From: Colin Jacobellis

Bcc: [redacted]

Date: Mar 13, 2018, 11:45 AM

[View security details](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



30 likes

liz\_effn\_sanchez DONT VOTE FOR COLIN. Hey y'all, is Chad Bro abusing his systematic power? A lot of students got this email today and people are responding asking how he was able to obtain all of these emails. We hope it had nothing to do with his running partner who is in charge of ASI communications. Don't vote for Colin.

[View all 5 comments](#)

1 DAY AGO

To: Jason Ishigo  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #34

On 3/15/2018 1:39:41 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Jason Ishigo

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest with her close friendship with Josh and Ana, as shown in the pictures provided. This close friendship has led to the disqualification of Celine and Colin. Celine and Colin have handed out two punishments for one action and an investigation should be taken into action so that ASI is represented by all students of CSUF. Furthermore, I would like to also report Liz Sanchez for posting false accusations against VP candidate, Colin Eacobellis. The behavior that Liz is portraying, or by any student, should result in significant consequences. Also in the pictures provided, she is portraying slander which should be taken a look at by our administration and governing bodies as well as the Dean of Students to ensure the protection of CSUF students.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #34 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #34

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Jason Ishigo

Complaint Description:

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest with her close friendship with Josh and Ana, as shown in the pictures provided. This close friendship has led to the disqualification of Celine and Colin. Celine and Colin have handed out two punishments for one action and an investigation should be taken into action so that ASI is represented by all students of CSUF. Furthermore, I would like to also report Liz Sanchez for posting false accusations against VP candidate, Colin Eacobellis. The behavior that Liz is portraying, or by any student, should result in significant consequences. Also in the pictures provided, she is portraying slander which should be taken a look at by our administration and governing bodies as well as the Dean of Students to ensure the protection of CSUF students.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #34 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #35 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#35]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 1:43:13 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Riley Duncan

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

It has been brought to the attention of many students on campus that the disqualification of Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates Celine Mubayed and Colin Eacobellis is unfair and an unjust use of the complaint system.

These candidates were double charged for the same violation resulting in an inappropriate number of strikes assigned to them. No where in the ASI bylaws is there any explanation of violation assignments to candidates that states a candidate or coalition can be double charged for the same violation. The lack of transparency on behalf of the elections committee as to why or even how these candidates were charged multiple times for the same violation is troubling, and deeply unjust.

This unchecked use of power is violating the rights of the candidates and must be re-evaluated.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[7b3d184ac03f4a96a3462f712d041de9.png](#)

213.03 KB • PNG

BYLAWS FOR THE REGULATIONS,  
EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED BY STATUTE OF ITS  
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, OF  
THE ASSOCIATED STUDENTS, INCORPORATED  
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY FULLERTON

**ARTICLE XIII**

**CAMPAIGN CONDUCT AND RESPONSIBILITY**

**Section 1. EXPENSES**

- Clause 1. All candidates must submit an Election Expense Report to the Elections Director listing all expenses and donations.
- a. Copies of all candidates' campaign materials and receipts for expenses (not including donated material) must be submitted with the Election Expense Report.
  - b. Donated material will be reported at fair market value for the items or services.
  - c. Election Expense Reports will be due by 5:00 P.M. on the Tuesday after the election unless otherwise arranged with the Elections Director. These reports shall be placed in a locked box in the Elections Advisor's office area which will be specified in the elections packet.
  - d. Election Expense Reports will be considered public information.

**Section 2. ESTABLISHMENT OF VIOLATION SYSTEM**

- Clause 1. The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.
- Clause 2. Campaigning shall be defined as any effort by any individual or group to influence the decision of any potential voter in support or against any candidate, candidate team, or coalition appearing on the ballot through the use of verbal or nonverbal interaction, electronic correspondence of any kind, use of physical materials, or the use of any persons as an intermediary to communicate the same.
- Clause 3. Violations will be categorized into three (3) separate classes to mirror a "three strikes" system for candidates. Each class of violations carries a nominal strike value. Candidates who receive three strikes, in whichever combination of offenses, are immediately disqualified from the elections.

**Section 3. CLASS A (AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION) VIOLATIONS**

- Clause 1. Class A (Disqualifiable) Violations shall carry three (3) strikes. If a candidate commits any of the following, the candidate is automatically disqualified from the election:

To: Riley Duncan  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #35

On 3/15/2018 1:41:47 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Riley Duncan

**Complaint Description:**

It has been brought to the attention of many students on campus that the disqualification of Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates Celine Mubayed and Colin Eacobellis is unfair and an unjust use of the complaint system. These candidates were double charged for the same violation resulting in an inappropriate number of strikes assigned to them. No where in the ASI bylaws is there any explanation of violation assignments to candidates that states a candidate or coalition can be double charged for the same violation. The lack of transparency on behalf of the elections committee as to why or even how these candidates were charged multiple times for the same violation is troubling, and deeply unjust. This unchecked use of power is violating the rights of the candidates and must be re-evaluated.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #35 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #36 2018**



**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#36]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 1:54:43 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Amar Kukreja

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple [REDACTED]

documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





## Photo



24 likes

liz\_ As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic and will destroy our future. Vice president candidate Colin Eacobellis is self centered and takes up his MAN SPACE. Now this isn't a quote but this is the only way I can describe his personality after watching him speak and engaging in a conversation with him yesterday: .

\*I'm Colin. I'm the only Colin that matters. No one loves Cal State Fullerton more than me. I am Cal State Fullerton. I invented elephants. I am the best elephant inventor ever. Trust me. I'm the best Colin ever.\* .

So this guy comes off as a typical mansplainer. He and his running mate were not in sync. She'll say something really great, and then he goes on a bonehead tangent after her. I imagine this is truly just a business agreement due to Colin's large connect in Greek life which is a sad reality in college elections. If they are actually friends in real life, I imagine their hangout session meetings taking place at keggers where "COLIN RULES, BRUH!". In my conversation with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has



To: Amar Kukreja  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #36

On 3/15/2018 1:50:12 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Amar Kukreja

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #36 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #36

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Amar Kukreja

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #36 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #37 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#37]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 2:32:28 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Elijah Wilk

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

I find this abuse of university policy disturbing yet intriguing.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
1.88 MB · DOCX



To: Elijah Wilk  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #37

On 3/15/2018 2:30:10 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Elijah Wilk

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue. I find this abuse of university policy disturbing yet intriguing.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #37 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #37

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: The Elections Director and/or Commission Team

Complainant Name: Elijah Wilk

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue. I find this abuse of university policy disturbing yet intriguing.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #37 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #38 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#38]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 2:37:26 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Elizabeth Sanchez

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A Board of Directors Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Yesterday (3/14), I was informed by a person who witnessed Laila Dadabhoy screaming in the hallway where the hearing for Celine and Colin was held. Among the many hostile and threatening statements that she was making, a few were made about me. The witness was observing and listening to her as she was speaking with Celine and other ASI reps. The witness heard and saw Laila stating that Colin & Celine were being framed and that they (the ASI reps that were with Laila) should claim a harassment case against me. The most disturbing and terrifying statement made about me was her saying "Don't worry about Liz Sanchez, I'm going to have her taken care of. I'm going to make her regret this". The witness said they are willing to come forward to speak to only admin who will protect her identity. They are fearful what could happen to them if ASI or the PIKE fraternity find out who they are. Please let it be known that I am 1 of multiple students who made the same formal complaint against Celine and Colin.

I am not sure how many administrators know aside from Dr. Tonantzin Oseguera, CSSA staff, and student leaders about the incident that happened this last summer that involved Laila, CSUF ASI reps, other campus ASI Presidents, and myself. Over the summer I was nominated for a position on the Social Justice and Equity Committee for CSSA. I was the only student at large nominated and I was the only student who was not elected. Immediately after the election process was over, I was notified by multiple student leaders, including student trustee Jorge Reyes and CSUF ASI Exec officer Saba Ansari, that a message sent out by Laila was circulated before the election process and that she was having conversations with people to make sure I was not elected. She was telling student leaders that I had multiple title IX cases against me and a police report. Multiple witnesses can tell you that I was fearing for my life before I left the scene and I feared I was going to be arrested for false claims. I immediately called Dr. Oseguera and I will be forever thankful that she was able to investigate the claims and found that no reports or cases had ever existed.

Due to the build up of harassment and false claims made upon me by Laila Dadabhoy, I am officially taking proper steps to deal with this life threatening issue. Hallie Hunt and other University/Student affair admin are assisting me through the process. I fear for my safety and my livelihood here on campus. Though nothing can be done about what happened at CSSA, however, her threat against me was made yesterday (3/14) in relations to the elections. So I would like for the committee to remove her because she is threatening students. She is not fit for student affairs.

To: Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #38

On 3/15/2018 2:34:31 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A Board of Directors Candidate

Complainant Name: Elizabeth Sanchez

**Complaint Description:**

Yesterday (3/14), I was informed by a person who witnessed Laila Dadabhoy screaming in the hallway where the hearing for Celine and Colin was held. Among the many hostile and threatening statements that she was making, a few were made about me. The witness was observing and listening to her as she was speaking with Celine and other ASI reps. The witness heard and saw Laila stating that Colin & Celine were being framed and that they (the ASI reps that were with Laila) should claim a harassment case against me. The most disturbing and terrifying statement made about me was her saying "Don't worry about Liz Sanchez, I'm going to have her taken care of. I'm going to make her regret this". The witness said they are willing to come forward to speak to only admin who will protect her identity. They are fearful what could happen to them if ASI or the PIKE fraternity find out who they are. Please let it be known that I am 1 of multiple students who made the same formal complaint against Celine and Colin. I am not sure how many administrators know aside from Dr. Tonantzin Oseguera, CSSA staff, and student leaders about the incident that happened this last summer that involved Laila, CSUF ASI reps, other campus ASI Presidents, and myself. Over the summer I was nominated for a position on the Social Justice and Equity Committee for CSSA. I was the only student at large nominated and I was the only student who was not elected. Immediately after the election process was over, I was notified by multiple student leaders, including student trustee Jorge Reyes and CSUF ASI Exec officer Saba Ansari, that a message sent out by Laila was circulated before the election process and that she was having conversations with people to make sure I was not elected. She was telling student leaders that I had multiple title IX cases against me and a police report. Multiple witnesses can tell you that I was fearing for my life before I left the scene and I feared I was going to be arrested for false claims. I immediately called Dr. Oseguera and I will be forever thankful that she was able to investigate the claims and found that no reports or cases had ever existed. Due to the build up of harassment and false claims made upon me by Laila Dadabhoy, I am officially taking proper steps to deal with this life threatening issue. Hallie Hunt and other University/Student affair admin are assisting me through the process. I fear for my safety and my livelihood here on campus. Though nothing can be done about what happened at CSSA, however, her threat against me was made yesterday (3/14) in relations to the elections. So I would like for the committee to remove her because she is threatening students. She is not fit for student affairs.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item b Engaging in any of the following activities in relation to a campaign: larceny, burglary, robbery, fraud, theft, embezzlement, extortion, blackmail, arson, or destruction of property; physical abuse, including but not limited to assault, battery, sexual assault, threats of violence, stalking, hazing, terrorizing conduct, or other conduct that threatens the health or safety of any person; sexual, racial, or other forms of harassment. Harassment is defined as conduct that is so severe and/or pervasive, and objectively offensive and that so substantially impairs a person's access to Associated Students or University programs or activities that the person is effectively denied equal access to the Associated Students or University's resources and opportunities; illegal possession, use, or manufacture of destructive devices or weapons.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item i Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or other persons related to the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #38 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Laila Dadabhoy,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #38

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A Board of Directors Candidate

Complainant Name: Elizabeth Sanchez

**Complaint Description:**

Yesterday (3/14), I was informed by a person who witnessed Laila Dadabhoy screaming in the hallway where the hearing for Celine and Colin was held. Among the many hostile and threatening statements that she was making, a few were made about me. The witness was observing and listening to her as she was speaking with Celine and other ASI reps. The witness heard and saw Laila stating that Colin & Celine were being framed and that they (the ASI reps that were with Laila) should claim a harassment case against me. The most disturbing and terrifying statement made about me was her saying "Don't worry about Liz Sanchez, I'm going to have her taken care of. I'm going to make her regret this". The witness said they are willing to come forward to speak to only admin who will protect her identity. They are fearful what could happen to them if ASI or the PIKE fraternity find out who they are. Please let it be known that I am 1 of multiple students who made the same formal complaint against Celine and Colin. I am not sure how many administrators know aside from Dr. Tonantzin Oseguera, CSSA staff, and student leaders about the incident that happened this last summer that involved Laila, CSUF ASI reps, other campus ASI Presidents, and myself. Over the summer I was nominated for a position on the Social Justice and Equity Committee for CSSA. I was the only student at large nominated and I was the only student who was not elected. Immediately after the election process was over, I was notified by multiple student leaders, including student trustee Jorge Reyes and CSUF ASI Exec officer Saba Ansari, that a message sent out by Laila was circulated before the election process and that she was having conversations with people to make sure I was not elected. She was telling student leaders that I had multiple title IX cases against me and a police report. Multiple witnesses can tell you that I was fearing for my life before I left the scene and I feared I was going to be arrested for false claims. I immediately called Dr. Oseguera and I will be forever thankful that she was able to investigate the claims and found that no reports or cases had ever existed. Due to the build up of harassment and false claims made upon me by Laila Dadabhoy, I am officially taking proper steps to deal with this life threatening issue. Hallie Hunt and other University/Student affair admin are assisting me through the process. I fear for my safety and my livelihood here on campus. Though nothing can be done about what happened at CSSA, however, her threat against me was made yesterday (3/14) in relations to the elections. So I would like for the committee to remove her because she is threatening students. She is not fit for student affairs.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 9am to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item b Engaging in any of the following activities in relation to a campaign: larceny, burglary, robbery, fraud, theft, embezzlement, extortion, blackmail, arson, or



destruction of property; physical abuse, including but not limited to assault, battery, sexual assault, threats of violence, stalking, hazing, terrorizing conduct, or other conduct that threatens the health or safety of any person; sexual, racial, or other forms of harassment. Harassment is defined as conduct that is so severe and/or pervasive, and objectively offensive and that so substantially impairs a person's access to Associated Students or University programs or activities that the person is effectively denied equal access to the Associated Students or University's resources and opportunities; illegal possession, use, or manufacture of destructive devices or weapons.

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item i Intimidating, impeding, threatening, or retaliating against voters, parties of a Board of Directors appeals case, Elections Campaign Team, Elections Judicial Council members, elections polling assistants, candidates, potential candidates, or other persons related to the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #38 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Info regarding the complaint submitted by Liz Sanchez  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:34:23 PM

---

After #38

-----Original Message-----

From: Hunt, Hallie  
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 9:47 PM  
To: ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
Cc: ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; Lsanchez8424@csu.fullerton.edu  
Subject: Info regarding the complaint submitted by Liz Sanchez

Hi all,

I am sending this email because I just had a conversation with the key witness in Liz Sanchez's complaint. This person wishes to remain anonymous due to fear of retaliation, so I told them that I would not reveal their identity to the group. That said, they wanted to share their side of the story and here is what they told me:

- \* They were standing in the hallway outside of the meeting room, watching who was around.
- \* They overheard a conversation that was loud and that they "probably weren't supposed to overhear".
- \* They looked in the direction of the group when they heard person 1 (witness was unsure who) say the name "Liz Sanchez." Person 2 said something inaudible in response, and then Person 1 said "Liz Sanchez is harassing us." The witness noticed that Laila was one of the people in the group.
- \* At that point, the witness says that Laila responded with "Don't worry about Liz. I'll take care of Liz."
- \* When I asked the witness why they decided to come forward, they said: "It was enough for me, as an unbiased party, to feel like I should say something. The whole situation rubbed me the wrong way."
- \* The reiterated their desire to withhold their name from the report due to their fear of retaliation.

Thank you,

Hallie

Hallie Hunt  
Dean of Students  
Division of Student Affairs

(657) 278-3211  
TSU-243

<<http://www.fullerton.edu/deanofstudents>> www.fullerton.edu/deanofstudents

Instagram & Twitter: @DeanHallieH

Celebrating Our Diamond Anniversary

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team.  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:35:01 PM

---

Also #38

**From:** Elizabeth Sanchez [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2018 12:15 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)>  
**Cc:** Hunt, Hallie <[hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU](mailto:hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU)>; Wiley, Drew <[dwiley@fullerton.edu](mailto:dwiley@fullerton.edu)>; ASI Vice President <[asvicepresident@fullerton.edu](mailto:asvicepresident@fullerton.edu)>; ASI Board Chair <[asboardchair@fullerton.edu](mailto:asboardchair@fullerton.edu)>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <[asiccro@fullerton.edu](mailto:asiccro@fullerton.edu)>; ASI Elections Team <[asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu](mailto:asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu)>  
**Subject:** Complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC) about you or your candidate team.

Greetings,

A testimony was provided to Hallie Hunt tonight in which she emailed to all of you. It was told that I should provide as much evidence on this situation as possible. For the threat, all I can rely on is the witness, however, I want to provide evidence of what I went through at CSSA in order to stress what Laila has put me through and to let it be known that I never fully went through with a formal complaint because I felt that Laila had too much power and that no one would help me. In fact I assumed I would be put in harm if I did make a formal complaint. I am not scared anymore.

First is a screen shot of an email to Dulce Ramirez, SJEC committee chair. The email contained multiple letters from students expressing their concerns about Milo and other issues on our campus. One particular letter described his witnessing of what I was emotionally going through due to what Laila did at CSSA. In the other screenshots are messages between CSSA exec officers Maggie White, Alana Kim, and I. These conversations took place when I just found out what was being said. Shortly after it was revealed to me by multiple ASI presidents along with ASI exec officer Saba Ansari that in fact it was Laila spreading the false information. With further investigation, many students from CSSA could tell you what Laila did, however, she was never regulated for her actions. I just want to submit this information to show her character and what she is willing to do to students that she does not like. I will be submitting a letter shortly from another ASI president to back up my CSSA claims. Also, as stated, with investigation, it would be easy to confirm all of this with CSSA reps.

On Thursday, March 15, 2018, ASI Elections <[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)> wrote:

Hello, your complaint has been received by the Election Judicial Council (EJC). We are reaching out to inform you that the hearing for this complaint will occur at the **9 AM** EJC meeting on **3/16/18** in TSU Stearns. At this meeting, you have the opportunity to present your complaint and answer questions from the EJC. You are not required to be present for the hearing, being present is optional and the hearing will be held regardless of if you are in attendance. The candidate or candidate team that the complaint is about has also been invited to the meeting to provide a response. The EJC will be hearing both sides,

reviewing and considering the complaint, response, and additional documentation submitted and then will be making a decision within 24 hours. You will be notified of the EJC decision and any resulting required action.

Here is the complaint that was received:

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) *	Elizabeth Sanchez
This complaint is against:	A Board of Directors Candidate
<p>Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.</p> <p>Yesterday (3/14), I was informed by a person who witnessed Laila Dadabhoy screaming in the hallway where the hearing for Celine and Colin was held. Among the many hostile and threatening statements that she was making, a few were made about me. The witness was observing and listening to her as she was speaking with Celine and other ASI reps. The witness heard and saw Laila stating that Colin &amp; Celine were being framed and that they (the ASI reps that were with Laila) should claim a harassment case against me. The most disturbing and terrifying statement made about me was her saying "Don't worry about Liz Sanchez, I'm going to have her taken care of. I'm going to make her regret this". The witness said they are willing to come forward to speak to only admin who will protect her identity. They are fearful what could happen to them if ASI or the PIKE fraternity find out who they are. Please let it be known that I am 1 of multiple students who made the same formal complaint against Celine and Colin.</p> <p>I am not sure how many administrators know aside from Dr. Tonantzin Oseguera, CSSA staff, and student leaders about the incident that happened this last summer that involved Laila, CSUF ASI reps, other campus ASI Presidents, and myself. Over the summer I was nominated for a position on the Social Justice and Equity Committee for CSSA. I was the only student at large nominated and I was the only student who was not elected. Immediately after the election process was over, I was notified by multiple student leaders, including student trustee Jorge Reyes and CSUF ASI Exec officer Saba Ansari, that a message sent out by Laila was circulated before the election process and that she was having conversations with people to make sure I was not elected. She was telling student leaders that I had multiple title IX cases against me and a police report. Multiple witnesses can tell you that I was fearing for my life before I left the scene and I feared I was going to be arrested for false claims. I immediately called Dr. Oseguera and I will be forever thankful that she was able to investigate the claims and found that no reports or cases had ever existed.</p> <p>Due to the build up of harassment and false claims made upon me by Laila Dadabhoy, I am officially taking proper steps to deal with this life threatening</p>	

issue. Hallie Hunt and other University/Student affair admin are assisting me through the process. I fear for my safety and my livelihood here on campus. Though nothing can be done about what happened at CSSA, however, her threat against me was made yesterday (3/14) in relations to the elections. So I would like for the committee to remove her because she is threatening students. She is not fit for student affairs.

#38

Thank you,

**Rachel Langenfeld**

**Elections Director**

Associated Students, CSUF, Inc.

Titan Student Union 271

[800 N. State College Blvd. Fullerton, CA 92834-6828](http://800.N.StateCollegeBlvd.Fullerton.CA.92834-6828)

[aselections@fullerton.edu](mailto:aselections@fullerton.edu)

--

Keep it real,

**Liz Sanchez** *(Pro-noun: They or Them)*

California Faculty Association Intern

M.A. Candidate - Sociology

Direct : 

**From:** [Wiley, Drew](#)  
**To:** [Collins, Susan](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Statement  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018 2:35:53 PM  
**Attachments:** [Elizabeth Sanchez .pdf](#)

---

#38

**From:** Elizabeth Sanchez [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 16, 2018 12:30 AM  
**To:** ASI Elections <aselections@fullerton.edu>  
**Cc:** Hunt, Hallie <hhunt@Exchange.FULLERTON.EDU>; Wiley, Drew <dwiley@fullerton.edu>; ASI Vice President <asvicepresident@fullerton.edu>; ASI Board Chair <asboardchair@fullerton.edu>; ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer <asiccro@fullerton.edu>; ASI Elections Team <asielectionsteam@fullerton.edu>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Statement

Below is an attachment from ASI SF president, Jacqueline Foley. Again, this information is to be added as evidence to show Laila's character and what she is willing to do to harm other students.

Keep it real,  
**Liz Sanchez** (*Pro-noun: They or Them*)  
California Faculty Association Intern  
M.A. Candidate - Sociology  
Direct : [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Liz [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Fri, Mar 16, 2018 at 12:26 AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Statement  
**To:** [REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** "Jacqueline Foley" <[president@asi.sfsu.edu](mailto:president@asi.sfsu.edu)>  
**Date:** Mar 16, 2018 12:24 AM  
**Subject:** Statement  
**To:** "Liz s" [REDACTED]  
**Cc:**



**Jacqueline Foley (She/Her/Hers)** | President  
*AS Board of Directors*

**Associated Students**, San Francisco State University  
w: [asi.sfsu.edu](http://asi.sfsu.edu) | p: [415.338.2324](tel:415.338.2324)  
1650 Holloway Ave, Office #M113-D  
San Francisco, CA 94132

"You can't separate peace from freedom because no one can be at peace unless he has his freedom." ~Malcolm X



To whom it may concern,

My name is Jacqueline Foley, Associated Students President and Senior at San Francisco State University. I am writing to inform you of an incident at the California State Student Association plenary meeting on Saturday August 5th, 2017 at Fresno State University. I was informed about a message that was sent out to various CSU Associated Students board members about Elizabeth Sanchez before the election period of the Social Justice & Equity Committee that I myself was also running for, when they ran to be a “student at large” member. I was told that Laila Dadabhoy had sent out a message telling other board members not to vote for Elizabeth Sanchez. I felt this was highly inappropriate as a Associated Students President to tell other student constituents not to vote for another student. I became more aware during the voting period, while I was also running to be a member of the same committee. Then I saw the clear bias when many students were hesitant to vote for Elizabeth Sanchez. I decided then to voice my concerns publicly by stating that I would rather have Elizabeth Sanchez on the Social Justice and Equity Committee than myself if it came down to a vote. Thankfully Elizabeth Sanchez was approved to be on the committee, although without the funding they deserved for travel.

I am still very concerned about the extent that Laila Dadabhoy went to, in order to prevent Elizabeth Sanchez from being apart of the California State Student Association and the Social Justice and Equity Committee. This behavior goes against not only the mission of CSSA but also Associated Students.

Sincerely,

**Jacqueline Foley (She/Her/Hers)** | President *AS Board of Directors*

**Associated Students**, San Francisco State University

w: [asi.sfsu.edu](http://asi.sfsu.edu) | p: 415.338.2324

1650 Holloway Ave, Office #M113-D

San Francisco, CA 94132

To the Chair of SJEC,

I am California State University, Fullerton student am concern the way ASI operates. We recently had an incident in where Milo Yiannopoulos was invited by the GOP. He targeted marginalized communities and was a direct threat to the safety of the campus yet ASI or individuals within the institution did not take a strong stances in protecting marginalized communities on campus. It is disappointing that students vote individuals in positions of power yet they do not do anything for the students that they are to represent but rather try to cruise and still get paid for not doing anything.

One in every ten students at CSUF are homeless and are in need of food services and only band aid solutions are provided or spoken about. Yet the school will not stop bragging about the student union building expansion. ASI needs to stop trying to make an image for itself at the sake of its students and actually put in work because, just because a resolution is passed does not mean the problem is solved to handle. At this point, resolutions seem to only serve as marketing tools to make ASI look socially modernized. I need to see direct actions to the resolutions. Many results have been passed and ASI had done nothing but to brag about a resolution that is passed.

As a student that advocated for leadership I am disappointed by the way the current ASI president made promises to support students and none of those promises look likely to occur. In particular it is shameful and concerning by the way Laila Dadabhoy and her teams abused their power to make false accusations of Liz. It was rough watching what Liz had to endure those months and I would hope Liz never goes through the torment they went through. The false accusations did not allow Liz to concentrate in their academics which hurt them psychologically and emotionally. It scares me to think how often this has happen to other students at large who are trying to make a difference. It scares me to be more involved.

ASI elections get everyone excited for changes and promises. These student leaders enter centers such as the dreamers resource center, the lgbtq center and so forth, and they make it seem that they are with us and our causes. One takes the win and then that individual forgets about the folks that voted them in. Just as we often see in federal and state government elections, people fiend for our votes and often make lies to receive them. That is not the way to stay connected with the students. The Queer Center is small center that is often forgotten about and nothing has been done for t to be given a bigger space. If there is money to expand the TSU then there should be resources available to make a bigger Queer Center. We only witnessed 1 ASI representative, Saba Ansari, fight for undocumented students on the ground level while the rest of them only stood proudly for supporting a resolution. Representation and action matters and ASI is not honoring what they proposed themselves to do for their students instead they are working hard in building a resume.

Please help us in holding our representatives accountable.



Liz S

to Dulce

Jan 10 [View details](#)

Hey Dulce,

I apologize for just getting these to you. I have had a rough break so far, but I have to admit, Jackie from SF sent me an inspiring message and it gave me so inspiration to get back into the game. Now there are still a few other students who want to submit letters so I will be reminding them to send something asap. I also need to write my own.

Now these set of letters come from students activists and elected club leaders who are representing the thoughts of their club members as well. These are students who have participated in actions and have supported campaigns on campus that align with the mission to protect education and civil rights.

Expect a few more soon and lets catch up over the phone as well.

May the Force be with you,  
Liz Sanchez  
MA Candidate, Sociology  
CSUF

also need to write my own.

Now these set of letters come from students activists and elected club leaders who are representing the thoughts of their club members as well. These are students who have participated in actions and have supported campaigns on campus that align with the mission to protect education and civil rights.

Expect a few more soon and lets catch up over the phone as well.

May the Force be with you,  
Liz Sanchez  
MA Candidate, Sociology  
CSUF

To the Chair of SJEC,

I am California State University, Fullerton student am concern the way ASI operates. We recently had an incident in where Milo Yiannopoulos was invited by the GOP. He targeted marginalized communities and was a direct threat to the safety of the campus yet ASI or individuals within the institution did not take a strong stances in protecting marginalized communities on campus. It is disappointing that students vote individuals in positions of power yet they do not do anything for the students that they are to represent but rather try to cruise and still get paid for not doing anything.

One in every ten students at CSUF are homeless and are in need of food services and only band aid solutions are provided or spoken about. Yet the school will not stop bragging about the student union building expansion. ASI needs to stop trying to make an image for itself at the sake of its students and actually put in work because, just because a resolution is passed does not mean the problem is solved to handle. At this point, resolutions seem to only serve as marketing tools to make ASI look socially modernized. I need to see direct actions to the resolutions. Many



Miguel Thoughts.docx





Maggie White

Active 11 minutes ago



AUG 3, 2017 AT 9:05 PM

Maggie, I'm terrified to go to Fresno now due to the growing rumors about me. I just found out about the text messages and the Panera meeting where i was the topic of conversation with CSSA voting members. I am terrified of your members at this point. I sense a lot of homophobia. I have lost Rob's number. Can someone please contact me ASAP?

What in the world?? I'm so sorry to hear about this. I haven't heard anything but maybe they know better than to say anything around me. I'm very sorry to hear about these issues. I will tell rob to give you a call in the next several minutes, ok?



Yes thank you.



Maggie White


Active 11 minutes ago



Ok, just talked to rob and he's feeling sick tonight and going to bed soon but wanted me to send you his number and asked for you to call him anytime tomorrow. Does that work ok for you?



His cell # is:



Honestly Maggie, your members are claiming I touched and harrassed women. They sent text messages about me. So as Rob and all of you get sleep tonight, know that I'll probably be up all night in tears. I need confirmation that when I enter a CSSA space that I will not be treated with any hostility nor homophobia. My administration has provided that no such title 9 claims exist nor have there been conversations about it. I just want to know that I can go there and be treated as an equal and



Aa





Maggie White

Active 11 minutes ago



for you?



His cell # is:



Honestly Maggie, your members are claiming I touched and harrassed women. They sent text messages about me. So as Rob and all of you get sleep tonight, know that I'll probably be up all night in tears. I need confirmation that when I enter a CSSA space that I will not be treated with any hostility nor homophobia. My administration has provided that no such title 9 claims exist nor have there been conversations about it. I just want to know that I can go there and be treated as an equal and not be terrified that this is going to be a witchhunt becuae some folx don't like me and they're getting creative to keep me out.

AUG 3, 2017 AT 9:38 PM



Aa





Alana Lim  
Active 22 hours ago



Hi Liz! Hope you are well. We haven't formally met yet, but hopefully we'll have a chance to connect this weekend. May I ask if I could get your email? A student asked me for it however I didn't have it at the time (just checked my FB and saw your request). Sorry, I know it's an abrupt request.



It's ok. Alana honestly in getting scared of your members. The slander I'm hearing coming from CSSA is terrifying me. My administration already clarified no reports or title 9 complaints have been made against me. I'm sensing a lot of homophobia. The best people can say I had arguments with them through consenting conversations, but I have never touched any person I forced myself on anybody. People have donated money to get me to Fresno but now I'm



Aa







Alana Lim

Active 22 hours ago



CSSA is terrifying me. My administration already clarified no reports or title 9 complaints have been made against me. I'm sensing a lot of homophobia. The best people can say I had arguments with them through consenting conversations, but I have never touched any person I forced myself on anybody. People have donated money to get me to Fresno but now I'm scared to even show up. I think I need someone to contact me NOW.

Did not force myself \*\*\*\*

I'm trying to find Rob's number that he gave me but I think I misplaced it. I would really like for him to call me or someone from the higher ups. I'm literally shaking right now and so embarrassed and terrified of the accusations.



Aa





Alana Lim

Active 22 hours ago



misplaced it. I would really like for him to call me or someone from the higher ups. I'm literally shaking right now and so embarrassed and terrified of the accusations.

I was made aware of the efforts that were made to get you to Fresno and am pleased to see the support you have from your community. I know I speak for the execs when we say that we do not take the allegations made against you lightly and are well aware of the situation that unfolded. This student that requested your contact information is a board member and I believe they want to connect with you so that they can be more informed when they make a vote at the meeting. Would you be able to provide that to me? They want to send you an email as soon as possible.



Aa




# **ASI Elections Complaint #39 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#39]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 3:45:59 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Trevor Casby

Complainant Email \* 

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Dear Commissions team,

Below is my complaint of the enforcement of the strike system in the ASI Bylaws on the subject of Double Jeopardy of alleged violation of Celine and Colin:

Celine and Colin had multiple complaints sent to them on violation of Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c of the ASI Bylaws. Whether or not they violated the bylaw is not my complaint, the complaint comes from the fact that they cannot receive multiple violations for the same action. One action happened = one violation occurred. Just because multiple complaints came in for the same action, doesn't mean that you get multiple strikes. Under that philosophy, if 100 complaints came in, then they would receive 200 strikes; ALL FOR JUST ONE ACTION.

Therefore, if they violated said bylaw, they should receive (2) strikes as stated in the bylaws and still be eligible to win if they win the popular vote.

All subsequent actions/complaints/violations were in response to their supposed "disqualification" and therefore are deemed subsequent to the initial mistake of the application of multiple strikes applied and are irrelevant due to the fact that their campaign was already terminated and they ended all actions on their behalf at that moment. Any actions after that moment of their disqualification, which was incorrectly enforced, were not of Celine and Colin due to the fact that their campaign was ended at that point.

With all of that said, the max strikes I can see assigned to them in said situation is (2) strikes which allows them to remain eligible to win the popular vote.

Please feel free to reach out to me via email if you have any questions and thank you all for all of your hard work on this election.

To: Trevor Casby  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #39

On 3/15/2018 3:44:02 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Trevor Casby

**Complaint Description:**

Dear Commissions team, Below is my complaint of the enforcement of the strike system in the ASI Bylaws on the subject of Double Jeopardy of alleged violation of Celine and Colin: Celine and Colin had multiple complaints sent to them on violation of Article XIII Section 4 Clause 1 Item c of the ASI Bylaws. Whether or not they violated the bylaw is not my complaint, the complaint comes from the fact that they cannot receive multiple violations for the same action. One action happened = one violation occurred. Just because multiple complaints came in for the same action, doesn't mean that you get multiple strikes. Under that philosophy, if 100 complaints came in, then they would receive 200 strikes; ALL FOR JUST ONE ACTION. Therefore, if they violated said bylaw, they should receive (2) strikes as stated in the bylaws and still be eligible to win if they win the popular vote. All subsequent actions/complaints/violations were in response to their supposed "disqualification" and therefore are deemed subsequent to the initial mistake of the application of multiple strikes applied and are irrelevant due to the fact that their campaign was already terminated and they ended all actions on their behalf at that moment. Any actions after that moment of their disqualification, which was incorrectly enforced, were not of Celine and Colin due to the fact that their campaign was ended at that point. With all of that said, the max strikes I can see assigned to them in said situation is (2) strikes which allows them to remain eligible to win the popular vote. Please feel free to reach out to me via email if you have any questions and thank you all for all of your hard work on this election.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

ASI Election Complaint Form #39 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #40 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#40]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 5:11:45 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* brian lopez

Complainant Email \*


[REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended)

[REDACTED]

This complaint is against: Other

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[REDACTED]  
1.88 MB · DOCX

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals.

I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.





Post



deanhallieh



Liked by joshua\_ryan35, vanitzaa and 32 others



Photo



deanhallieh



Liked by [redacted] and 17 others  
deanhallieh @csufnufp at #naspa18!

MARCH 4



deanhallieh  
California State University, Fullerton



36 likes

deanhallieh I visited the @dreamcoop\_csuf table on Titan Walk and made phone calls in support of CSUF's dreamers and a #cleandreamact. They're giving out ☕ and 🍪 to anyone who makes a call. #csuf #titansreachhigher @tdrc\_csuf

View 1 comment

FEBRUARY 8



deanhallie






Photo



liz\_ [redacted]

California State University, Fullerton



24 likes

liz\_ [redacted] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tally all who is problematic



## Photo



24 IMAGES

liz\_ [REDACTED] As stated before, I will not publicly endorse people, but I will tell y'all who is problematic and will destroy our future. Vice president candidate Colin Eacobellis is self centered and takes up his MAN SPACE. Now this isn't a quote but this is the only way I can describe his personality after watching him speak and engaging in a conversation with him yesterday: .

\*I'm Colin. I'm the only Colin that matters. No one loves Cal State Fullerton more than me. I am Cal State Fullerton. I invented elephants. I am the best elephant inventor ever. Trust me. I'm the best Colin ever.\* .

So this guy comes off as a typical mansplainer. He and his running mate were not in sync. She'll say something really great, and then he goes on a bonehead tangent after her. I imagine this is truly just a business agreement due to Colin's large connect in Greek life which is a sad reality in college elections. If they are actually friends in real life, I imagine their hangout session meetings taking place at keggers where "COLIN RULES, BRUH!". In my conversation with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has



## Photo



with him about respectability politics, he emphasized that people should conduct themselves in a proper manner. Of course this SJW was triggered. During the whole debates, Colin said nothing special BUT he certainly talked some trash and dragged one of opponents. It's a tactic I'll give him that but I would hope that people would see that the person he dragged was doing a beautiful job and it was obvious that he sensed it and decided to point out alleged lack of experience on her part but they were totally irrelevant considering all the things that she has done with social justice on campus and statewide actions and is currently doing now with lobby corp. To simply put it, Colin comes off as that stereotype frat boy. For transparency, he has quite a few of his fellow Greek life members running in elections and if they all win... I just don't want to imagine that world. A social justice committee will not arise and all productions will remain their status quo. We'll be saluting mozzarella Mondays and saying grace on taco Tuesdays.

•

Please don't vote for Colin, bruh.

[View all 14 comments](#)

**liz\_** [REDACTED] Hearing Is At 9 Am In TSU Stearns. Email went out. Hopefully you can make it!

**liz\_** [REDACTED] Never said I'm immature. I'm a bad ass critical person lol depending on who you ask too though


6 DAYS AGO



Photo



liz\_



I I'm Lol >

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0



11 likes

Someone ask them if they support hate speech.

1 WEEK AGO





Photo



[Hide details](#)

From: Colin Facobellis

Bcc:

Date: Mar 13, 2018, 11:45 AM

[View security details](#)

Howdy there fellow Titan,

The time has come to cast your vote for your next ASI President and Vice President. The following link will take you to the online ballot

[vote.fullerton.edu](http://vote.fullerton.edu)

When casting your vote, consider Celine and Colin for your 1st choice!



30 likes

liz [REDACTED] DONT VOTE FOR COLIN. Hey y'all, is Chad Bro abusing his systematic power? A lot of students got this email today and people are responding asking how he was able to obtain all of these emails. We hope it had nothing to do with his running partner who is in charge of ASI communications. Don't vote for Colin.

[View all 5 comments](#)

1 DAY AGO



Photo



liz\_e  
California State University, Fullerton



### ASI Elections

to me, Hunt, Wiley, ASI, ASI, ASI,...  
2:01 PM [View details](#)



Hello,

ASI Election Complaint Form #11 has been confirmed as a violation and two strikes have been assigned to the candidate team, resulting in four strikes total for the candidate team, resulting in disqualification from the election and removal from the ballot. Pending determination through any appeal proceedings, the candidate team will be disqualified from the election and removed from the ballot.



46 likes

liz\_e COLIN AND CELINE HAVE LEFT THE BUILDING. Now usually I encourage alternative solutions, however, in this case, these 2 students abused their power and this had a negative impact on not only other candidates but thousands of students. The solution needs to be in the favor of the larger society and that is CSUF students at large. We need to be protected from those who abuse their power. I hear current ASI leaders advocated for this decision. I'm glad to hear that some of you are thinking through a social action lens and not through a network of privileged favors.

8 HOURS AGO

To: brian lopez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #40

On 3/15/2018 5:11:13 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: brian lopez

Complaint Description:

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #40 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #40

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: brian lopez

Complaint Description:

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.


ASI Election Complaint Form #40 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #41 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#41]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 6:01:44 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Michael Nelson

Complainant Email \* 

This complaint is against: Other

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF.

It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

---

To: Michael Nelson  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #41

On 3/15/2018 6:00:59 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Michael Nelson

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed. While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #41 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Hallie Hunt, Elizabeth Sanchez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #41

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: Other

Complainant Name: Michael Nelson

**Complaint Description:**

I would like to report Hallie Hunt for having a conflict of interest regarding her close ties with Josh & Ana (running for ASI President and VP). This relationship is made evident with her posts with the two candidates on her Instagram on several occasions. This relationship has led to the improper disqualification of Celine and Colin (running for ASI President and VP). It is unethical to handout two punishments for one action--not even our constitution allows that (double jeopardy). An investigation into this matter needs to be inquired to ensure ASI is appropriately representing the students of CSUF. It is my understanding that the commission team also oversees any violations. I am incredibly frustrated and embarrassed that my voice is not represented by the students/faculty presiding over the issue. If the commission team's job is to act on behalf of the students, only personal agendas are being set forth. This "resolution" is unfair and wholly ruined the campaign of these two individuals. I would also like to report Liz Sanchez for producing a post littered with false accusations against VP candidate Colin Eacobellis. The kind of behavior portrayed by Liz or by any student on campus should result in significant consequences. Slander should not be taken lightly by our administration and campus governing bodies. It should be the duty of the Dean of Students to protect any CSUF student from being harassed and embarrassed on public display. An investigation/resolution should be put forth to remedy this issue.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 2 Clause 1 The purpose of the Campaign Violation System is to hold candidates, candidate teams, and coalitions responsible for threatening the democratic process, committing ethical breaches, interfering with the mission of the Associated Students, threatening the safety of the campus, and violating Associated Students and University protocols. Any candidate, candidate team, or coalition found before the Elections Judicial Council to have committed violations shall be subject to punishment by the guidelines set forth in Article XIV Enforcement.

Article XIV Section 1 Clause 1 The Elections Judicial Council shall exist to hear all formal complaints pertaining to the conduct of any candidate, candidate team, or coalition. The Elections Judicial Council shall be chaired by the Elections Director and shall be comprised of the a member of the Elections Team, a member of the Board of Directors, a member of the Executive Team, and a member of the Titan Student Centers Board of Trustees. All members of the Elections Judicial council must be graduating seniors and/or have no conflicts of interest as it pertains to responding to formal elections complaints.



Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that a candidate, candidate team, or coalition has violated the ASI Bylaws, the complaint is determined outside of the scope of the Elections Judicial Council and is therefore dismissed.

While the complaint is dismissed, some confounding factors of the situation may warrant further action and it may be recommended that any student feeling threatened or harassed by another member of the campus community consider contacting and/or filing a complaint through the CSUF Dean of Students Office.

ASI Election Complaint Form #41 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #42 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#42]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:47:51 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Donovan Konja

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo




votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by and 90 others

votejoshana2018 Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE

Pg.284



To: Donovan Konja  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #42

On 3/15/2018 7:47:08 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Donovan Konja

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #42 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #42

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Donovan Konja

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #42 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

**ASI Elections  
Complaint #43  
2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#43]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:48:40 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Elijah Wiik

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.







Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 90 others

votejoshana2018 Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE

Pg.289



To: Elijah Wilk  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #43

On 3/15/2018 7:48:26 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Elijah Wilk

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #43 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #43

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Elijah Wilk

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #43 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #44 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#44]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:48:47 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Katie Christopher

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 90 others

votejoshana2018 Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE

Pg.294



To: Katie Christopher  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #44

On 3/15/2018 7:48:29 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Katie Christopher

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #44 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #44

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Katie Christopher

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #44 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.



# **ASI Elections Complaint #45 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#45]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:50:24 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Matthew Trescher

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

This complaint is for the ASI President candidate Josh Borjas in regards to a violation of Article XIII Section 3 d. "Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo




votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 90 others

votejoshana2018 Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE

Pg. 299



To: Matthew Trescher  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #45

On 3/15/2018 7:49:42 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Matthew Trescher

**Complaint Description:**

This complaint is for the ASI President candidate Josh Borjas in regards to a violation of Article XIII Section 3 d. "Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #45 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #45

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Matthew Trescher

Complaint Description:

This complaint is for the ASI President candidate Josh Borjas in regards to a violation of Article XIII Section 3 d. "Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #45 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #46 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#46]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:50:16 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Elijah Wilk

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 ◆◆ Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but of every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg 304





To: Elijah Wilk  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #46

On 3/15/2018 7:49:47 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Elijah Wilk

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #46 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #46

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Elijah Wilk

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #46 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #47 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#47]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:50:32 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Katie Christopher

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but of every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg 309



To: Katie Christopher  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #47

On 3/15/2018 7:49:49 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Katie Christopher

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #47 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #47

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Katie Christopher

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #47 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #48 2018**



**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#48]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:50:53 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Donovan Konja

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 ♦♦ Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but for every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg 314



To: Donovan Konja  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #48

On 3/15/2018 7:50:30 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Donovan Konja

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #48 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #48

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Donovan Konja

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #48 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #49 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#49]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:51:02 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Jordan Haynes

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]


This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

[VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU](http://VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU)



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but for every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg 319



To: Jordan Haynes  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #49

On 3/15/2018 7:50:31 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Jordan Haynes

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #49 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.



To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #49

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Jordan Haynes

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.


ASI Election Complaint Form #49 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.


# **ASI Elections Complaint #50 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#50]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:51:07 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Juston Berks

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 90 others

votejoshana2018 Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE



To: Juston Berks  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #50

On 3/15/2018 7:50:56 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Juston Berks

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #50 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #50

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Juston Berks

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.


ASI Election Complaint Form #50 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #51 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#51]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:51:40 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Jordan Haynes

Complainant Email \* 


This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.







Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by [votejoshana2018](#) and 90 others

[votejoshana2018](#) ♦♦ Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE

Pg. 329



To: Jordan Haynes  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #51

On 3/15/2018 7:51:31 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Jordan Haynes

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #51 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #51

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Jordan Haynes

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #51 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #52 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#52]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:51:49 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Matthew Trescher

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

This complaint is for the ASI Vice President candidate Ana Aldazabal in regards to a violation of Article XIII Section 3 d. "Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo




votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*





JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 90 others

votejoshana2018   Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE  



To: Matthew Trescher  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #52

On 3/15/2018 7:51:38 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Matthew Trescher

**Complaint Description:**

This complaint is for the ASI Vice President candidate Ana Aldazabal in regards to a violation of Article XIII Section 3 d. "Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #52 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #52

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Matthew Trescher

**Complaint Description:**

This complaint is for the ASI Vice President candidate Ana Aldazabal in regards to a violation of Article XIII Section 3 d. "Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #52 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.



# **ASI Elections Complaint #53 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#53]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:52:30 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Kiana Schreiber

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



To: Kiana Schreiber  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #53

On 3/15/2018 7:52:17 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Kiana Schreiber

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #53 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #53

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Kiana Schreiber

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.


ASI Election Complaint Form #53 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.


**ASI Elections  
Complaint #54  
2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#54]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:53:14 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Juston Berks

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

[VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU](http://VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU)



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but for every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg 343



To: Juston Berks  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #54

On 3/15/2018 7:53:04 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Juston Berks

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #54 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.



To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #54

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Juston Berks

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.


ASI Election Complaint Form #54 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.


# **ASI Elections Complaint #55 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#55]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:54:21 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Kiana Schreiber

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but for every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg 348



To: Kiana Schreiber  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #55

On 3/15/2018 7:54:02 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Kiana Schreiber

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #55 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #55

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Kiana Schreiber

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #55 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #56 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#56]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:55:02 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Anna Herley

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.







Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by  and 90 others

votejoshana2018 ◆ ◆ Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE ▲ ▲

Pg. 353



To: Anna Herley  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #56

On 3/15/2018 7:54:40 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Anna Herley

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #56 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #56

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Anna Herley

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #56 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #57 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#57]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:56:11 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Anna Herley

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 ♦♦ Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but of every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg. 358



To: Anna Herley  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #57

On 3/15/2018 7:56:02 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Anna Herley

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #57 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #57

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Anna Herley

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #57 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.




# **ASI Elections Complaint #58 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#58]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 7:59:52 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Sofia Martinez

Complainant Email \* 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.





Photo




votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by and 90 others

votejoshana2018 Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who has the knowledge, the experience, and the skills of a leader? We do too. That's why voting for Josh is the ideal choice! Vote today until 8pm! #ASIPRESIDENT #OSUE



To: Sofia Martinez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #58

On 3/15/2018 7:59:33 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Sofia Martinez

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #58 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #58

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Sofia Martinez

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.


ASI Election Complaint Form #58 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

**ASI Elections  
Complaint #59  
2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#59]  
**Date:** Thursday, March 15, 2018 8:01:22 PM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Sofia Martinez

Complainant Email \* 


This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate


Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



  
282.02 KB · PNG



Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 105 others

votejoshana2018 Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote for Ana, who not only advocates for dreamers but of every student and organization who also have dreams to pursue! #CSUEAST

Pg. 368





To: Sofia Martinez  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #59

On 3/15/2018 8:00:42 PM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Sofia Martinez

**Complaint Description:**

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #59 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #59

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Sofia Martinez

Complaint Description:

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #59 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #60 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#60]  
**Date:** Friday, March 16, 2018 12:51:18 AM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Christian Rhodes

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I'm filing a complaint to address that Josh, the presidential candidate, violated a bylaw and should be disqualified.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[fe65dce1799941609b83237f2707c3e9.png](#)

1.55 MB · PNG



# Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a leader*

JOSH BORJAS FOR ASI PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by others

votejoshana2018 ◆ ◆ Josh has been involved in many organizations and programs along with holding positions for them. Would you want someone who



To: Christian Rhodes  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #60

On 3/16/2018 12:51:09 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Christian Rhodes

**Complaint Description:**

I'm filing a complaint to address that Josh, the presidential candidate, violated a bylaw and should be disqualified. Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #60 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #60

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Christian Rhodes

Complaint Description:

I'm filing a complaint to address that Josh, the presidential candidate, violated a bylaw and should be disqualified. Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Josh creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #60 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

# **ASI Elections Complaint #61 2018**



**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#61]  
**Date:** Friday, March 16, 2018 12:53:40 AM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Christian Rhodes

Complainant Email \* [REDACTED]

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) [REDACTED]

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

I'm filing this complaint against VP candidate Ana, as she violated a bylaw and should be disqualified.

Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[28ccef7769794ded930c7b66e20c58c3.png](#)

1.58 MB · PNG



# Photo



votejoshana2018



*I'm a dreamer*

ANA ALDAZABAL FOR ASI VICE PRESIDENT

VOTE.FULLERTON.EDU



Liked by

and 115 others

votejoshana2018 ◆ ◆ Ana is the president of Dream Co-Op of CSUF (@dreamcoop\_csuf) and advocates for undocumented individuals. Everyone has a dream and we want them to be fulfilled. Vote



To: Christian Rhodes  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #61

On 3/16/2018 12:53:02 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Christian Rhodes

**Complaint Description:**

I'm filing this complaint against VP candidate Ana, as she violated a bylaw and should be disqualified. Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

**Response:**

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #61 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Ana Aldazabal,  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #61

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Christian Rhodes

Complaint Description:

I'm filing this complaint against VP candidate Ana, as she violated a bylaw and should be disqualified. Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election. This post is taken in the TSU Boardroom which is not available as a public space for campaign promotional photos. The TSU Boardroom represents power and authority and a place of official ASI business. Photos taken in this room are clearly a violation of the above bylaw given that it is the use of an ASI facility and can have substantial effects on the outcome or integrity of the election. The election post of Ana creates an unfair appearance towards these candidates which is not available to other candidates who are not in office.

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #61 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.


# **ASI Elections Complaint #62 2018**

**From:** ASI Election Complaint  
**To:** [ASI Elections](#); [Wiley, Drew](#); [Hunt, Hallie](#); [ASI Board Chair](#); [ASI Vice President](#); [ASI Chief Campus Relations Officer](#); [ASI Elections Team](#)  
**Cc:** [Edwards, Dave](#); [Allen, Keya](#)  
**Subject:** ASI Election Complaint Form [#62]  
**Date:** Friday, March 16, 2018 2:20:40 AM

---

Complainant Name (the person filling out this form) \* Zac Slotemaker

Complainant Email \* 

Complainant Phone Number (Recommended) 

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complaint Description--Please include a detailed summary of your complaint including: Who you believe committed the violation, what act(s) you believe were committed that were in violation, where you believe the act(s) were committed, when you believe the act(s) were committed, and why you believe this is a violation. Please include specific names, dates, times, locations, etc.

My name is Zac Slotemaker, a fellow Senior Titan here at CSUF. I have found proof that would suggest that both Josh Borjas (Running for ASI President) and Ana Aldazabal (Running for ASI Vice President) are in violation of Article 13 Section 3 of ASI bylaws.

This bylaw states the following: Article 13 Section 3 on page 44 "Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

Here is my case: On March 15th, 3 images were posted by the campaign's Instagram page, "votejoshana2018", that show irrefutably that both the members are staging photos in an inactive TSU Boardroom. In both Josh's and Ana's photo, they can be seen directing dialogue to what has easily assumed either an audience or a staged audience. In the third photo, Ana is standing in acknowledgment of the cameraman smiling for a photo which gives truth to the matter this WAS NOT an active room for ASI business. In addition to these points, there are some undeniable conclusions of violation I can come to.

1. There are 3 parties that can open the TSU Boardroom, a private room for only ASI business, and they are Jared Hill ( Chair of Trustees), a TSU Staff Member, or the ASI president (Laila). Therefore, Josh and Ana should not have had access unless one of the 3 parties allowed them in.
2. Ruling out Jared Hill and Laila, this leaves a Staff Member of the TSU. If the staff member was aware of the misuse of the room to promote a personal agenda, then this was a conflict of interest in giving an additional circumstance to a running party that was not equally gifted to other parties. If the staff member did not know, then this was a direct violation of the Staff Members trust and an exploitation of the Staff Members ignorance to further one's agenda over others that abided by the ASI bylaws. Specifically, Josh, who has already separated himself as ASI affiliated to TSU Staff Members must have used that acknowledgment to access an area restricted to the unaffiliated ASI community, such as his opponents.
3. The photos, given the content, publicise false implications to their unknowing audience which will inevitably sway their opinions. Ana addressing people as if she has earned a spot in an ASI seat prior to the election is staged and intentionally misleading. Josh, a current member of ASI ( Board of Directors ) is, along with Ana, asserting an unfair appearance towards the public by providing

fabricated photos of past experiences of service to their fellow students, falsifying an address to the public and establishing themselves as already actively engaging in the ASI private meeting room. All of the above images assert an unfair dominion over other contenders for their position as both ASI Vice President and President.

To address a counter-argument:


This was NOT an act that "to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election."

The most critical day of any election is well documented to be the LAST day and all 3 of the photos that showcased my claim of violation were posted during the last day's polling hours. The Instagram account has reached 462 potential votes, given that is their following account. Given that the images were posted on the last day, with a reach of nearly 500 potential votes, it can be confidently said that John and Ana's circumstance calls for a lack of integrity in the respect to fellow candidate's inaccessibility to the TSU Boardroom ( and their fellow candidate's respect for past ASI member's voted bylaws ).

As a person soon to graduate and become both an alumni/ graduate student of the university I have seen the impact of student's voice being properly transmitted to our advisors, professors, and president. To see this lack of consideration for past members of ASI's decision to input this bylaw is to show either a disregard for ASI's image or a lazy attempt at pursuing a position. Both of which are not ok for two people running to be OUR voice on campus. I hope that this reaches the ears it needs because there are reasons to acknowledge and enforce these bylaws for they represent past mistakes intended to prevent future ones. Allowing this to slide is a slap in the face to not just the current community, but the past the community that worked hard to uphold the standards of ASI and the manner in which they are carried out.

Thank you for your time,  
Zac Slotemaker

Please attach any documents and/or photos relevant and/or supporting of your complaint. Combine multiple documents and/or photos into one file prior to upload.



[violation.jpg](#)

1.02 MB · JPG



Liked by [...](#) and 113 others



Liked by [...](#) and 97 others



Liked by [...](#) and 211 others





To: Zac Slotemaker  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #62

On 3/16/2018 2:20:23 AM, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Zac Slotemaker

**Complaint Description:**

My name is Zac Slotemaker, a fellow Senior Titan here at CSUF. I have found proof that would suggest that both Josh Borjas (Running for ASI President) and Ana Aldazabal (Running for ASI Vice President) are in violation of Article 13 Section 3 of ASI bylaws. This bylaw states the following: Article 13 Section 3 on page 44 "Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." Here is my case: On March 15th, 3 images were posted by the campaign's Instagram page, "votejoshana2018", that show irrefutably that both the members are staging photos in an inactive TSU Boardroom. In both Josh's and Ana's photo, they can be seen directing dialogue to what has easily assumed either an audience or a staged audience. In the third photo, Ana is standing in acknowledgment of the cameraman smiling for a photo which gives truth to the matter this WAS NOT an active room for ASI business. In addition to these points, there are some undeniable conclusions of violation I can come to. 1. There are 3 parties that can open the TSU Boardroom, a private room for only ASI business, and they are Jared Hill ( Chair of Trustees), a TSU Staff Member, or the ASI president (Laila). Therefore, Josh and Ana should not have had access unless one of the 3 parties allowed them in. 2. Ruling out Jared Hill and Laila, this leaves a Staff Member of the TSU. If the staff member was aware of the misuse of the room to promote a personal agenda, then this was a conflict of interest in giving an additional circumstance to a running party that was not equally gifted to other parties. If the staff member did not know, then this was a direct violation of the Staff Members trust and an exploitation of the Staff Members ignorance to further one's agenda over others that abided by the ASI bylaws. Specifically, Josh, who has already separated himself as ASI affiliated to TSU Staff Members must have used that acknowledgment to access an area restricted to the unaffiliated ASI community, such as his opponents. 3. The photos, given the content, publicise false implications to their unknowing audience which will inevitably sway their opinions. Ana addressing people as if she has earned a spot in an ASI seat prior to the election is staged and intentionally misleading. Josh, a current member of ASI ( Board of Directors ) is, along with Ana, asserting an unfair appearance towards the public by providing fabricated photos of past experiences of service to their fellow students, falsifying an address to the public and establishing themselves as already actively engaging in the ASI private meeting room. All of the above images assert an unfair dominion over other contenders for their position as both ASI Vice President and President. To address a counter-argument: This was NOT an act that "to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." The most critical day of any election is well documented to be the LAST day and all 3 of the photos that showcased my claim of violation were posting during the last day's polling hours. The Instagram account has reached 462 potential votes, given that is their following account. Given that the images were posted on the last day, with a reach of nearly 500 potential votes, it can be confidently said that John and Ana's circumstance calls for a lack of integrity in the respect to fellow candidate's inaccessibility to the TSU Boardroom ( and their fellow candidate's respect for past ASI member's voted bylaws ). As a person soon to graduate and become both an alumni/ graduate student of the university I have seen the impact of student's voice being properly transmitted to our advisors, professors, and president. To see this lack of consideration for past members of ASI's decision to input this bylaw is to show either a disregard for ASI's image or a lazy attempt at pursuing a position. Both of which are not ok for two people running to be OUR voice on campus. I hope that this reaches the ears it needs because there are reasons to acknowledge and enforce these bylaws for they represent past mistakes intended to prevent future ones. Allowing this to slide is a slap in

the face to not just the current community, but the past the community that worked hard to uphold the standards of ASI and the manner in which they are carried out. Thank you for your time, Zac Slotemaker

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #62 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.

To: Josh Borjas, Ana Aldazabal  
From: Rachel Langenfeld, ASI Elections Director  
Date: 17 March 2018  
Subject: ASI Election Complaint Form #62

On 17 March 2018, an official ASI Election Complaint Form was submitted.

This complaint is against: A President and/or Vice President Candidate

Complainant Name: Zac Slotemaker

Complaint Description:

My name is Zac Slotemaker, a fellow Senior Titan here at CSUF. I have found proof that would suggest that both Josh Borjas (Running for ASI President) and Ana Aldazabal (Running for ASI Vice President) are in violation of Article 13 Section 3 of ASI bylaws. This bylaw states the following: Article 13 Section 3 on page 44 "Article XIII Section 3 d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." Here is my case: On March 15th, 3 images were posted by the campaign's Instagram page, "votejoshana2018", that show irrefutably that both the members are staging photos in an inactive TSU Boardroom. In both Josh's and Ana's photo, they can be seen directing dialogue to what has easily assumed either an audience or a staged audience. In the third photo, Ana is standing in acknowledgment of the cameraman smiling for a photo which gives truth to the matter this WAS NOT an active room for ASI business. In addition to these points, there are some undeniable conclusions of violation I can come to. 1. There are 3 parties that can open the TSU Boardroom, a private room for only ASI business, and they are Jared Hill ( Chair of Trustees), a TSU Staff Member, or the ASI president (Laila). Therefore, Josh and Ana should not have had access unless one of the 3 parties allowed them in. 2. Ruling out Jared Hill and Laila, this leaves a Staff Member of the TSU. If the staff member was aware of the misuse of the room to promote a personal agenda, then this was a conflict of interest in giving an additional circumstance to a running party that was not equally gifted to other parties. If the staff member did not know, then this was a direct violation of the Staff Members trust and an exploitation of the Staff Members ignorance to further one's agenda over others that abided by the ASI bylaws. Specifically, Josh, who has already separated himself as ASI affiliated to TSU Staff Members must have used that acknowledgment to access an area restricted to the unaffiliated ASI community, such as his opponents. 3. The photos, given the content, publicise false implications to their unknowing audience which will inevitably sway their opinions. Ana addressing people as if she has earned a spot in an ASI seat prior to the election is staged and intentionally misleading. Josh, a current member of ASI ( Board of Directors ) is, along with Ana, asserting an unfair appearance towards the public by providing fabricated photos of past experiences of service to their fellow students, falsifying an address to the public and establishing themselves as already actively engaging in the ASI private meeting room. All of the above images assert an unfair dominion over other contenders for their position as both ASI Vice President and President. To address a counter-argument: This was NOT an act that "to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election." The most critical day of any election is well documented to be the LAST day and all 3 of the photos that showcased my claim of violation were posting during the last day's polling hours. The Instagram account has reached 462 potential votes, given that is their following account. Given that the images were posted on the last day, with a reach of nearly 500 potential votes, it can be confidently said that John and Ana's circumstance calls for a lack of integrity in the respect to fellow candidate's inaccessibility to the TSU Boardroom ( and their fellow candidate's respect for past ASI

member's voted bylaws ). As a person soon to graduate and become both an alumni/ graduate student of the university I have seen the impact of student's voice being properly transmitted to our advisors, professors, and president. To see this lack of consideration for past members of ASI's decision to input this bylaw is to show either a disregard for ASI's image or a lazy attempt at pursuing a position. Both of which are not ok for two people running to be OUR voice on campus. I hope that this reaches the ears it needs because there are reasons to acknowledge and enforce these bylaws for they represent past mistakes intended to prevent future ones. Allowing this to slide is a slap in the face to not just the current community, but the past the community that worked hard to uphold the standards of ASI and the manner in which they are carried out. Thank you for your time, Zac Slotemaker

Response:

The ASI Elections Judicial Council convened on March 16, 2018 at 4pm to hear and review the ASI Election Complaint. The relevant Bylaws reviewed are:

Article XIII Section 3 Clause 1 Item d. Using Associated Students authority, facilities, funds, or resources for campaign purposes to an extent which substantially affects the outcome or integrity of the election.

Due to the fact that the submission does not definitively substantiate with evidence that the ability to take the photographs, nor access to the facility, was gained through the exercise of authority and that the post(s) in question did not substantially affected the outcome or integrity of the election, the act has been determined not in violation of the ASI Bylaws.

ASI Election Complaint Form #62 has been dismissed and no penalty has been imposed.