Minutes

Finance Committee

📅 Thu March 10th, 2022
⏰ 1:15pm - 2:45pm  PST
📍 ASI Boardroom - Titan Student Union
👥 In Attendance

1. **Call to Order**
   Christopher Carlsen, called the meeting to order at 1:19 pm.

2. **Roll Call**
   Members Present: Arnwine, Diaz, San Gabriel, Santana, Carlsen
   Members Absent:
   Liaisons Present: Chammas, Mitchell, Nettles
   Liaisons Absent:
   According to the ASI Policy Concerning Board of Directors Operations, attendance is defined as being present prior to the announcement of Unfinished Business and remaining until the scheduled end of the meeting.
   *Indicates that the member was in attendance prior to the start of Unfinished Business, but left before the scheduled ending of the meeting.
   ** Indicates that the member was in attendance for a portion of the meeting, but not in attendance prior to the announcement of Unfinished Business.

3. **Approval of Agenda**
Decision: (Diaz-m/San Gabriel-s) The agenda was approved by unanimous consent.

4. Approval of Minutes
   Decision: (San Gabriel-m/Diaz-s) The February 24, 2022 Finance Committee meeting minutes were approved by unanimous consent.

   a. 02/24/2022 Finance Committee Minutes

5. Public Speakers
   Members of the public may address Finance Committee members on any item appearing on this posted agenda.

   There were no public speakers.

6. Reports
   a. Chair
      Carlsen, Chair, had no report.

   b. Director, Student Government
      Carlsen yielded the floor to Nettles.

      Nettles, Director of Student Government, had no report.

7. Unfinished Business
   None

   There is no unfinished business.

8. New Business
   a. Information: 2022-23 ASI Presidential Budget
      The Committee will receive information about the ASI Presidential budget for the 2022-23 fiscal year.

      The Committee will receive information about the ASI Presidential Budget for the 2022-23 fiscal year.

      Carlsen yielded to Mitchell to share.

      Josh Mitchell, ASI President, presented information on his ASI President’s budget. The presentation is an attachment to the minutes.
Carlsen opened the floor to questions.

Chammas asked if Mitchell contacted the organization’s council chairs that requested more than 20% to ask how the money was used for the programs and how much money they had left.

Mitchell utilized the data provided but did not contact the council chairs.

Diaz asked if Mitchell utilized the pre-COVID funding amounts as well when referencing and making his recommendations.

Mitchell explained how he utilized pre-COVID spending data.

Carlsen asked why he wanted to take this approach to the budget and formulate the breakdown as he did.

Mitchell said by breaking down the categories he felt he was able to make a decision on funding recommendations with more equity.

Nettles informed the Committee that the data used for reference was pre-COVID data.

Carlsen asked if Mitchell worked on the budget alone.

Mitchell said he conferred with Dawson after the numbers were in place.

San Gabriel asked for the total of the President’s recommendation.

Nettles responded with the total.

b. **Action: Proposed 2022-23 ASI Budget**

*The Committee will deliberate the 2022-23 ASI budget.*

**FIN 008 21/22 (Diaz-m/San Gabriel-s) A motion was made and seconded to approve the 2022-23 ASI Budget.**

Carlsen yielded to Nettles to provide an overview of the budget deliberation process.

Nettles shared information on the process the committee will follow to deliberate the 2022-23 ASI Budget.

Nettles informed the committee that as they confirm totals and budgets the spreadsheet will update.

Carlsen began the process of deliberation by reviewing the funding recommendation for each ICC.

**AICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for the Arts ICC are the same and asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s Recommendation.

There were no objections the President's recommendation for AICC was accepted.
**BICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for the Business ICC are the same and asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s Recommendation.

There were no objections the President’s recommendation for BICC was accepted.

**BSU:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for the Black Student Union are the same and asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s Recommendation.

There were no objections the President’s recommendation for BSU was accepted.

**CICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for the Communications ICC differ.

Carlsen asked the Committee Member, Santana, who reviewed this budget to speak on the recommendation.

- Santana shared that the ICC did not use much funding in the past and felt the lower amount would suffice.
- Mitchell shared he thought they could receive additional funding when comparing awards to other programs.
- Mitchell also noted their increase in travel.
- Chammas asked how they used their travel funding in previous years and how much was used.
- Santana shared information on their travel usage.
- Nettles also shared information on travel and that due to COVID and changes surrounding travel, travel information around COVID’s first year is not included.
- Diaz asked if they fundraised because they did not have funding or they chose to fundraise before considering using the awarded funding.
- Santana did not have that information.
- Chammas asked questions regarding last year’s travel.
- Santana shared that due to COVID restrictions there was no travel last year.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s proposed funding amount.

**Diaz made a motion to amend the President's Recommendation for the CICC travel amount to the Finance Committees Proposed amount of $13,000. Santana seconded the motion. FIN Amendment 008.a 21/22 (Diaz-m/Santana-s) A motion was made and seconded to amend the President's recommendation for CICC to change the amount for travel to $13,000. Carlsen asked if there were any objections to approve the amendment by unanimous consent. Carlsen stated an objection to the motion and explained that ASI has available funds and should provide it for this request. Mitchell said he has to trust they will use the travel**
funds to the best of their abilities and does not want to take money away from them. Carlsen asked if there were any objections to moving to a roll call vote on the motion to amend the budget. Amendment 008.a passed.

**CSICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for the Community Service ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's Recommendation.

FIN Amendment 008.b 21/22 (Carlsen-m/Diaz-s) Carlsen made a motion to amend the President's recommendation for CSICC to the Finance Committee Members' recommended amount totaling $20,900. Diaz seconded the motion. Carlsen asked if there were any objections to approving the amendment by unanimous consent. There were no objections and the amendment was adopted.

**ECSICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for Engineering and Computer Science ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's Recommendation.

Chammas asked to see the historical spending.

Arnwine indicated that he was having issues viewing the documents on-screen and asked where to locate it to view on his device.

Carlsen said the information is only on the screen. The document was emailed to the Committee.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the president's recommended funding amount.

Chammas asked who reviewed this budget item on the Committee.

Carlsen reviewed the budget item and shared his process to get to the recommended amount.

- The treasurer submitted the request on their own.
- Carlsen said they have more travel events coming this year.
- Carlsen said he believes they were requesting more than they needed expecting the amount to be reduced at the Finance Committee Meeting.
- Carlsen said there were factors he used in determining the budget.
- Carlsen stated the amount he proposed is still higher than their current budget, but less than requested.

Mitchell asked if Carlsen expected them to hit their travel total this year in comparison to previous years. Carlsen responded that he checked previous years' data and noticed they do use the funds and he expects them to use it all. Carlsen said he does not have an objection to his recommended amount.
Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President's recommended budget for Engineering and Computer Science ICC. There were no objections. The President's budget recommendation for ECSICC was accepted.

**EICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for Education ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's budget recommendation.

Carlsen asked Member Santana, who reviewed this budget to speak on how they derived their recommendation.

- Santana said they only have 1/4 of the committees active and although they expect all to be active next year they did not guarantee.
- Santana felt more comfortable meeting them in the middle.
- She shared that they requested a 50% increase from last year's funding amount.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President's budget recommendation.

There were no objections or motions to amend. The President's budget recommendation for EICC was accepted.

**HHDICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for Health and Human Development ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's budget recommendation.

Chammas asked who was the member who reviewed this account.

San Gabriel, the Committee Member who reviewed this budget, spoke on how they made their decision.

- Shared that they provided the $200 amount on supplies and said she noted the previous usage of funds exceeding their totals.
- Shared that they could not make shirts as they have done in the past.
- Shared that most of the clubs will be in person next year and she does not believe the ICC took that into account when requesting funding.
- Shared this ICC continuously uses all their funding.

Mitchell asked why they only requested $1,000 and not $1,200.

San Gabriel believes, after speaking with the ICC and College Leadership, they were trying to be cautious of the COVID year.

Chammas asked if half the clubs were virtual.

San Gabriel said about 70% have returned to in-person so far.

San Gabriel noted one club will remain inactive since they were not coming to council meetings.
Chammas asked how much of their funds they've used thus far.

Mitchell stated that although $200 is a small amount, he is concerned with the principle of providing more than a club requested. Mitchell said he will surrender to the Committee's judgment.

San Gabriel shared that the total balance is $240 and she expects them to use the full budget.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President's Recommendation.

**FIN Amendment 008.c 21/22 (San Gabriel-m/Diaz-s)** San Gabriel made a motion to amend the President's recommended budget for supplies to the Finance Committee Member's Proposed amount of $1,200. Diaz seconded the motion. Carlsen asked if there were any objections to approving the Committee Member's recommendation by unanimous consent. There were no objections and the amendment was adopted.

**HSSICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's budget recommendations for Humanities and Social Science ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's Recommendation. Carlsen asked if there was a motion to amend the President's recommended funding amount.

**FIN Amendment 008.d 21/22 (Arnwine-m/San Gabriel-s)** Arnwine made a motion to amend the Presidential budget recommendation and change the contract fees and rentals amount to the Finance Committee Member's recommended amount. San Gabriel seconded.

Mitchell said he wants to give everyone an opportunity for funding and asked why they do not want to meet the amount recommended.

Chammas asked if Arnwine spoke to the HSSICC Director to understand why they're requesting the increase.

Arnwine said he did not sit down with them, but did hear they wanted to do a second large event, but did not even hear communication around the first large event they want to do. Arnwine said he is present at the meetings.

Chammas asked if Arnwine is aware of how much of their award they've used.

Arnwine does not have the information on his but it is available in his email.

San Gabriel asked if there was an HSS Week or will be.

Arnwine said HSS week is coming up and has heard conversation around it.
Mitchell said Engineering was given a $6,000 increase and not given this much scrutiny and feels that this club should be given the same grace.

Diaz seconded Mitchell’s note and personally aligns more with the President’s Recommendation.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to the motion on the floor.

Arnwine made a motion to recall his last motion and moved to amend the request for A-Side contract fees and rentals to 10,000. San Gabriel accepts the new amendment.

Mitchell encouraged members to go against this amendment as he believes this will be a disservice to the students.

Arnwine does understand the difference but feels that since ECS is going to be getting a new building and likely new students he sees reason for the increase over there but does not see anything new from HSSICC that would warrant this increase.

Carlsen asked if there were objections to accepting the amendment. Diaz objected and the committee moved to a roll call vote. The motion to amend 008.d 21/22 to change the HSSICC CFR to $10,000 was lost and the President’s recommended funding amount for HSSICC was adopted.

**IFC**: Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for InterFraternity Council are the same and asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s Recommendation.

There were no objections or motions to amend; the President’s Recommendation was accepted.

**MESA**: Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for Mesa Cooperativa differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President’s Recommendation.

Chammas asked why there is such a large decrease from what they requested.

Mitchell shared that the increase proposed by the Committee member was around 28% and he has already shared he is opposed to providing more than 20% on an annual basis.

Mitchell said he offered 17% but would like to see more growth annually.

Chammas asked if anyone sits on this ICC and knows how much they’ve used.

No one sits on the ICC.

Mitchell does not want to set a precedence for councils to request 40-60% more funding annually and think this can create uncomfortable situations for future leaders in determining budget allocations.
Chammas asked who conducted the review and how they came to their decision.

Carlsen asked the Committee Member, Diaz, who reviewed this budget to speak on how they made their decision.

- Diaz said the amount they requested also caused her to step back.
- Diaz said the ICC does have 4 new organizations within them that they requested additional funding for.
- Diaz took into account their historical spending and expected spending with the 4 new organizations.

Mitchell understands Diaz’s concerns but is still hesitant on providing above 20%. Diaz said after viewing Mitchell’s proposal she does agree his amount to be more reasonable with his explanations.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s budget recommendation for Mesa Cooperativa.

There were no objections; the President’s budget recommendation was accepted.

**MGC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for Multi-Cultural Greek Council differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President’s Recommendation.

Carlsen shared that the total difference in budget totals for this ICC is a difference of $4.00.

There were no objections or motions to amend the President’s Recommendation was accepted.

**NPHC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s Recommendations for the National Panhellenic Council differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President’s Recommendation.

Chammas asked who reviewed the request and how they came to their decision.

San Gabriel reviewed the request and informed the Committee that the ICC requested a decrease.

Mitchell asked why the request is $2,500 when they only asked for $500.

San Gabriel said she did not mean to put that and clarified what she had meant.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President’s Recommendation.

There were no objections; the President’s budget recommendation for NPHC was accepted.
**NSMICC:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President’s recommendations for Natural Sciences and Mathematics ICC are the same and asked if there were any objections to accepting the President's Recommendation.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the recommended funding amount.

There were no objections. The President's budget recommendation for NSMICC was approved by unanimous consent.

**Panhellenic:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member’s and the President's Recommendations for Panhellenic Council are the same and asked if there were any objections to accepting the President's Recommendation.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the recommended funding amount.

There were no objections. The funding amount was approved by unanimous consent.

**RSA:** Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for Residential Student Association differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's Recommendation.

Chammas asked who reviewed the request and how they came to their decision.

Carlsen asked the Committee Member, Diaz, who reviewed this budget to speak on how they made their decision.

- Diaz shared that her specific concerns were around contracts, fees, and rentals and also dues and subscriptions
- Diaz said she based her decision on historical spending.
- Diaz went through the numbers for each and explained her decision.

Mitchell said he does not feel it is appropriate to take money away from this club.

Diaz said they have never spent more than she is recommending for their dues and subscriptions and does understand their request for an increase for the other budget items.

Nettles shared what the ICC subscribes to and why we see the amount we see for subscriptions.

Carlsen asked if there were any objections to accepting the President's Recommendation for funding.

There were no objections; the President's Recommendation was approved by unanimous consent.
SCICC: Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for Sports Club ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's Recommendation.

Carlsen reminded members that this club did not submit their report and received a 5% decrease, but they will be traveling more next year.

Carlsen feels that more of the Sports Club Orgs will be traveling and does see the sentiment of not submitting documents on time, but he believes they need the money.

Mitchell noted that the Community Service Club did not receive their -5% penalty and feels the sports club should be treated the same.

FIN Amendment 008.e 21/22 (Carlsen-m/San Gabriel-s) Carlsen made a motion to amend the budget for SCICC to the Finance Committee Member's recommended amount. San Gabriel seconded the motion. Carlsen asked if there were any objections to approving the Finance Committee Member's recommended budget by unanimous consent. Diaz objected. Carlsen asked if there were any objections to moving to a Roll Call Vote on the amendment to the budget recommendation. The Committee took a roll call vote and the amendment was adopted.

SWANA: Carlsen stated the Committee Member's and the President's Recommendations for SWANA ICC differ and asked if there were any motions to amend the President's Recommendation.

Chammas said that SWANA has no money and shared the struggles that they've had as a result of not having funding including having events canceled.

Mitchell stated that he does feel SWANA should have a good amount of funding coming and said they received the largest increase thus far.

Santana feels that based on the information provided her decision for SWANA funding does fall more in line with the President's recommendation.

Diaz feels that she does want more for SWANA, but hesitates because it was so new.

Chammas said that for any other clubs they have a college they can go back to for additional assistance but SWANA does not have that ability.

Carlsen said they will have to postpone the meeting and continue the discussion at the special Finance Committee meeting.

Carlsen requested a motion and second to postpone this item to a Special Meeting being held Tuesday, March 15th at 1:15 pm to consider the final budget, including the edits/amendments from today's meeting.
(Diaz-m/San Gabriel-s) A motion was made and seconded to postpone the deliberation of the 2022-23 ASI Budget to a Special Meeting being held Tuesday, March 15th at 1:15 pm to consider the final budget. There were no objections.

Decision: FIN 008.a 21/22 (Diaz-m/Santana-s) Roll Call Vote: 4-1-0 The resolution to amend the President's Recommendation for the CICC travel amount to the Finance Committees Proposed amount of $13,000 has been adopted.

Decision: FIN 008.b 21/22 Carlsen-m/Diaz-s The resolution to amend the President's Recommendation for Community Service ICC from the President's Recommendation to the Committee Member's has been adopted.

Decision: FIN 008.c 21/22 (San Gabriel-m/Diaz-s) The motion to amend the Health and Human Development ICC budget recommendation from the President's Recommended funding amount to the Finance Committee Member's Recommended funding amount was approved by unanimous consent.

Decision: FIN 008.d 21/22 (Arnwine - San Gabriel-s) Roll Call Vote: 2-3-0 The motion to amend the Humanities and Social Science ICC from the President's Recommended funding amount to the Finance Committee Member's Recommended funding amount failed.

Decision: FIN 008.e 21/22 (Carlsen-m/San Gabriel-s) Roll Call Vote: 3-2-0 The motion to amend the Sports Club ICC President's Recommended funding amount by removing the -5% penalty was adopted.

Decision: FIN 008 21/22 (Diaz-m/San Gabriel-s) Roll Call Vote: 5-0-0 The motion to postpone the deliberation of the 2022-23 ASI Budget to a Special Meeting being held Tuesday, March 15th at 1:15 pm to consider the final budget has been adopted.

9. Announcements/Member's Privilege
10. **Adjournment**

Christopher Carlsen, Chair, adjourned the meeting at 2:46 p.m.

Christopher Carlsen, Finance Committee Chair

Crystaal Washington, Recording Secretary Finance
# Roll Call 2021-2022

## 03/10/2022 FINANCE Committee Roll Call

**Attendance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board Members</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSS ARNWINE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS SAN GABRIEL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM SANTANA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR/TREASURER CARLSEN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LIAISONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIAISONS</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR (CBE) CHAMMAS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRESIDENT MITCHELL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIR SG NETTLES ASHA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recording Secretary: Crystaal Washington*

## ACTION ITEMS:

### Roll Call Votes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll Call Votes</th>
<th>008 - ASI Consolidated Budget</th>
<th>008-a Amend CICC Travel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS ARNWINE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRETARY (ARTS) DIAZ MORGAN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS SAN GABRIEL HANNAH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM SANTANA TORI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR/TREASURER CARLSEN CHRISTOPHER</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Roll Call Votes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll Call Votes</th>
<th>008-b Amend HSSICC to FC Recommend</th>
<th>008-c SCICC Amend FC Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS ARNWINE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRETARY (ARTS) DIAZ MORGAN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS SAN GABRIEL HANNAH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM SANTANA TORI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR/TREASURER CARLSEN CHRISTOPHER</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Roll Call Votes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll Call Votes</th>
<th>008 Postpone to 3/15/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS ARNWINE</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECRETARY (ARTS) DIAZ MORGAN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSS SAN GABRIEL HANNAH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM SANTANA TORI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIR/TREASURER CARLSEN CHRISTOPHER</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASI Presidential Budget Recommendations

Prepared by Josh Mitchell

Notes when filling out the budget:

I tried to use an equitable approach when filling out my recommendations for this year and I hope that the Finance Committee would do the same. The MAXIMUM amount that we are able to allocate is $660,832 with the remaining number going to the Arboretum. Just because we have the money does mean that we should fulfill every request.

$660,832 – Allocated amount to Inter-Club Councils = Remaining amount to give to the Arboretum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
<th>Total Amount Requested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EICC</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>38,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSICC</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>71,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWANA</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG C</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>16,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BICC</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>47,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSSICC</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>63,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSMICC</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>43,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>8,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHC</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>21,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CICC</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>28,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICC</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>33,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHDICC</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>23,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>23,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>28,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPHC</td>
<td>-42%</td>
<td>2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSICC</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>20,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCICC</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>80,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Groups of Inter Club Councils

Red – These ICC’s did not fill out a recommendation form at all and gave us nothing to work with outside of the numbers that were provided to us in the previous year. While arbitrary, I recommend a 5% decrease to these councils’ budget consequently. I do not want to normalize the idea throughout our councils that they don’t have to submit any forms or updates and expect that they get money. That does seem like a fair deal to me.

Purple – These were the easiest organizations to rank. They all wanted a decrease or to receive the same amount of money that they previously had. This allows us to move some funds elsewhere.

Green – These were a little more challenging to allocate, but I still believe that we should grant all these councils the funds that they are looking for. Although the entirety of business is an ask of 8.6%, they are only asking for an increase that is like HSSICC. HSS wants an increase of 3,400 where BICC wants an increase of 3,750. I recommend the approval of all requests in this category.
Blue – This is the hardest group to recommend and the one that I anticipate the most debate over. All of these groups requested over 20% from the value that they were previously at. However, the percentage does not tell the full story as 29.5% for ECSICC (16200) would mean a greater number of allocated resources than 66.1% from EICC (9150). I tried to provide myself more information to make a well-informed decision. I did a lot more analysis on these councils which is found below. By default, I approved a 10% increase simply because these groups had the courage to request more and advocate for the needs of their students. Based on their application, I went about deciding why they should get more. For example, EICC had to show why they wanted an additional 56.1% increase. Factors that contributed to those decisions where application strength, historical spending, and the quantity of the change from last year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
<th>Total Amount Requested</th>
<th>Change from Last Year</th>
<th>Number of Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EICC</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>9150</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>13050</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSICC</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>71,200</td>
<td>16200</td>
<td>1181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWANA</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>6411</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGC</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>16,310</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASI President’s Budget Recommendations
Considerations

- **Red** – These ICC’s did not fill out a recommendation form at all and gave us nothing to work with outside of the numbers that were provided to us in the previous year. While arbitrary, I recommend a 5% decrease to these councils’ budget consequently. I do not want to normalize the idea throughout our councils that they don’t have to submit any forms or updates and expect that they get money. That does seem like a fair deal to me.

- **Purple** – These were the easiest organizations to rank. They all wanted a decrease or to receive the same amount of money that they previously had. This allows us to move some funds elsewhere.

- **Green** – These were a little more challenging to allocate, but I still believe that we should grant all these councils the funds that they are looking for. Although the entirety of business is an ask of 8.6%, they are only asking for an increase that is like HSSICC. HSS wants an increase of 3,400 where BICC wants an increase of 3,750. I recommend the approval of all requests in this category.

- **Blue** – This is the hardest group to recommend and the one that I anticipate the most debate over. All of these groups requested over 20% from the value that they were previously at. However, the percentage does not tell the full story as 29.5% for ECSICC (16200) would mean a greater number of allocated resources than 66.1% from EICC (9150). I tried to provide myself more information to make a well-informed decision. I did a lot more analysis on these councils which is found below. By default, I approved a 10% increase simply because these groups had the courage to request more and advocate for the needs of their students. Based on their application, I went about deciding why they should get more. For example, EICC had to show why they wanted an additional 56.1% increase. Factors that contributed to those decisions where application strength, historical spending, and the quantity of the change from last year.
Considerations

- **Red** – These ICC’s did not fill out a recommendation form at all and gave us nothing to work with outside of the numbers that were provided to us in the previous year. While arbitrary, I recommend a 5% decrease to these councils’ budget consequently. I do not want to normalize the idea throughout our councils that they don’t have to submit any forms or updates and expect that they get money. That does seem like a fair deal to me.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSICC</td>
<td>- 5%</td>
<td>$20,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCICC</td>
<td>- 5%</td>
<td>$80,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considerations

- **Purple** – These were the easiest organizations to rank. They all wanted a decrease or to receive the same amount of money that they previously had. This allows us to move some funds elsewhere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CICC</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$28,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICC</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$33,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHDICC</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$23,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSU</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$23,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSA</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$28,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPHC</td>
<td>-42%</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considerations

- **Green** – These were a little more challenging to allocate, but I still believe that we should grant all these councils the funds that they are looking for. Although the entirety of business is an ask of 8.6%, they are only asking for an increase that is like HSSICC. HSS wants an increase of 3,400 where BICC wants an increase of 3,750. I recommend the approval of all requests in this category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BICC</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>$47,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSSICC</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>$63,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSMICC</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>$43,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>$8,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHC</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>$21,850</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considerations

- **Blue** – This is the hardest group to recommend and the one that I anticipate the most debate over. All of these groups requested over 20% from the value that they were previously at. However, the percentage does not tell the full story as 29.5% for ECSICC (16200) would mean a greater number of allocated resources than 66.1% from EICC (9150). I tried to provide myself more information to make a well-informed decision. I did a lot more analysis on these councils which is found below. By default, I approved a 10% increase simply because these groups had the courage to request more and advocate for the needs of their students. Based on their application, I went about deciding why they should get more. For example, EICC had to show why they wanted an additional 56.1% increase. Factors that contributed to those decisions where application strength, historical spending, and the quantity of the change from last year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EICC</td>
<td>66.1%</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesa Cooperativa</td>
<td>51.3%</td>
<td>$38,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSICC</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>$71,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWANA</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGC</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>$16,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>